

Total Zero-Divisor Graph of A Field

Nandhini. R, Akshaya. G, Kayalvizhi. M
Thassim Beevi Abdul Kader College for Women,
Kilakarai

Abstract: Let F be a Field with $z(F)$, its set of zero divisors. The total zero divisor graph of F , denoted $Z(\Gamma(F))$ is the undirected (simple) graph with vertices $Z(F)^* = Z(F) - \{0\}$, the set of non-zero, zero divisors of F , and for distinct $x, y \in z(F)^*$ the vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $x + y \in z(F)$. In this paper, we study if $Z(\Gamma(F))$ is finite and every vertex of $Z(\Gamma(F))$ has a finite degree then F is finite and also prove that $Z(\Gamma(F))$ connected with $\text{diam} \leq 3$.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the total zero divisor graph is the (undirected) graph with vertices $Z(F)^* = Z(F) - \{0\}$. The set of non-zero zero divisor of F and for distinct $x, y \in z(F)^*$, the vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $x + y \in z(F)$. It is denoted by $Z(\Gamma(F))$ and is the (induced) subgraph of total graph. We show that $Z(\Gamma(F))$ is finite then F is finite and not an integral domain, if every vertex of $Z(\Gamma(F))$ has finite degree then F is finite and also prove that $Z(\Gamma(F))$ is connected with $\text{diam} \leq 3$. For some other recent papers on zero divisor graphs.

II. PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Definition:

The number of edges incident with a vertex V is called the degree of V and it is denoted by $d(V)$. The minimum and maximum degree of a vertex of a graph are respectively denoted by δ and Δ .

2.2 Definition:

A graph G in which every vertex is adjacent to every other vertex is called a complete graph. Complete graph is represented as K_n where n is the number of vertices in K_n .

2.3 Definition:

The chromatic number of a zero-divisor graph of a ring R , denoted by $\chi(\Gamma_0(R))$ is the minimal number of colors required to assign each vertex in a zero-divisor graph a color so that no two adjacent vertices are assigned the same color.

2.4 Definition:

A graph $\Gamma_0(R)$ is a k -colorable if $\Gamma_0(R)$ can be colored with less than or equal to k colors.

2.5 Definition:

A graph G is said to be a connected graph. If there is at least one path between every pair of vertices in G , otherwise G is said to be a disconnected graph.

2.6 Definition:

Any two distinct vertices a and b in graph G , the distance between a and b , denoted by $d(a, b)$ is the length of a shortest path connecting a and b .

2.7 Definition:

A ring R is called a coloring if $\chi(\Gamma_0(R))$ is finite.

2.8 Definition:

An element $x \in R$ is said to be a zero divisor if there exists some element $0 \neq y \in R$ such that $xy = 0$.

2.9 Presumption:

$\chi(\Gamma_0(R)) = 1$ if and only if $R = \{0\}$.

2.10 presumption:

$\chi(\Gamma_0(R)) = 2$ if and only if R is an integral domain, $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X)^2$.

2.11 Definition:

The chromatic number of a zero-divisor graph of a ring R is equal to the clique number of the ring. That is, $\chi(\Gamma_0(R)) = \text{cl}(R)$.

III. MAIN RESULT

3.1 Theorem:

Let F be field then the total zero divisor graph is finite if and only if either or an integral domain. In particular if $1 \leq Z(\Gamma(F)) \leq \infty$. Then F is finite.

Proof:

Let F be a field and $Z(F)$ be the set of zero divisors in F and Let $Z(\Gamma(F))$ be the total zero divisor graph. Then all vertices of $Z(\Gamma(F))$ is non-zero, zero divisor of F .

It is trivial that if F is finite then $Z(\Gamma(F))$ is also finite.

Suppose that $Z(\Gamma(F))$ is finite and non-empty. This implies that $Z(F)$ is finite, suppose these are two elements $u, v \in F$, $u \neq 0, v \neq 0$. such that $u + v \in Z(F)$

Let $I = \text{Ann}(Z)$, then $u + v \in I$

Since $u + v \in Z(F)$ this implies that $I \subseteq Z(F)$ further I is finite and $f(u + v) \in I$ for all $f \in F$. $[\cdot : u + v \in I, f \in F \implies f(u + v) \in I]$ suppose F is finite. Then there is an $i \in I$ such that $K = \{ f \in F / f(u + v) = i \}$ is infinite.

For any $f, t \in K$

$$f(u + v) = i, t(u + v) = i$$

$$(f - t)(u + v) = 0$$

$(f - t) \in \text{Ann}(u + v)$ {since, $K \subseteq \text{Ann}(u + v)$, K is $f - t \in J \implies f - t \in \text{Ann}(u + v)$ infinite}

Where $k \subseteq \text{Ann}(u + v)$

Since $f - t \in Z(F)$

i.e. $\text{Ann}(u + v) \subseteq Z(F)$, is infinite, a contradiction therefore F must be finite.

3.2 Theorem:

Let f be a field with identity. Then $S = F \times \mathbb{Z}_2^0$ is a field without identity, $S = Z(S)$, and $\Gamma_E(S) \cong \Gamma_E(F)$.

Proof: Clearly $S = Z(S)$ and T has no identity. Define $\phi: F/\sim \rightarrow S/\sim$ by $\phi([u]) = [(u, 0)]$. It is easily verified that $Ann_F(u) = Ann_F(v)$ for $u, v \in F$ if and only if $Ann_S((u, 0)) = Ann_S((v, 0))$, and $[(u, 0)] = [(u, 1)]$ for every $u \in F$. Thus, ϕ is a well-defined bijection. Moreover, ϕ restricts to a graph isomorphism from $\Gamma_E(F)$ to $\Gamma_E(S)$ since $[(u, 0)] [(v, 0)] = [(0, 0)]$ if and only if $[u][v] = [0]$.

3.3 Theorem:

Let F be a field such that $Z(F)$ is not an ideal of F then $Z(F)$ is connected with $diam Z(F) = 2$

Proof: Each $u \in Z(F)^*$ is adjacent to 0. Thus, $u0v$ is a path in $Z(F)$ of length two between any two distinct $u, v \in Z(F)^*$. Moreover, there are non-adjacent $u, v \in Z(F)^*$ since $Z(F)$ is not an ideal of F .

So, $diam Z(F) = 2$.

Hence proved

3.4 Theorem: Let F be a field then $Z(F)$ is connected with $diam \leq 3$

Proof:

Let u, v be vertices in $Z(F)$,

There exists $u+z \in Z(F), v+w \in Z(F)$

If $u+v \in Z(F)$ then uv is a path of length is perpendicular containing u, v .

If $u+v \in Z(F)$ and $w+z \in Z(F)$ then u and v are contained by a path uvw of length ≤ 3

If $u+v \in Z(F)$ and $w+z \in Z(F)$ then u and v are connected by a path uv of length $= 2$.

Hence proved.

IV. REFERENCE

- [1] I. Beck, coloring of commutative ring, J. Algebra, 116(1) (1988), 208-226.
- [2] D.F. Anderson and P.S. Livingston, the zero-divisor graph of commutative ring, J. Algebra, 217(2) (1999), 434-447
- [3] A.R. Ashrafi and A. Tadayyonfar, The zero-divisor graph of 2×2 matrices over a field, vol.39., (2016), 977-990