Abstract—in a traditional keyword search system over XML data processing, a user takes a keyword query, submit to the system, retrieves relevant answer. User has limited knowledge about the data, often the user feel “left in the dark “when issuing queries, and has to use a try and see approach for finding information. In this paper, we study fuzzy type-ahead search in XML data, a new information access paradigm in which the system search XML data on the fly a user type in query keyword. XML model capture more semantic and navigates into document and display more relevant information. The keyword search is alternative method to search in XML data, which is user friendly, user no need to know about the knowledge of XML data and query language. This paper focus on the survey of techniques used to retrieve the top-k result from the XML document more efficiently. Top-k relevant answer identify examine effective ranking function and early termination techniques achieves high search efficiency and result quality.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays XML is being used as an underlying for most of the transactions on the internet. XML widely used for database storage. Most of the leading product developed companies use XML metadata framework. This paper started with a goal to manage XML data. It helps in storing, relevant answer. In this case user has limited knowledge about the data, often the user feels left in dark when issuing queries, and has to use a try and see approach for finding, managing, publishing, retrieving data from database in XML format and updating storing data in XML Document. There are different modules of this paper. One of the modules is a SQL manager, which helped to retrieve and manage data from XML data in XML database and we implement keyword search XML data in XML database, user management and security are another modules.

XML database has the capabilities such as XML CRUDS(create, retrieve, update, delete, search via Xpath), Document Validation(XML schema), Document reference(XLink) and Library servies(Branching).XML contain Parent-Child relationship and we need to identify relevant XML sub tree that capture such structure relationship from XML data to answer keyword queries, instead of single document. Database server is Client-Server based database. It is more user-friendly, easy to retrieve and easy to access the database for both programmer and the client. It is used to create database, table, query, the report [3].

In traditional keyword-search system over XML data, a user composes a keyword query; submit it to system and retrieves information. Actually particular person know about language what is Xpath and Xquery, what are their syntax, notation etc because without syntax, notation etc because without syntax no one can retrieve data, Xquery and this paper, we study fuzzy type-ahead search in XML data, system search in XML data, system search XML data on the user type in query keywords. It allow user to explore data as they type, even in presence of minor error of their keyword. We propose effective index structures and top-k algorithm to achieve a high interactive speed. We examine effective ranking function and early termination techniques to progressively identify the top-k relevant answer [1], [2].

II. CONVENTIONAL XML QUERY TECHNIQUES

Maintaining the Integrity of the Specifications

In XML There are two types Xpath and Xquery. Xpath is declarative language for XML that provide a simple syntax for addressing part of on Xml document. Xpath collection of element can be retrieved by specifying a directory like path with zero or more condition place on the path. Xpath treat an a XML document as a logical tree with nodes for each element, attribute text, processing instruction, comment, namespace and root [17]. The basic of the addressing mechanism is the context node (start node) and location path which describe a path from one point in an XML document to another. Xpointer can be used specify on absolute location or relative location. Location of path is composed of a series of step joined with “/” each move down the preceding step. Xquery is incorporate feature from query language for relational system (SQL) and Object oriented system (OQL). Xquery support operation on document order and can negative, extract and restructure document. W3c query working group has proposed a query language for XML called Xquery. Values always express a sequence node can be a document, element, attribute, text, namespace. Top level path express are ordered according to their position in the original
hierarchy, top-down, left-right order [14]. The important parts are Data-Centric document and Document-Centric document. Data-centric document Xpath are complex for understand. It can originate both in the database and outside the database. These documents are used for communicating data between companies. These are primarily processing by machine; they have fairly regular structure, fine-gained data and no mix content. Document-Centric are document usually designed for human consumption, they are usually composed directly in XML or some other format(RTF, PDF, SGML) which is then converted to XML. Document-Centric need not have regular structure, larger gained data and lots of mixed content [13].
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III. XML QUERY TECHNIQUES BASED FUZZY METHODS

In this section three important XML query and keyword search methodologies are explain. Major problem associated to Xpath and Xquery are their complexity involved in the syntax for query. Compared to Xpath and Xquery, LCA-based interaction search [7] and minimum cost tree [14] are better and efficient. Following subsection give detailed information on the above said methods.

A. Minimum cost tree

To find relevant answer, to a keyword query over an XML document. For each node, we define its corresponding answer to the query as its sub tree with paths to nodes that include the query keyword. This sub tree called the “minimal cost tree” for this node. Different node corresponding to different answer to the query, and we will study how to quantify the relevance of each answer to the query for ranking. Given an XML document D, a node n in D, and a keyword query Q={k1,k2,k3,…,kl}, a minimal cost tree of query Q and node n is the sub tree rooted at n, and for each keyword ki € Q, if node n is a quasi-content node of ki, the sub tree include the pivotal path for ki and node n. we first identify the predicated word for each input keyword. Then, we construct the minimal cost tree for every node in the XML tree based on the predicated word, and return the best ones with the highest score. The main advantage of that, even if a node does not have descendent nodes that include all the keyword in the query, this node could still be considered as a potential answer reference [4].

B. LCA-Based interactive search

We propose a lowest common ancestor (LCA) based interactive search method. We use the semantics of exclusive LCA to identify relevant answer for predicated words. We use trie to index the tokenized words in XML data. First for a single keyword, find corresponding tree node. Then we locate the leaf descendents of this node, and retrieve. The corresponding predicated words and the predicted word and the predicated XML element on their inverted lists. For a query string into keyword k1, k2, k3……. kl. For each keyword ki (1≤i≤l), there are multiple predicated word.

Procedure

- For keyword query the LCA based method retrieve content nodes in XML that are in inverted lists.
- Identify the LCAs of content nodes in inverted list.
- Takes the sub tree rooted at LCAs answer to the query for example suppose the user type the query ”www db” then the content nodes of db are{13,16} and for www are 3, the LCAs of these content nodes are nodes.

Limitation

- It gives irrelevant answer
- The result are not of high quality

C. ELCA based method

To address the limitation of LCA based method exclusive LCA (ELCA) [4] is proposed. It states that an LCA is ELCA if it is still an LCA after excluding its LCA descendents. For example suppose the user typed the query “db tom” then the content nodes of db are {13,16} and for tom are{14,17}, the LCAs of these content nodes are nodes 2,12,15,1, here the ELCAs are 12,15. The sub tree rooted with these nodes is displayed which are relevant answer Node2 is not an ELCA as it is not an LCA after excluding nodes 12 and 15, XU and papakonstantinou [9] proposed a binary-search based method to efficiently identify ELCAs.

D. Fuzzy Type-ahead-top-k for XML data search

In this paper we first check it out that how fuzzy type-ahead search algorithm are come. First there are auto complete search that, if there are keyword is present in same place in the document, then he can easy to retrieve but keyword place different place different place (node) into the document then auto search can’t work. Example “apple iphone” and “iphone
has some feature”, in this case apple iphone present in one node and next node but iphone feature present in different node. Second one is complete search, complete search provide to access data in different place in text document but it can’t access data when keyword contain minor error into the keyword. Last one is fuzzy type-ahead search contain keyword, keyword contain minor error into the keyword it can access data approximately. Whenever ranking the answer of keyword it used LCA and MCT with their particular score [7],[14]. Our parameterized top-k algorithm proceeds in two stages. First one is a structure algorithm that on a problem that on a problem instance construct a structure of feasible size, and the second stage is an enumerating algorithm that produces the k best solutions to the instance based on the structure. We develop new techniques that support efficient enumerating algorithm. We investing the relation between fixed-parameter tractability and parameterized top-k algorithm [16],[1].

### Ranking query answer

Now we discuss how to rank the MCT for a node n as answer to the query. Intuitively, we first evaluate the relevance between node n and each input keyword, and then combine these relevance score as the overall score of the MCT. We will focus on different method to quantity the relevance of node n to a query keyword, and combine relevance score [4], [5], [16].

#### a. Ranking the sub tree

There are two ranking function to compute rank/score between node n and keyword ki.

**Case 1:** n contain keyword ki.

The relevance/score of node n and keyword ki is computed by

\[
\text{SCORE}_1(n, ki) = \ln (1 + tf(ki, n)) \times \ln (idf(ki))
\]

Where, tf(ki, n) – no: of occurrence of ki in sub tree rooted n

idf(ki)- ratio of no: of node in XML to no: of nodes that contain keyword ki

ntl(n)- length of n/max=nodes with max terms

s- Constant set to 0.2

Assume user composed a query containing keyword"db"

\[
\text{SCORE}(13, \text{db}) = \ln (1 + 1) \times \ln (27/2)/(1.0 - 0.2)\]

=1.5

**Case 2:** node n does not contain keyword ki but its descendent has ki. Ranking based on ancestor- descendent relationship.

Second ranking function to compute the score between n and kj is

\[
\text{SCORE}_2(n, kj) = \sum_{p \in P} \alpha \delta(n, p) \times \text{SCORE}_1(p, kj)
\]

Where p- set of pivotal nodes

\(\alpha\) – constant set to 0.8

\(\delta(n, p)\)-Distance between n and p

b. Ranking Fuzzy search

Given a keyword query \(Q = \{k_1, k_1, \ldots, k_l\}\) in term of fuzzy search, a minimal-cost tree may not contain predicated words for each keyword, but contain predicted words for each keyword. Let predicated word be \(\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_l\}\) the best similar prefix of wi could be considered to be most similar to ki. The function to quantify the similarity between ki and wi is

\[
\text{Sim}(k_i, w_i) = \gamma \times \frac{1}{1 + ed(k_i, w_i)} + (1 - \gamma) \times \frac{|s|}{|w_i|}
\]

Where ed- edit distance

ai – prefix

wi – predicted word

\(\gamma\)-constant

Where \(\gamma\) is turning parameter between 0 and 1, as the former is more important, \(\gamma\) is close to 1. Our experiment suggested that a good value for \(\gamma\) is 0.95. We extend the ranking function by incorporating this similarity function to support fuzzy search as below

\[
\text{SCORE}(n, Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \text{Sim}(k_i, w_i) \times \text{SCORE}_1(n, wi_j)
\]

### IV. RELATED WORK

Bast and Weber[5] proposed complete search in textual document, which document, which can find relevant answer by allowing query keyword appear at any place in the answer. However, complete search does not support approximate search, that can’t allow minor error between query keyword and a answer. Recently, S Ji, G. Li studied fuzzy type-ahead search in textual document [9]. It allow user to explore data as they type, keyword. C Li and J. Feng also studied type-ahead search in relational database [8]. Lowest common ancestor (LCA) of keyword query in the LCA of set of content node corresponding to all the keyword in the query. Many algorithms for XML keyword search use the notation of LCA [10]. Improve search efficiently and result quality, Xu and Papkonstantiou [10] proposed Exclusive Lowest Common Ancestor.

Type-ahead search also main part of that specify the matching approximate keyword into statement in the matching
approximate keyword into statement in the presence of minor error also give approximate answer[6]. The limitation of XML query that complete search it affect the minor error, it is hard to understand to user into the system [1]. To solve the problem into minor error keyword search and matching particular word into query type-ahead search [1]. Minimal cost tree is for each node, we define its corresponding answer to the query as its sub tree with paths to nodes that include the query keyword [7]. J. Chen, Lyad A. Kanjb define how top-k work in XML database and how ranking the keyword as effective manner [8]. G Li, Chen Li, J Feng and L Zhou define that when particular keyword present in XML tree how to retrieve and if particular keyword not perfectly match how they retrieve a accurately[9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XML query techniques</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Limitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xpath</td>
<td>Collection of element can be retrieve by specifying Directory.</td>
<td>One or more condition place on path. To increase lack of complexity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xpointer</td>
<td>Specific location defines start point and End point. It specify the absolute location</td>
<td>Location path composed of a series of step join with “/” each in down the preceding, not a single step.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCT</td>
<td>High ranking score</td>
<td>Top-Bottom, Left-Right search data much time need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td>To get answer good ranking</td>
<td>They using “And” semantic between keywords ignore the answer that contain query keyword</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy type-ahead top-k</td>
<td>Easily retrieve data in high ranking score</td>
<td>Multiple keyword search required much time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the keyword search over the XML data which is user-friendly and there is no need for the user to study about the XML data. This paradigm gives the relevant result the user want fuzzy search over XML data is studied which gives approximate result. We studied the problem of fuzzy type-ahead search in XML data. We proposed effective index structure efficiently identify the top-k answer. We examine the LCA-based method to interactively identify the predicted answer. We have developed a minimal-cost-tree based search method to efficiently and progressively identify the most relevant answer. We have implemented our method achieves high search efficiency and result quality.
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