

# IJERT

ISSN : 2278-0181

## International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology

Publish & Find Papers @



[www.ijert.org](http://www.ijert.org)

 **BROWSE**

OPEN



ACCESS

Call for Papers

# Utilization of Rice Husk Ash and Waste Paper Sludge Ash as Partial Replacement of Cement in Concrete

Rasik Fayaz  
Student,

Department of structural engineering,  
Indo Global College Of Engineering,  
Mohali, Punjab 140109

<sup>2</sup> Er. Parvinderjeet Kaur  
Assistant Professor,

Department of structural engineering,  
Indo Global College Of Engineering,  
Mohali, Punjab 140109

<sup>3</sup> Er Kiran Talwar  
Assistant Professor,

Department of Structural Engineering,  
Indo Global Group of Colleges,  
Mohali, Punjab 140109

**Abstract** - Cement mortar and concrete are the most widely used construction materials. Due to growing environmental awareness, as well as regulations on managing industrial waste, the world is increasingly turning to researching properties of industrial wastes and finding solutions on using their valuable component parts so that those might be used as secondary raw material for other industrial applications. Paper sludge production is a by-product of paper making in the Paper Mill Industries and Rice Husk is a by-product of rice processing. In the present scenario, these by-products are being used in other industrial branches and in the field of civil constructions, such as in cement manufacturing along with clinker and in masonry work for civil works. This research work demonstrates the possibilities of using rice husk ash and waste paper sludge ash together as partial replacements of cement in concrete. This research work presents an investigation of compressive strength, and split tensile strength of concrete by adding rice husk ash and waste paper sludge ash as partial replacement of cement in various percentages. In this project Rice Husk Ash (RHA) and Waste Paper Sludge Ash (WPSA) obtained from uncontrolled combustion are used as an alternative construction material for concrete. In the present investigation, a feasibility study is made to use Rice Husk Ash and Waste Paper Sludge Ash as an admixture to Ordinary Portland Cement in Concrete, and an attempt has been made to investigate the strength parameters of concrete (Compressive and Splitting Strength). For control concrete, IS method of mix design is adopted and considering this a basis, mix design for replacement method has been made. Four different replacement levels namely 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% are chosen for the study concern to replacement method. Large range of curing periods starting from 7 days and 28 days are considered in the present study. Cubes (150×150×150mm) and Cylinders (150φ×300mm) with varying ratios of RHA, WPSA and mix of both will be casted. Total no of cubes casted would be 78 and cylinder would be 78. The various tests would be performed to evaluate the action of these materials will be normal consistency, setting time, compressive strength, splitting strength and water absorption. The study would be conducted in the framework of a research project aiming at improving the utilization potential of Rice Husk & Waste paper Sludge Ash.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 GENERAL

Concrete is one of the most widely used construction products in the world. It is mixture of cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate and water. Concrete construction does not require highly skilled labour. The durability of concrete depends upon proportioning, mixing and compacting of the ingredients. The cost of construction materials is increasing day by day because of high demand, scarcity of raw materials, and high price of energy. Agricultural waste (rice husk ash) and industrial by-product (silica fume) (waste paper) have been widely used as partial replacement materials or cement replacement materials in concrete works. The advantages by incorporating these supplementary cementing materials include energy consumption saving (in cement production), low cost, engineering properties improvement, and environmental conservation through reduction of waste deposit. Durability is linked to the physical, chemical and mineralogical properties of materials and permeability. Any improvement in these properties is likely to aid durability. Addition of a pozzolanic material to concrete mix may lead a considerable improvement in the quality of the concrete and its durability. A pozzolanic material or pozzolan has been described as a siliceous and aluminous material. At ordinary temperature and with the presence of moisture it chemically reacts with calcium hydroxide (lime) to form compounds possessing cementitious properties. Rice husk ash (RHA) and silica fume (SF) waste paper sludge ash (WPSA) are considered as rich-silica materials or pozzolanic materials used to replace a portion by mass basic of Portland cement in order to modify the physical and engineering properties of cement and concrete. When these materials blended with cement and in the presence of water, they can react with Calcium Hydroxide ( $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ ) which forms in hydrated Portland cement to produce additional Calcium Silicate Hydrate (C-S-H). With the addition of the these pozzolanic materials, many aspects of concrete properties can be favorably influenced, some by physical effects associated with small particles which have generally a finer particle size distribution than ordinary Portland cement and others by pozzolanic and cementitious reactions resulting in certain

desirable physical effects. Concrete mix proportion and rheological behavior of plastic concrete are caused by the physical effects associated with the particle size and morphology of pozzolans. Strength and permeability of hardened concrete are the main effects associated with the pozzolanic and cementitious reactions. Several studies in developing countries, including Guyana, Thailand, Pakistan and Brazil, have shown that rice husk ash (RHA) can be used as a partial replacement for cement in concrete. The ability to use an agricultural waste product to substitute a percentage of Portland cement would not only reduce the cost of concrete construction in these countries, but would also provide a means of disposing of this ash, which has little alternative uses. Additionally, cement manufacturing is an energy-intensive process, so in addition to reducing the cost of concrete construction and providing a means for disposing of an agricultural waste product, incorporating RHA into concrete as a partial substitute for Portland cement would also stand to reduce the amount of energy associated with concrete construction. The rapid industrialization has resulted in generation of large quantities of wastes. Most of the wastes do not find any effective use and create environmental and ecological problems apart from occupying large tracts of valuable cultivable land. It has been observed that some of these wastes have high potential and can be gainfully utilized as raw mix / blending component in cement manufacturing. The utilization of the industrial solid wastes in cement manufacture will not only help in solving the environmental pollution problems associated with the disposal of these wastes but also help in conservation of natural resources (such as limestone) which are fast depleting. The other benefits to cement industry include lower cost of cement production and lower greenhouse gas emission per ton of cement production. This may also enable cement industries to take benefits of carbon trading.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 GENERAL

This chapter describes the properties of material used for making concrete mixes determined in laboratory as per relevant codes of practice. Different materials used in tests were OPC, coarse aggregates, fine aggregates, rice husk ash and waste paper sludge ash. The description of various tests which were used in this study is given below:

### 2.2 ORDINARY PORTLAND CEMENT

Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) of 53 Grade (Ambuja cement) was used throughout the course of the investigation. The physical properties of the cement as determined from various tests conforming to Indian Standard IS: 12269:1987 are listed in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Properties of OPC 53 Grade

| Sr. No. | Characteristics   | Values Obtained Experimentally                     | Values Specified By IS 12269:1987            |
|---------|---|--|--|
| 1.      | Specific Gravity  | 3.10   | 3.10-3.15                                    |
| 2.      | Standard Consistency  | 31%  | 30-35  |
| 3.      | Initial Setting Time  | 115 minutes  | 30min(minimum)                               |
| 4.      | Final Setting Time  | 283 minutes  | 600min(maximum)                              |
| 5.      | Compressive Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> )<br>7 days<br>28 days | 38.49 N/mm <sup>2</sup><br>52.31 N/mm <sup>2</sup> | 37 N/mm <sup>2</sup><br>53 N/mm <sup>2</sup> |

### 2.3 Aggregates

Aggregates constitute the bulk of a concrete mixture and give dimensional stability to concrete. The aggregates provide about 75% of the body of the concrete and hence its influence is extremely important.

#### 2.3.1 Fine Aggregates

The sand used for the work was locally procured and conformed to Indian Standard Specifications IS: 383-1970. The results are given below in Table 2.3.1 (A) and 2.3.1(B). The fine aggregated belonged to grading zone III.

Table 2.3.1(A): Sieve Analysis of Fine Aggregate

| Weight of sample taken =1000 gm |               |                    |                          |                          |      |                                  |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------|----------------------------------|--|
| Sr. No                          | IS-Sieve (mm) | Mass Retained (gm) | Cumulative mass Retained | Cumulative mass Retained | %age | Cumulative %mass passing through |  |
| 1                               | 4.74          | 1                  | 1                        | 0.1                      |      | 99.9                             |  |
| 2                               | 2.36          | 22                 | 23                       | 2.3                      |      | 97.7                             |  |
| 3                               | 1.18          | 77                 | 100                      | 10                       |      | 90                               |  |
| 5                               | 600μ          | 153                | 253                      | 25.3                     |      | 74.7                             |  |
| 6                               | 300μ          | 264                | 517                      | 51.7                     |      | 48.3                             |  |
| 7                               | 150 μ         | 425                | 942                      | 94.2                     |      | 5.8                              |  |
| 8                               | Below150μ     | 58                 | 1000                     | 100                      |      | 0                                |  |
|                                 | Total         |                    |                          | Σ283.6                   |      |                                  |  |

FM of fine aggregate = 283.6/100=2.836

Table 2.3.1(B): Physical Properties of fine aggregates

| Characteristics  | Value |
|------------------|-------|
| Specific gravity | 2.63  |
| Bulk density     | 5%    |
| Fineness modulus | 2.83  |

### 2.3.2 Coarse Aggregates

Locally available coarse aggregate having the maximum size of 20 mm was used in this work. The aggregates were tested as per IS: 383-1970. The results are shown in Table 2.3.2(A) and Table 2.3.2(B).

Table 2.3.2(A): Sieve Analysis of Coarse Aggregate (20 mm)

| Weight of sample taken =2000 gm |                 |                    |                          |                               |                                   |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Sr. No                          | IS-Sieve (mm)   | Mass Retained (gm) | Cumulative mass Retained | Cumulative %age mass Retained | Cumulative % mass passing through |
| 1                               | 40              | 0                  | 0                        | 0                             | 100                               |
| 2                               | 20              | 145                | 145                      | 7.25                          | 92.75                             |
| 3                               | 10              | 1829               | 1974                     | 98.7                          | 1.3                               |
| 5                               | 4.75            | 124                | 1998                     | 99.9                          | 0.1                               |
| 6                               | 2.36            | 0                  | 1998                     | 99.9                          | 0.1                               |
| 7                               | 1.18            | 0                  | 1998                     | 99.9                          | 0.1                               |
| 8                               | 600 $\mu$       | 0                  | 1998                     | 99.9                          | 0.1                               |
| 9                               | 300 $\mu$       | 0                  | 1998                     | 99.9                          | 0.1                               |
| 10                              | 150 $\mu$       | 0                  | 1998                     | 99.9                          | 0.1                               |
| 11                              | Below 150 $\mu$ | 2                  | 2000                     | 100                           | 0                                 |
|                                 | Total           |                    |                          | $\Sigma$ 805.35               |                                   |

FM of Coarse aggregate =  $805.35/100=8.0535$

Table 2.3.2(B): Properties of Coarse Aggregates

| Characteristics        | Value   |
|------------------------|---------|
| Type                   | Crushed |
| Colour                 | Grey    |
| Shape                  | Angular |
| Nominal Size           | 20 mm   |
| Specific Gravity       | 2.62    |
| Total Water Absorption | 0.89    |
| Fineness Modulus       | 8.05    |

### 2.4 RHA

In this work, Rice Husk was taken from the locality around Awantipora. Rice husk firstly wash with portable water then dried in the sun. After then rice husk burnt in the open atmosphere so as to convert it into ash.

Table 2.4: Physical properties of Rice Husk Ash

|                  |                                |
|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Appearance       | Fine powder                    |
| Particle Size    | Sieved through 90 micron sieve |
| Specific gravity | 2.21                           |
| Color            | Light grey                     |

### 2.5 WASTE PAPER SLUDGE ASH

Waste paper sludge was taken from JML Waste Paper Corporation, Pathankot, Punjab. Waste paper was burnt in the open atmosphere so as to convert it into ash.

Table 2.5: Physical properties of Waste Paper Ash

|                  |                                |
|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Appearance       | Fine powder                    |
| Particle Size    | Sieved through 90 micron sieve |
| Color            | Dark grey                      |
| Specific gravity | 2.09                           |

#### 4.5 MIX DESIGN

The concrete mix design was done by using IS 10262 for M-20 grade of concrete.

Design stipulations for proportioning

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Grade designation                        | M20                                     |
| Type of cement grade                     | OPC 53 grade confirming to IS12269:1987 |
| Maximum nominal size of aggregates       | 20 mm                                   |
| Minimum cement content kg/m <sup>3</sup> | 320 kg/m <sup>3</sup>                   |
| Maximum water cement ratio               | 0.55                                    |
| Workability                              | 75 mm (slump)                           |
| Exposure condition                       | Mild                                    |
| Degree of supervision                    | Good                                    |
| Type of aggregate                        | Crushed angular aggregate               |
| Maximum cement content                   | 450 kg/m <sup>3</sup>                   |
| Chemical admixture                       | Not                                     |

Test Data for Materials

|                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Cement used                          | OPC 53 grade confirming to IS 12269:1987            |
| Specific gravity of cement           | 3.10  |
| Specific gravity of Coarse aggregate | 2.88  |
| Fine aggregate                       | 2.63  |
| Sieve analysis Coarse aggregate      | Coarse aggregate : Conforming to Table 2 of IS: 383 |
| Fine aggregate                       | Fine aggregate : Conforming to Zone III of IS: 383  |

Target Strength For Mix Proportioning

$$f'_{ck} = f_{ck} + 1.65 s$$

Where,

$f'_{ck}$  = Target average compressive strength at 28 days,

$f_{ck}$  = Characteristic compressive strength at 28 days,

$s$  = Standard deviation

From Table 1 standard deviation,  $s = 4.6 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Therefore target strength =  $20 + 1.65 \times 4.6 = 27.59 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Selection of Water Cement Ratio

From Table 5 of IS:456-2000, maximum water cement ratio = 0.55 (Mild exposure)

Based on experience adopt water cement ratio as 0.50

$0.5 < 0.55$ , hence ok

Selection of water and sand content From Table 4 of IS 10262:1982

| Maximum Size of Aggregate(mm) | Water Content including Surface Water, Per Cubic Meter of Concrete(kg) | Sand as percent of Total Aggregate by Absolute volume |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 20                            | 186  | 35  |

Adjustments from Table 6 of IS 10262:1982

| Change in condition                                      | Percent adjustment required |                         |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|  | Water Content               | Sand in total Aggregate |
| Increase or decrease in water- cement ratio that is 0.05 | 0                           | -2                      |
| Increase or decrease in value of compacting by 0.10      | 0                           | 0                       |
| For Sand   | 0                           | -1.5                    |

Therefore, required sand content as percentage of total aggregate by absolute volume =  $35 - 3.5 = 31.5\%$

Volume of aggregate =  $100 - 31.5 = 68.5\%$

Calculation of Cement Content

Water cement ratio = 0.50

Cement content =  $186 / 0.5 = 372 \text{ kg/m}^3 > 320 \text{ kg/m}^3$  (given)

From Table 5 of IS: 456, minimum cement content for mild exposure condition =  $300 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Hence OK

Determination of Coarse and Fine Aggregate contents

From Table 3 of IS 10262:1982, for the specified maximum size of aggregate of 20mm, the amount of entrapped air in the wet concrete is 2 percent. Taking this into account and applying

$$V = (W + C / S_c + 1/P \times f_a / S_{fa}) \times 1/1000$$

$$C_a = 1 - P/P \times f_a \times S_{ca} / S_{fa}$$

Where,

V = absolute volume of fresh concrete, which is equal to gross volume ( $\text{m}^3$ ) minus the volume of entrapped air.

W = mass of water (Kg) per  $\text{m}^3$  of concrete

C = mass of cement (Kg) per  $\text{m}^3$  of concrete

$S_c$  = specific gravity of cement

P = ratio of FA to total aggregate by absolute volume

$f_a, C_a$  = total masses of FA and CA (Kg) per  $\text{m}^3$  of concrete respectively

$S_{fa}, S_{ca}$  = specific gravity of saturated, surface dry fine aggregate and coarse aggregate respectively.

$$0.98 = 186 + 372 / 3.10 + 1 / 0.315 \times f_a / 2.63 \times 1/1000$$

$$980 = 306 + 1.20 f_a$$

$$f_a = 561.66 \text{ Kg/m}^3$$

$$C_a = 1216.74 \text{ Kg/m}^3$$

The mix proportion then becomes:

Water:Cement:Fine Aggregate:Coarse Aggregate

186:372:561.66:1216.74

0.5:1:1.5:3.2

Table 2.6: The mixture proportions used in laboratory for experimentation are shown in table

| Mix                     | %  | w/c ratio | Water (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Cement (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Fine Aggregate (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Coarse Aggregate (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | RHA (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | WPSA (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|-------------------------|----|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control                 | -  | 0.50      | 186                        | 372                         | 562                                 | 1217                                  | -                        | -                         |
| Rice Husk Ash           | 5  | 0.50      | 186                        | 353.4                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 18.6                     | -                         |
|                         | 10 | 0.50      | 186                        | 334.8                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 37.2                     | -                         |
|                         | 15 | 0.50      | 186                        | 316.2                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 55.8                     | -                         |
|                         | 20 | 0.50      | 186                        | 297.6                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 74.4                     | -                         |
| Waste Paper Sludge Ash  | 5  | 0.50      | 186                        | 353.4                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | -                        | 18.6                      |
|                         | 10 | 0.50      | 186                        | 334.8                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | -                        | 37.2                      |
|                         | 15 | 0.50      | 186                        | 316.2                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | -                        | 55.8                      |
|                         | 20 | 0.50      | 186                        | 297.6                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | -                        | 74.4                      |
| Mixture of RHA and WPSA | 5  | 0.50      | 186                        | 353.4                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 9.3                      | 9.3                       |
|                         | 10 | 0.50      | 186                        | 334.8                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 18.6                     | 18.6                      |
|                         | 15 | 0.50      | 186                        | 316.2                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 27.9                     | 27.9                      |
|                         | 20 | 0.50      | 186                        | 297.6                       | 562                                 | 1217                                  | 37.2                     | 37.2                      |

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### GENERAL

This chapter presents a summary of the results obtained from laboratory tests that have been done on the specimen. Tests were done on materials (cement, fine aggregates, coarse aggregates, RHA and WPSA), fresh and hardened concrete.

#### 3.1 FRESH CONCRETE

##### 3.1.1 Slump Test

The slump value of all the mixture are represented in Table 5.1.1

Table 3.1.1: Slump Tests Results

| Mix            | Percentage | Slump Value |
|----------------|------------|-------------|
| Control        | 0%         | 90mm        |
| RHA            | 5%         | 65mm        |
|                | 10%        | 55mm        |
|                | 15%        | 25mm        |
|                | 20%        | 20mm        |
| WPSA           | 5%         | 60mm        |
|                | 10%        | 55mm        |
|                | 15%        | 50mm        |
|                | 20%        | 20mm        |
| Mix (RHA+WPSA) | 5%         | 30mm        |
|                | 10%        | 20mm        |
|                | 15%        | 15mm        |
|                | 20%        | 7mm         |

The slump value v/s percentage of replacement was shown in Fig 5.1.1. The slump decreased when a higher amount of RHA, WPSA and combination of both (RHA+WPSA) was mix was added in concrete.

##### 3.1.2 Compaction Factor Test

The Compaction factor values of all the mixture are represented in Table 5.1.2

Table 3.1.2: Compaction Factor Results

| Mix            | Percentage | Compaction Factor |
|----------------|------------|-------------------|
| CONTROL        | 0%         | 0.93              |
| RHA            | 5%         | 0.90              |
|                | 10%        | 0.87              |
|                | 15%        | 0.83              |
|                | 20%        | 0.82              |
| WPSA           | 5%         | 0.92              |
|                | 10%        | 0.90              |
|                | 15%        | 0.85              |
|                | 20%        | 0.81              |
| MIX (RHA+WPSA) | 5%         | 0.84              |
|                | 10%        | 0.83              |
|                | 15%        | 0.80              |
|                | 20%        | 0.78              |

The compaction factor value of control concrete is 0.93. As we go on increasing the % replacement of cement with the RHA from 5 to 20% the compaction factor value decreases from 0.92 to 0.82. In the case of WPSA the compaction factor value decreases gradually from 0.92 to 0.81. And same as in case of Mix (RHA+WPSA) the compaction factor value decreases gradually from 0.84 to 0.78.

### 3.2 Hardened Concrete

#### 3.2.1: Effect of Age on Compressive Strength

The 28 days strength obtained for M20 Grade Control concrete is 30.93 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The strength results reported in table no 5.2.1 are presented in the form of graphical variations, where the compressive strength is plotted against the % of cement replacement.

Table 3.2.1: Compressive Strength of Control concrete in N/mm<sup>2</sup>

| Grade of concrete | 7Days | 28Days |
|-------------------|-------|--------|
| M20               | 20.4  | 30.93  |

The strength achieved at different ages namely, 7 and 28 for Control concrete.

It is clear that as the age advances, the strength of Control concrete increases. The rate of increase of strength is higher at curing period up to 28 days. However the strength gain continues at a slower rate after 28 days.

#### 3.2.2 Effect of Age on Split Tensile Strength of Control Concrete

The 28 days tensile strength obtained for M20 Grade Control concrete is 2.71 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The strength results reported in table no 5.2.2 are presented in the form of graphical variations, where the compressive strength is plotted against the % of cement replacement.

Table 3.2.2: Split Tensile Strength of Control concrete in N/mm<sup>2</sup>

| Grade of concrete | 7Days | 28Days |
|-------------------|-------|--------|
| M20               | 1.94  | 2.71   |


It is clear that as the age advances, the split tensile strength of Control concrete increases. The rate of increase of strength is higher at curing period up to 28 days. However the strength gain continues at a slower rate after 28 days.

#### 3.2.3: Effect on Compressive Strength of Concrete Containing various percentages of RHA.

Table 3.2.3: Compressive Strength of RHA Concrete

| Mix     | Percentage of Cement Replacement | Cube Compressive Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |         |
|---------|----------------------------------|--|---------|
|         |                                  | 7 days   | 28 Days |
| CONTROL | 0%                               | 20.4   | 30.93   |
| RHA     | 5%                               | 19.67  | 29.26   |
|         | 10%                              | 19.63  | 28.85   |
|         | 15%                              | 18.66  | 24.74   |
|         | 20%                              | 15.22  | 21.48   |






As per experimental program and results shown in table no. 3.2.3. We can replace cement by RHA up to 10%. Because the compressive strength up to 10% replacement of cement is comparatively equal to control mix design. If cement is replaced by RHA more than 10% the loss in compressive strength is comparatively greater than the replacement up to 10%.

3.2.4: Effect on Split Tensile Strength of Concrete Containing various percentages of RHA.

Table 3.2.4: Split Tensile Strength of RHA Concrete

| Mix | Percentage of Cement Replacement | Split Tensile Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |         |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|---------|
|     |                                  | 7 days                                      | 28 Days |
| M20 | 0%                               | 1.94  | 2.71    |
|     | 5%                               | 2.03  | 2.94    |
| RHA | 10%                              | 1.99  | 2.72    |
|     | 15%                              | 1.89  | 2.34    |
|     | 20%                              | 1.34  | 1.97    |




As per table no.3.2.4 the split tensile strength for replacement of 5% is higher than control mix design and decreases with further increase in RHA but up to 10% of replacement the split tensile strength is still more than the split tensile strength of control mix design.

3.2.5: Effect on Compressive Strength of Concrete Containing various percentages of WPSA

Table 3.2.5: Compressive Strength of WPSA Concrete

| Mix     | Percentage of Cement Replacement | Cube Compressive Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |         |
|---------|----------------------------------|--|---------|
|         |                                  | 7 days   | 28 Days |
| CONTROL | 0%                               | 20.4   | 30.93   |
| WPSA    | 5%                               | 24.07  | 31.26   |
|         | 10%                              | 22.3   | 27.59   |
|         | 15%                              | 19.67  | 25.1    |
|         | 20%                              | 16.89  | 23.04   |




As per the results shown in table no.3.2.5 the compressive strength at 7 days for 5% and 10% replacement of cement by WPSA are higher than Control Mix, further increases in % replacement the compressive strength goes on decreases. The compressive strength at 28 Days for 5% replacement is found out to be 31.26 N/mm<sup>2</sup> which is higher than the compressive strength of 30.93N/mm<sup>2</sup> of control mix. For 10% replacement the compressive strength is comparatively nearer to the control mix and for further increases in % replacement the compressive strength decreases.

3.2.6: Effect on Split Tensile Strength of Concrete Containing various percentages of WPSA

Table 3.2.6: Split Tensile Strength of WPSA Concrete

| Mix  | Percentage of Cement Replacement | Split Tensile Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |         |
|------|----------------------------------|---|---------|
|      |                                  | 7 days                                      | 28 Days |
| M20  | 0%                               | 1.94  | 2.71    |
| WPSA | 5%                               | 2.34  | 3.11    |
|      | 10%                              | 2.1   | 2.92    |
|      | 15%                              | 1.82  | 2.78    |
|      | 20%                              | 1.69  | 2.02    |




From the results shown in table no 3.2.6 the split tensile strength at 7 Days and 28 Days for 5% and 10% replacement by WPSA is found to be higher than the Control Mix. For 15% the split tensile strength is comparatively equal to the control Mix and for further increase in % replacement of cement the split tensile strength decreases.

3.2.7: Effect of Compressive Strength of Concrete Containing various percentages of Mix(RHA+ WPSA)

Table 3.3.7: Compressive Strength of Mix (RHA+ WPSA)Concrete

| Mix            | Percentage of Cement Replacement | Cube Compressive Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |         |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--|---------|
|                |                                  | 7 days   | 28 Days |
| CONTROL        | 0%                               | 20.4   | 30.93   |
| MIX (RHA+WPSA) | 5%                               | 19.84  | 28.89   |
|                | 10%                              | 18.82  | 27.66   |
|                | 15%                              | 18.6   | 24.52   |
|                | 20%                              | 16.03  | 18.82   |




The results from table no 3.3.7 represents that 10% replacement with Mix(RHA+WPSA) the compressive strength are comparatively equal to Control Mix strength, and further increase in % replacement the strength decreases.

3.2.8: Effect of Split Tensile Strength of Concrete Containing various percentages of Mix(RHA+ WPSA)

Table 3.2.8: Split Tensile Strength of Mix (RHA+ WPSA) Concrete

| Mix            | Percentage of Cement Replacement | Splitting Tensile Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |         |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---|---------|
|                |                                  | 7 days  | 28 Days |
| M20            | 0%                               | 1.94  | 2.71    |
| MIX (RHA+WPSA) | 5%                               | 1.96  | 2.95    |
|                | 10%                              | 1.86  | 2.81    |
|                | 15%                              | 1.71  | 2.64    |
|                | 20%                              | 1.65  | 2.24    |



As per the results from table no.3.2.8. The split tensile strength of 5% replacement of cement with Mix(RHA+WPSA) has higher value than the control mix and 10% replacement has comparatively equal split tensile strength to Control Mix. For the 15% and 20 % the split tensile structure decreases gradually.

4. CONCLUSIONS

4.1 GENERAL

The objective of this experimentation has been to evaluate the possibility of successful replacement of cement with RHA, WPSA and MIX (RHA+WPSA) in concrete.

The conclusion drawn during the experimentations are as follows:

4.2: Split Tensile Strength of Control Concrete, RHA Concrete, WPSA Concrete & Mix(RHA+WPSA) at 28 Days

The compressive strength and split tensile strength increased up to 20% with 5% replacement of WPSA. Further increase in WPSA decreases the strength gradually and up to 10% replacement it can be used as a supplementary material in M20 grade of Concrete.

The above results shows that it is possible to design M20 grade of concrete incorporating with RHA content up to 10%.

As test results shows the Mix (RHA+WPSA) can also be used as a replacement of cement.

Control mix with 5% WPSA showed higher Compressive Strength than Control mix, RHA concrete and Mix(RHA+WPSA) concrete.

The study showed that the early strength of RHA, WPSA and Mix (RHA+WPSA) concrete was found to be less and the strength increased with age.

The workability of RHA,WPSA and Mix(RHA+WPSA) concrete has been found to decrease with the increase in replacements.

Based on the results of Split Tensile Strength test,it is convenient to state that there is substantial increase in Tensile Strength due to the addition of RHA, WPSA and Mix (RHA+WPSA).

Use of Waste Paper Sludge Ash, Rice Husk Ash and Mix (RHA+WPSA) in concrete can prove to be economical as it is non useful waste and free of cost.

Use of waste paper sludge ash in concrete will preserve natural resources that are used for cement manufacture and thus make concrete construction industry sustainable and waste paper sludge can be used as fuel before using its ash in concrete for partial cement replacement and also the disposal problem for paper industries for this waste material is fully solved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad S, Malik M.I., Wani M.B., Ahmad R. (2013). "Study of Concrete Involving Use of Waste Paper Sludge Ash As Partial Replacement of Cement." IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN), Vol. 3, Issue 11, pp. 6-15.
- [2] Alwash J.J.H., "Use of Rice Husk Ash in cement mortar."
- [3] Balwik S.A., Raut S.P. "Utilization of Waste paper Pulp by Partial Replacement of Cement in Concrete." Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), Vol. 1, Issue 2, pp. 300-309.
- [4] Chun Y.M., Naik T.R., Kraus R.N. (2005). "Durable concrete through use of pulp and paper mill residuals." Composites in Construction, pp. 1-8.
- [5] Hossain T., Sarker S.K., Basak B.C. (2011). "Utilization potential of Rice Husk Ash as a construction material in rural areas." Journal of Civil Engineering (IEB), pp. 175-188.
- [6] IS: 10262-1982 (Reaffirmed 1999). "Recommended guidelines for Concrete Mix Design." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).
- [7] IS: 2386 (Part IV)-1963 (Reaffirmed 1997). "Methods of test for aggregates for concrete." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).
- [8] IS: 383-1970 (Reaffirmed 2002). "Specification for coarse and fine aggregates from natural sources for concrete." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).
- [9] IS: 4031 (Part 11)-1988. "Methods of physical tests for hydraulic cement." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).
- [10] IS: 4031 (Part 15)-1991 (Reaffirmed 2005). "Methods of physical tests for hydraulic cement." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).
- [11] IS: 456-2000 (Reaffirmed 2005). "Plain and reinforced concrete-Code of practice." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).
- [12] IS:1199-1959 (Reaffirmed 1999). "Methods of sampling and analysis of concrete." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).
- [13] Ishimoto B.H, Origuchi T., Yasuda M. (2000) "Use of Papermaking Sludge As New Material." Journal of Material in Civil Engineering, Vol. 12, pp. 310-312.
- [14] Kartini. K (2011). "Rice Husk Ash-Pozzolan material for sustainability." Int. Journal of Applied Science and Technology, Vol. 1 No.6, pp. 169-178.
- [15] Kene S.D., Domke P.V., Deshmuh S.D., Deotale R.S. "Assessment of concrete strength using Fly Ash and Rice Husk Ash." Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), Vol. 1, Issue. 3, pp. 524-534.
- [16] Khassaf S.I., Jasim A.T., Mahdi F.K. (2014). "Investigation the properties of concrete containing Rich Husk Ash to reduction the seepage in canals." Int. Journal of Scientific and Technology Resaearch, Vol. 3, Issue 4, pp. 348-354.
- [17] Kumar Sathish (2012). "Experimental study on the properties of concrete made with alternate construction materials" Int. Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Vol. 2, Issue. 5, pp. 3006-3012.
- [18] Pitroda J.R., Umrigar F.S. (2013). "Evaluation of Modulus of Elasticity of concrete with partial Replacement of Cement By Thermal Industry Waste (Fly Ash) and Paper Industry Waste (Hypo Sludge)." Int. Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT), Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 133-138.
- [19] Pitroda J.R., Zala L.B, Umrigar F.S.(2013). "Utilization of Hypo Sluge by Eco- Efficient Development of Rigid Pavement in Rural Roads." Int. Journal of Engineering Trends and Techonology (IJETT), Vol. 4, pp. 3994-4000.
- [20] Potty N.S., Vallyutham K., Yusoff M.F., Anwar A., Haron M.F., Alias M.N. (2014). "Properties of Rice Husk Ash (RHA and MIRHA) mortar." Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, pp. 3872-3882.
- [21] Rajput J., Yadav R.K., Chandak R. (2013). "The effect of Rice Husk Ash used as supplementary cementing material on strength of mortar." Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), Vol. 3, Issue. 3, pp. 133-136.
- [22] Ramezaniapour A.A., Khani M.M., Ahmadi Gh. (2009). "The effect of Rice Husk Ash on mechanical properties and durability of sustainable concretes." Int. Journal of Civil Engineering, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 83-91.
- [23] Rao P.P., Kumar A.P., Singh B.B. (2014). "A study on use of Rice Husk Ash in concrete." Int. Journal of Education and Applies Research (IJEAR), Vol. 4, Issue Spl-2, pp. 75-81.
- [24] Seyyedaliipour S.F., Kebria D.Y., Malidarreh N.R., Norouznejad G. (2014). "Study of Utilization of Pulp and Paper Industry Wastes in Production of Concrete." Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), Vol. 4, Issue 1, pp. 115-122.
- [25] Shah R.A., Pitroda J.R. (2013). "Effect of Hypo Sludge As Partial Replacement with Cement in Mortar." JIARM, Vol. 1, Issue 3, pp. 195-205.
- [26] Shukeri R.B.M., Ghani A.N.A. (2008). "Concrete mix with waste paper." 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conference on Built Environment in Developing Countries (ICBEDC), pp. 567-575.
- [27] Srinivasan R., Sathiya K., Palanisamy M. (2010). "Experimental Investigation in Developing Low Cost Concrete From Paper Industry Waste." pp. 43-56.