Traffic Grooming in WDM Ring Network: Minimization of ADMs with Real Time and Non-Real Time Traffic Demands
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Abstract—The synchronous optical network (SONET) ring is the most widely used optical network infrastructure today in metropolitan area networks (MANs). While deploying wavelength-division multiplexing (WDM) over SONET ring, traffic grooming is an important network-design problem. SONET allows each wavelength to carry several lower-rate independent traffic channels using time-division multiplexing (TDM). For each logical connection that is established on one TDM time slot of a wavelength, traffic needs to be added and dropped only at the two end nodes of the connection. It is possible to have some nodes on some wavelength where no add/drop is needed on any time slot, thus resulting in the saving of the electronic equipment cost, i.e., cost of add-drop multiplexers (ADMs). By properly arranging the connections on the network, the savings can be maximized, which is basically an optimization problem. In WDM SONET ring, the equipment cost for ADMs is predominantly high, so efficient traffic grooming can greatly reduce the network cost. A mathematical formulation of the problem turns out to be an integer linear program (ILP). The relationship of the minimal number of ADMs required with the traffic load is examined and the optimal number of wavelengths that would be required for increasing traffic load is also estimated. Finally, a comparison of the number of ADMs required when single-hop connectivity, i.e., when all optical end-to-end connection exists between the source and the destination nodes is also examined.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Traffic grooming is the process of grouping many small telecommunications traffic flows into a larger traffic stream, which can be processed as a single entity into the network backbone. For example, in a network using both time-division multiplexing (TDM) as well as wavelength-division multiplexing (WDM), two flows which are destined for a common destination node can be placed on the same wavelength, allowing them to be dropped by an optical add drop multiplexer (OADM) [1]. The objective of grooming is to minimize the overall cost of the network [2]. The line terminating equipment (also called add-drop multiplexers or ADMs) is the most dominant component in an optical WDM network in respect of cost. Thus grooming involves minimizing the usage of ADMs.

Synchronous optical network (SONET) [3] ring is the most widely used optical network solution today in metropolitan area networks (MANs) due to its large capacity and inherent reliability. SONET allows each wavelength running at the line rate say OC-N to carry several independent low-speed traffic flows using TDM. For each logical connection, which has been established in one TDM time-slot of a certain wavelength, traffic needs to be added and dropped only at the two end nodes of the connection. It is possible to have some intermediate nodes on some wavelength where no add/drop is necessary in any time-slot, so the cost of electronic equipment can be saved. By choosing the manner of setting up of all the connections (i.e. a routing algorithm), the number of ADMs can be reduced. For a given number of wavelengths, the number of ADMs can be minimized using integer linear programming (ILP) [4] following simplex algorithm [5]. The present work deals with the problem of setting up single-hop connections on a bi-directional WDM-TDM ring for real-time (RT) and non-real time (NRT) best-effort data traffic demands together.

II. PREVIOUS WORK AND OUR CONTRIBUTION

The previous works have addressed the problem of minimizing the ADMs for static NRT traffic only in WDM ring network. In this work, we have minimized the usage of ADMs for static NRT as well as RT traffic demands for a given network. The proposed methods able to calculate the minimized number of ADMs to be used as we have included the RT traffic streams (along with NRT traffic) as well in the modified ILP with due considerations to the respective qualities of services (QoSs) for both (RT and NRT traffic components). We are also able to calculate the minimized number of ADMs required under single hop connectivity i.e. during all-optical connection between source node and destination node.

III. PROBLEM DEFINITION

We consider in this section the traffic-grooming problem for a bidirectional WDM-TDM ring. Given a set of wavelengths, each with C timeslots (TDM slots), the objective is to setup a given best-effort connection (for NRT traffic) or a circuit-switched category connection with some stringent QoS (for RT traffic) in the available timeslots of a wavelength. An ADM is added to the node on a particular wavelength that
is the start or end point of at least one logical connection. The goal is to minimize the total number of ADMs. It turns out to be an ILP with the objective function to minimize the total number of ADMs used in the network. Each element of the input traffic demand matrix (excepting the diagonal elements) has to be an integer multiple of one basic unit. One basic unit represents the traffic carried on one timeslot of any wavelength used in the optical fiber. As mentioned above, it has to ensure that the RT traffic packets are sent along the same path for a particular source-destination pair (i.e., there cannot be bifurcation or splitting of a given traffic demand on RT packets).

In Fig. 1, a schematic of a node on the WDM ring is shown wherein four wavelengths are used to illustrate the network functioning. The node has two OADMs where the wavelengths are added/dropped by the optical switches as required. The $\text{ADM}_{i,w}$ is the electronic domain ADM at node $i$ on wavelength $w$ which processes the specific wavelength to add/drop the appropriate time slotted traffic components.

![Figure 1. Node configuration in a WDM ring using OADMs and ADMs](image)

### IV. DEFINITIONS

The following parameters and variables have been used in the formulation of the ILP.

- **$N$**: Number of nodes in the network.
- **$W$**: Number of wavelengths used in the network (each wavelength can transmit in several timeslots using TDM, $W/2$ wavelengths used in clockwise direction and the remaining $W/2$ in anticlockwise direction).
- **$C$**: Total number of circles (TDM time slots) that each wavelength can carry.
- **$e$**: Particular timeslot on a wavelength $t1$: Traffic matrix, in which $t1_{ij}$ represents the NRT traffic demand from source node $i$ to destination node $j$.

$\text{t2}$: Traffic matrix, in which $t2_{ij}$ represents the RT traffic demand from source node $i$ to destination node $j$.

- **$d$**: Directions used in bidirectional ring
  - $d=1$: Clockwise direction
  - $d=2$: Anticlockwise direction
- **$e$**: Edge in the physical topology
- **$i$**: Source node
- **$j$**: Destination node
- **$k$**: Intermediate node

### V. ILP FORMULATION

**Objective function**: Minimize the number of ADMs of each wavelength ‘$w$’ of each node ‘$i$’ of the network, with $\text{ADM}_{i,w}$ a binary variable representing the presence ($= 1$) or absence ($= 0$) of an ADM for node $i$ at wavelength $w$, i.e., for the entire ring, one needs to:

$$\text{Minimize: } \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{w=1}^{W} \text{ADM}_{i,w}$$ (1)

**Traffic Load Constraint**: A static traffic demand $t1_{ij}$ (NRT traffic) and $t2_{ij}$ (RT traffic) for all source destination pairs as integer multiples of one basic unit is taken as input. The traffic load constraint ensures each source-destination pair’s traffic demand is sufficed through any of the logical connections, i.e., any time slot of any wavelength that is free.

$D_{ij}^\text{en}$ is a binary variable signifying the logical end-to-end connection for NRT traffic between source ‘$i$’ and destination ‘$j$’ on slot ‘$c$’ of wavelength ‘$w$’ in direction ‘$d$’ (1 or 2 representing clockwise and anticlockwise directions respectively).

$D_{ij}^\text{ew}$ is a binary variable signifying the logical end-to-end connection for RT traffic between source ‘$i$’ and destination ‘$j$’ on slot ‘$c$’ of wavelength ‘$w$’ in direction ‘$d$’ (1 or 2 representing clockwise and anticlockwise directions respectively).
The channel capacity constraint ensures that there is no overlap of allocations of any resources (timeslots) given to the traffic demands of all the source-destination pairs, i.e., on a particular edge and on a particular wavelength, a particular timeslot can carry traffic (NRT/RT) for only one source destination pair.

\[
\sum_{w=1}^{W} \sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{d=1}^{2} dD_{ij}^{cw} = t1_{ij} \quad \forall i, j \tag{2}
\]

\[
\sum_{w=1}^{W} \sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{d=1}^{2} dV_{ij}^{cw} = t2_{ij} \quad \forall i, j \tag{3}
\]

**Channel capacity Constraint:** The channel capacity constraint ensures that the logical connections (\(dD_{ij}^{cw}\) and \(dV_{ij}^{cw}\)) established at source node ‘\(i\)’ for all destination nodes on any particular wavelength ‘\(w\)’ can be at most \(C\) units of traffic, if and only if the ADM (\(\text{ADM}_{iw}\)) at that node ‘\(i\)’ and that wavelength ‘\(w\)’ exists.

\[
\sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{N} dD_{ij}^{cw} + dV_{ij}^{cw} \leq C \times \text{ADM}_{iw} \quad \forall i, w, d \tag{4}
\]

**Transmitter constraint:** The transmitter constraint ensures that the logical connections (\(dD_{ij}^{cw}\) and \(dV_{ij}^{cw}\)) established at source node ‘\(i\)’ for all destination nodes on any particular wavelength ‘\(w\)’ can be at most \(C\) units of traffic if and only if the ADM (\(\text{ADM}_{jw}\)) at that node ‘\(j\)’ and that wavelength ‘\(w\)’ exists.

\[
\sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{i=1}^{N} dD_{ij}^{cw} + dV_{ij}^{cw} \leq C \times \text{ADM}_{jw} \quad \forall j, w, d \tag{5}
\]

**Receiver constraint:** The receiver constraint ensures that the logical connections (\(dD_{ij}^{cw}\) and \(dV_{ij}^{cw}\)) dropped at destination node ‘\(j\)’ from all source nodes on any particular wavelength ‘\(w\)’ can be at most \(C\) units of traffic if and only if the ADM (\(\text{ADM}_{jw}\)) at that node ‘\(j\)’ and that wavelength ‘\(w\)’ exists.

\[
\sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{i=1}^{N} dD_{ij}^{cw} + dV_{ij}^{cw} \leq C \times \text{ADM}_{jw} \quad \forall j, w, d \tag{6}
\]

The last two constraint equations (5) and (6) ensure that the number of end-to-end logical connections that can start and terminate at any node is bounded by the number of timeslots ‘\(C\)’ a wavelength can carry. If there is an ADM at any node for a particular wavelength, at most \(C\) logical connections (\(dD_{ij}^{cw}\) and \(dV_{ij}^{cw}\)) can start/terminate there. In the case of absence of the ADM on that wavelength at that node, no add/drop operation will take place therein.

**Non-splitting constraint for RT traffic:** The RTTraffic for a particular source-destination pair should be carried only on one direction to ensure constant delay for all RTpackets of the same connection. We have come up with a constraint in the following ILP to ensure this QoS feature.

\[
R_{ji}^{d}\text{ is another binary variable indicating the presence}(=1)\text{ or absence}(=0)\text{ of a logical connection for RTtraffic from particular source ‘}i\text{’ to destination ‘}j\text{’ in direction}d.
\]

\[
\sum_{w=1}^{W} \sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{d=1}^{2} dV_{ij}^{cw} = t2_{ij} \times R_{ji}^{d} \quad \forall i, j \tag{7}
\]

To ensure that RT traffic of a given connection can only travel in only one direction/path, \(R_{ji}^{d}\) should be 1 for one From the plots (both Fig 2. and Fig 3.), we observe that there is an approximately linear relationship between the minimal number of ADMs required and the traffic load. As the traffic demand is scaled up, the objective value of the ILP, i.e., the minimal number of ADMs, also increases proportionately. However, at lower traffic demands, due to QoS constraints of RT traffic, one needs slightly larger number of ADMs.

\[
\sum_{d=1}^{2} R_{ji}^{d} \leq 1 \quad \forall i, j \tag{8}
\]

**Single Hop constraint:** This constraint ensures that when there is traffic flow between a particular source destination pair, there should not be an intermediate node where the wavelength carrying the traffic should be dropped by the O-ADMs and be processed in the electronic domain by the ADMs.

\[
\sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} dD_{ij}^{cw} + dV_{ij}^{cw} \leq A \times (1 - \text{ADM}_{kw}) \quad \forall k, w, c, d \tag{11}
\]

If \(k\) is an intermediate node between source \(i\) and destination \(j\) in direction \(d\).

If say in intermediate node \(k\) (between source \(i\) and destination \(j\)) there is presence of \(\text{ADM}_{kw}\) \((=1)\) i.e. the wavelength \(w\) is dropped, we can clearly see that the RHS of equation 11 will become 0, therefore LHS has to become zero as well according to the constraint. So now according to the constraint, there cannot be a light path carrying traffic for any source destination for which node \(k\) is an intermediate node. On the other hand, if there is no \(\text{ADM}_{kw}\) \((=0)\), we clearly see that the RHS of the equation 11 will become \(N\), therefore the LHS can be something less than \(A\). So now according to the constraint, there can be at most \(A\) source destination pairs that
can carry traffic on the slot $c$ of wavelength $w$ (spatially separated manner ensuring channel capacity constraint). There can at most $N^2$ connections of traffic for the other $N-1$ nodes other than node $k$, therefore $A = N^2$.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we present the results of the proposed ILP problem of traffic grooming (without single hop connectivity, i.e., eq. 11) for two specific cases: case 1 - variation of required number of ADMs with increasing traffic for fixed number of wavelengths, and case 2 - variation of wavelength-optimized required number of ADMs with increasing traffic.

Case 1: In a given network, the number of wavelengths ($W$) and total timeslots per wavelength ($C$) are kept constant. The traffic load is scaled up and the relationship of the minimal number of ADMs used (objective value of the ILP) with the traffic load is observed.

ILP is solved (using CPLEX, a professional LP solver) on a bidirectional WDM ring network with 6 nodes ($N=6$). The total number of wavelengths used is $10$ ($W=10$) and the total number of timeslots per wavelength is taken as $10$ ($C=10$). Traffic load comprises only of NRT traffic (Fig 2). Traffic demand is uniformly distributed for 80% source-destination pairs and the other 20% source-destination pairs have no traffic flow.

![Figure 2: Minimized number of ADMs vs NRT traffic load](image1)

ILP is again solved on a bidirectional WDM ring network with 6 nodes ($N=6$). As before, the total number of wavelengths is $10$ ($W=10$) and the total number of timeslots per wavelength is $10$ ($C=10$). Traffic load comprises of both NRT traffic and RT traffic components (Fig. 3). RT traffic is assumed to be approximately one-fourth of the total traffic. Uniform traffic distribution is taken for 80% source-destination pair as input and the other 20% source-destination pairs have no traffic.

![Figure 3: Minimized number of ADMs vs traffic load (RT and NRT)](image2)

Case 2: In a given network, for a given traffic demand, we evaluate $W_{opt}$, i.e., the minimal number of wavelengths that would be required to suffice the allocation for the given traffic demands, ensuring that there can be no further minimization of ADMs. In other words, if wavelengths more than $W_{opt}$ are used, there won’t be any further reduction in the number of ADMs for the given traffic demands.

![Figure 4: Series 1: $W_{opt}$ vs traffic load (NRT), Series 2: Minimized number ADMs vs traffic load (NRT)](image3)

ILP is again carried out on the same WDM-TDM ring network with 6 nodes ($N=6$) and maximum number of timeslots per wavelength $C=5$. The variation in $W_{opt}$ and the minimized number of ADMs required against the change in traffic are plotted in Fig 4 (denoted as Series 1 observation). The traffic again comprises of NRT traffic only. Traffic demand is uniformly distributed for 80% source-destination pairs and the other 20% source-destination pairs have no traffic flow.
As evident from the plots, as the traffic load is scaled up, the optimal number of wavelengths ($W_{opt}$) keeps constant up to a point beyond which there is a sudden jump of two wavelengths (one for clockwise and one for anticlockwise ring). As the traffic is further scaled up, the same phenomenon repeats over and over again thereby leading to a stair-case like plot. Another notable fact is that wherever there is a step jump for $W_{opt}$, the required number of minimized ADMs doesn’t increase proportionately with the traffic load but there is sudden lag in its increase as seen on the plot for Series 2 in Figures 4 and 5.

**Figure 5:** Series 1: $W_{opt}$ vs. traffic load (RT and NRT), Series 2: Minimized number of ADMs vs. traffic load (RT and NRT)

When we take the single hop constraint (eqn. 11) into account, and simulate on a ring network with 7 nodes ($N=7$), with 10 wavelengths ($W=10$), each wavelength carrying 5 timeslot units of traffic ($C=5$) and with an input traffic demand as:

NRT Data traffic demand:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Node</th>
<th>Destination Node</th>
<th>Demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RT Voice traffic demand:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Node</th>
<th>Destination Node</th>
<th>Demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objective value of ILP, i.e., the minimized number of ADMs = 9. The logical end to end connection for the given traffic matrix is shown in Fig. 6.

**Figure 6:** The logical end to end (single hop) connections of the simulation is shown

Had the simulation been done without the single hop constraint the objective value would be 8 as then the traffic from source node 1 to destination node 2 and the traffic from source node 1 to destination node 3 would be routed on the same wavelength and therefore there would be only one ADM required at node 1. Here due to the added constraint, i.e., all optical end to end connectivity between the source destination pairs, we find that the number ADMs required will be higher for the same traffic demand.

**VII. CONCLUSION**

In this paper a novel traffic grooming technique is proposed over WDM-based metro ring networks, with due consideration of Quality of Service (QoS) for both NRT data and RT voice traffic streams. Grooming is optimized using ILP formulation in respect of minimum possible usage of ADMs, which minimizes the overall networking cost. The relationship of the minimal number of ADMs required with the traffic load is examined and the optimal number of wavelengths that would be required for increasing traffic load is also estimated. Finally, we see that the number of ADMs when single-hop connectivity exists, i.e., when alloptical end-to-end connection exists between the source and the destination nodes required will be higher because of the added QoS.
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