

# Thermal Model for Friction Stir Welding of AA5052 Tailor Welded Blanks

Dhanesh S (Asst Prof)  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Ahalia School of Engineering and Technology  
Palakkad, Kerala

Vydoorya J  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Ahalia School of Engineering and Technology  
Palakkad, Kerala

Navin A Menon  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Ahalia School of Engineering and Technology  
Palakkad, Kerala

Kiran M  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Ahalia School of Engineering and Technology  
Palakkad, Kerala

## Abstract

In this paper, a three-dimensional finite element based transient model is used to predict the thermal history during friction stir welding (FSW) of AA5052 Tailor welded Blanks (TWB). The model uses temperature dependent material properties and it is validated using results from published literature. The model is used to investigate the effect of process parameters namely tool rotation speed (TRS), welding speed (WS), shoulder diameter (SD) and Thickness Ratio (TR) on the temperature distribution and peak temperature during FSW of AA5052 TWB. The parameters are varied at two levels and all the parameter combinations are numerically simulated. The numerical results obtained from the model are used to develop a regression model. The regression model is employed to explore the effect of parameters on the peak temperature during FSW of AA5052 TWB. The results indicate that the peak temperature attained is directly related to tool rotational speed and shoulder diameter. It is found that increase in the WS causes a drop in the peak temperature for a given TRS and SD.

**Keywords:** AA5052, Tailor Welded Blanks, Regression Model, Process Parameters

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tailor Welded Blank (TWB) is basically a blank with two or more sheets of different thickness, materials or coatings which are welded into a single plate before forming [1-2]. TWBs are finding wide range of applications in automotive, aerospace and manufacture of household items due to their superior property [1]. TWB generally made of light-weight materials like aluminum, magnesium, titanium offers high strength-to-weight ratio along with excellent stiffness and corrosion resistance, dimensional stability etc. TWBs made of dissimilar thickness find application in areas which require different strength at different sections and proper weight distribution. Dissimilar TWBs find application in transportation and propulsion industries [5]. The major challenges faced in the joining TWBs are the difference in the chemical, mechanical and thermal properties of the components involved.

Various methods are used in the manufacturing of TWBs. The formability of the welded blanks is mainly influenced by the welding method adopted [5]. The choice of welding method for manufacture of TWB depends on its applications. Laser welding is a method which can be used for the manufacture of TWB however laser welding presents challenge due to keyhole

penetration which results in rapid solidification of the weld metal. Laser welding also results in defects like cracking, porosity, loss of alloying elements and grain boundary melting. Aluminium which is most commonly used light weight material cannot be welded using laser welding due to specific characteristics of aluminium like its reflectivity [5]. Friction Stir Welding (FSW) which is a solid-state welding process invented at The Welding Institute (TWI) in 1991 produces a solid-state bonding between the base metals using the frictional heat generated between the tool and the base metals. FSW offers advantages of better tensile, bend and fatigue properties [6]. Arun et al. [7] explores the effect of FSW parameters tool rotation speed, shoulder diameter, tool traverse speed and thickness ratio on the maximum temperature, contact status and energy input during FSW of TWB. A hybrid model integrating the linear function and radial basis function was used. Computational fluid dynamics based numerical model can be handy in determining thermal history and material flow during FSW of dissimilar aluminium alloys[8].

The quality of weld produced by FSW depends on the process parameters considered. Major process parameters which are considered are tool rotation speed, tool traverse speed, shoulder diameter, thickness ratio [10]. Optimization of these process parameters is required for getting a good quality weld and better joint strength [14].

Prediction of the thermal history and material flow is essential for understanding the process and its optimization. A three-dimensional finite element model is developed to explore the effect of parameters on peak temperature during FSW of AA5052 TWB in this work. The four process parameters considered are tool rotation speed (TRS), welding speed (WS), thickness ratio (TR) and shoulder diameter (SD). This model is used to simulate FSW of TWB for different combinations of process parameters. Prediction of the thermal history and material flow is essential for understanding the process and its optimization. A three-dimensional finite element model is developed to explore the effect of parameters on peak temperature during FSW of AA5052 TWB in this work. The four process parameters considered are tool rotation speed (TRS), welding speed (WS), thickness ratio (TR) and shoulder diameter (SD). This model is used to simulate FSW of TWB for different combinations of process parameters.

1.1 MODELLING

Modeling was carried out using COMSOL PHYSICS 5.0. AA5052 plate of dimensions 158 mm x 50mm was considered [4]. The modeled plates are shown in figure 1. The tool considered is made up of high speed tool steel material. The plate is assumed to undergo heat transfer by convection and radiation and a moving coordinate system is used.




Figure 1 Geometry Used

1.2 BOUNDARY CONDITION

Since the heat conductivity of the work piece is much higher than the tool material, it is assumed that a significant amount of heat will be transported from the tool to the work piece. Top, front and back surfaces are subjected to both convective and radiative heat transfer. Bottom, left and right surfaces are subjected to only convective heat transfer. Temperature in the surroundings is assumed to be ambient temperature [3].

1.3 HEAT TRANSFER CONDITIONS

Both convective and radiative heat transfer conditions are considered in the model. Heat transfer coefficient of top and bottom surface is assumed to be different and is given by  $h_{upside}$  and  $h_{downside}$ . The total heat generation is obtained by the total sum of heat generated on the shoulder and pin as given in equation (1) [9]

$$Q_{total} = Q_s + Q_p \tag{1}$$

Convective and radiative heat equations is given by equation (2) [9]

$$-k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \epsilon \sigma (T^4 - T_a^4) + h_{upside} (T - T_a) \tag{2}$$

Convective heat transfer alone is given by equation (3) [9]

$$k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = h_{downside} (T - T_a) \tag{3}$$

2.0 FACTORIAL DESIGN

A two-level factorial design was used to study the effect of parameters on the peak temperature during FSW of AA5052 TWB. The process parameters that were varied during the simulation are tool rotation speed, welding speed, shoulder diameter and thickness ratio. The effect of the parameters upon the peak temperature was analysed using a  $2^k$  factorial design [4]. The peak temperatures obtained from the model are given in Table 1.

Table 1 : Process parameter vs Peak Temperature

SLN O	PROCESS PARAMETER				PEAK TEMPERA TURE (k)
	TRS (RPM )	WS (MM /S)	SD (M M)	TR	
1	750	0.33	15	0.8	431
2	750	0.33	15	0.9	418
3	750	0.33	20	0.8	440
4	750	0.33	20	0.9	430
5	750	1	15	0.8	413
6	750	1	15	0.9	397
7	750	1	20	0.8	434
8	750	1	20	0.9	420
9	1000	0.33	15	0.8	465
10	1000	0.33	15	0.9	447
11	1000	0.33	20	0.8	465
12	1000	0.33	20	0.9	461
13	1000	1	15	0.8	439
14	1000	1	15	0.9	426
15	1000	1	20	0.8	445
16	1000	1	20	0.9	434

3.0 REGRESSION MODELLING OF PEAK TEMPERATURE

In order to explore the effect of parameters on the peak temperature, a regression model is developed. Regression is a mathematical expression that relates the dependent variables or responses and independent variables of an experiment. Regression can be used to understand the effect of independent variables on the response. It also helps in evaluation and selection of variables to be used for building predictive models. In this paper a regression model considering the effect of four variables TR,SD,WS and TRS and their interactions was developed.

4.0 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The effect of TRS, WS, SD and TR on peak temperature was studied using the model results. Contour plots of peak temperature obtained for various process parameters are given in Figure 2.

The figure shows the temperature plot for a given set of process parameters. It can be observed that TRS and SD have a major influence on peak temperature and the peak temperature increases as TRS and SD increase. however the peak temperature is found to decrease with WS. For a given shoulder diameter, it is observed that the peak temperature attained is found to decrease with increase in TR. Figure 2 shows peak temperature obtained for the process parameters considered.




Figure 2. Contour Peak Temperatures plot

The ANOVA for the model is presented in Table 2. The terms with p-values less than 0.05 (Table 2) are considered insignificant and are not included in the model. The  $R^2$  and  $R_{adj}^2$  for the model are 0.9887 and 0.9736 respectively. The final regression model for the peak temperature is

$$T = 493.2957 - 349.4179(TMR) - 1.2664(SD) + 21.6418(WS) + 0.2082(TRS) - 0.0074(SD * TRS) - 0.0582(WS * TRS)$$

The effect of individual parameters on the peak temperatures are explored using the line plots shown in Figure 3. The peak temperature is found to decrease with increase in thickness ratio from Figure 3(a). Asymmetry in the thickness has an impact on the maximum temperature developed.

The same trend is obtained for both the levels of parameters. With increase in SD the peak temperature is found to increase. The heat generation increases with increase in SD and hence peak temperature increases. The peak temperature for a given shoulder diameter is found to decrease with increase in TR, WS and TRS. Increase in WS decreases the peak temperature, because the heat input to the plate decreases as the WS increases. As TRS is increased the heat generation increases which results in an increase in peak temperature. The increase in peak temperature is more when the other parameters are set at their higher level.




Figure 3. Effect of a) TR b) SD c) WS and d) TRS on Peak Temperature

Table 2 : ANOVA

source	Sum sq	D.F	Mean Sq	F	p-value
TMR	612.56	1	612.56	50.78	0.0008
SD	540.56	1	540.56	44.81	0.0011
WS	1387.56	1	1387.56	115.03	0.0001
TRS	2475.06	1	2475.06	205.19	0
TMRXSD	27.56	1	27.56	2.28	0.191
TMRXWS	5.06	1	5.06	0.42	0.5457
TMRXTRS	3.06	1	3.06	0.25	0.6358
SDXWS	33.06	1	33.06	2.74	0.1587
SDXTRS	85.56	1	85.56	7.09	0.0447
WSXTRS	95.06	1	95.06	7.88	0.0377
Error	60.31	5	12.06		
Total	5325.44	15			




Figure 4 Interaction effect of (a) TRS and SD and (b) TRS and WS on peak temperature

The interaction effect of parameters is presented as contour plots in Figure 4. The interaction between TRS and SD as well as TRS and WS are found to have a significant effect on peak temperature. From Figure 4(a), it is noted that for a given TRS, increase in SD or for a given SD increase in TRS increases peak temperature. From Figure 4(b) it is observed that for a given TRS increase in WS results in a decrease in peak temperature and for a given WS increase in TRS increases peak temperature.

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

Numerical simulation of FSW of AA5052TWB was performed. Finite element method is used for the simulation and factorial design is used to explore the effect of process parameter on peak temperature. The following conclusions are made:

- The parameters considered TR, SD, WS and TRS have significant effect on the peak temperature during FSW of AA5052 TWB.
- The parameters SD and TRS increase peak temperature while TR and WS decrease peak temperature as they are increased.
- Peak temperature decreases with increase in TR when the SD is kept constant. It is observed that for a constant TRS and SD, the peak temperature is found to decrease with decrease in the WS and TR.
- The interaction effect of TRS & SD and TRS & WS influences the peak temperature. Peak temperature is observed to increase with SD for a given TRS. It is observed that for a given TRS, the peak temperature is found to decrease with increase in WS.
- Two level factorial design can be used to explore the effect of process parameters on FSW of AA5052 TWB.

6.0 REFERENCES

> @ 'DYLHV 5LFKDUG : + ( 2OLYHU 0DUN 7 6PLWK DQG \*OHQQ - \*UDQW &KDUDFWHULJLQJ \$O WDLORU ZHOGHG EODQNV IRU DXWRPRWLYH DSSOLFDFWLRQ

> @ 7XIDUR /HRQDUGR 1 ,YDQ 0DQJRQL DQG +HUQDQ \* 6YRERGD (IIHFWR RI KHDW LQSWR RQ \$\$ IULFWLRQ 3URFHVVZHOJGV FGDUDFWHULVWLFV 0DWHULDOV 6FLHQFH

> @ =KDQJ ; ; % / ;LDR DQG = < 0D \$ WUDQVLHQW WKHUPDO PRGHO IRU IULFWLRQ 6WLU :HOG 6DWWOQXWLFDFR DQG 0DWHULDOV 7UDQVDFWLRQV \$

> @ \$UDYLQG \$ <XNHVK 5 9DLUD 9LJQHVK 5 3DGPDQDEDQ DQG 0 \*RYLQGDUDMX 6WXG\ RQ WKH 0HFKDQLFDO DQG &RUURVLRQ EHKDYLRXU RI \$\$ 7DLORU :HOGHG %ODQNV )DEULFDWHG XVLQJ )ULFWLRQ 6WLU :HOGHQ

> @ .LQVH\ % DQG 7DQW ZHOGHG EODQNV IRU DGYDQFHG PDQXIDFWXULQJ (OVHYLHU

> @ 0LVKUD 5DMLY 6 DQG = < 0D )ULFWLRQ VWLU ZHOGLQJ DQG SURFHVVZHOJGV 6FLHQFH DQG HQWURHHULQJ 5 UHSRUWV

> @ \$UXQ 6LGGKDUWK 0 3DGPDQDEDQ 5 9DLUD 9LJQHVK 5 6LPXODWLRQ RI )ULFWLRQ 6WLU :HOGHQ RI \$OXPLQLXP \$OOR\ \$\$ ± 7DLORU :HOGHG %ODQNV ,Q \$EUDKDP \$ &KHUXNXUL \$ 0HOLQ 3 \*DQGKL 1 HGV ,QWHOOLJHQW 6\ VWHPV 'HVLQJ DQG \$\$\$OLFDFWLRQV ,6' \$GYDQFHV LQ ,QWHOOLJHQW 6\ VWHPV DQG &RPSXWLQJ YRO 6SULQJHU &KDP KWWSV GRL RUJ B

> @ 3DGPDQDEDQ 5 9 5 . .LVKRUH 9 5 DQG %DOXVDP\ 9 1XPHULFDO VLPXODWLRQ RI WHPSHUDWXUH GLVWULEXWLRQ DQG PDWHULDO IORZ GXULQJ IULFWLRQ VWLU ZHOGLQJ RI GLVVLPLODU DOXPQLXP DOOR\ 3URFHGLD (QJLQHHULQJ SS

> @ &RPVRO \$YDLODEOH IURP KWWSV ZZZ FRPVRO FR LQ PRGHO IULFWLRQ VWLU ZHOGLQJ DOXPQLXP SODWH

60LQJ 6LFNHWWDPDWW )RDELOLWLVVXHV LQ

WHDSSOLFDFWLRQ WDLORU ZHOJGV KHVVIR67HFQDO

3DSHU

DL.:216+,06HRPDP\$.RQ

+DQ )ULFWLRQ VWLU ZHOJGV RI DOXPQLXP DOOR\ SODWHDFWLRQ RI IRQHV 0HWDOV 6RFLHWRI &KQ VV