

Sustainable Utilization of Flyash in Paving Block

Vishal Popat Pawar
Dept. of civil engineering
mmit college of engineering lohgaon 411047
pune, india

Santoshi Pandurang Jangle
Dep. of civil engineering
mmit college of engineering lohgaon 411047
pune, india

Aditya Anil Khatkale
Dep. of civil engineering
mmit college of engineering lohgaon 411047
pune, india

Virach Vijay Kulkarni
Dep. of civil engineering
mmit college of engineering lohgaon
pune, india

Under Guidance - Prof. Prajka Shinde Madam
Dep. of civil engineering Mmit college of engineering lohgaon- 411047, Pune

Abstract—Geopolymer is a class of aluminosilicate binding materials synthesized by thermal activation of solid aluminosilicate base materials such as fly ash with an alkali metal hydroxide and silicate solution. The geopolymer was activated with sodium silicate. This paper presents the experimental investigation done on alkaline solution properties of geopolymer concrete. The grades chosen for the investigation were M40 the mixes were designed for 8 molarity. The alkaline solution used for present study was the combination of sodium silicate and sodium hydroxide solution with the ratio of 2.5. The test specimens were 100×100×60 mm paving block. The freshly prepared geopolymer mixes were cohesive and their workability increased with the increase in the ratio of alkaline solution.

The strength of geopolymer concrete can be improved by decreasing the water and aggregate binding ratio. The curing period improves process resulting in higher compressive strength. The geopolymer concrete do not have Portland cement, they can be considered as less energy intensive. It utilizes the industrial wastes such as fly ash for producing the binding system in concrete. The obtained compressive strength. The optimum dosage for alkaline solution can be considered as 2.5, because for this ratio the GPC specimens of any grade produced maximum strength in compression. The replacement of recycled brick aggregate as a sand like 25%, 50%, 75% & 100% in paver block

Keywords— geopolymer concrete, fly ash, molarity, sodium silicate, sodium hydroxide.

1 INTRODUCTION

The global cement industry contributes around 1.35 billion tons of the greenhouse gas emissions annually, or about 7% of the total man-made greenhouse gas emissions to the earth's atmosphere [1]. Due to the production of Portland cement, it is estimated that by the year 2020, the CO₂ emissions will rise by about 50% from the current levels. Therefore, to preserve the global environment from the impact of cement production, it is now believed that new binders are indispensable to replace PC [2]. In this regard, the geopolymer concrete

(GC) is one of the revolutionary developments related to novel materials resulting in low-cost and environmentally friendly material as alternative to PC [3].

The geopolymer technology was first introduced by Davidovits in 1978. His work considerably shows that the adoption of the geopolymer technology could reduce the CO₂

emission caused due to cement industries. Davidovits proposed that an alkaline liquid could be used to react with aluminosilicate in a source material of geological origin or in by-product materials such as fly ash to make a binder [4].

Geopolymer is synthesized by mixing aluminosilicate-reactive material with strong alkaline solutions, such as sodium hydroxide (NaOH), potassium hydroxide (KOH), sodium silicate or potassium silicate. The mixture can be cured at room temperature or temperature cured [5]. Fly ash is the most common source material for making geopolymers. Normally, good high-strength geopolymers can be made from class F fly ash [6] the recycled brick aggregate replaced as a sand like 25%, 50%, 75% & 100%.

I. ABOUT PROJECT

MATERIAL

The following material used in experimental study [14]

- fly ash (class F) collected from thermal power plant having specific gravity 2.00.
- Fine aggregate: sand conforming to zone III of IS:383-1970 [18] having specific gravity 2.51 and fineness modulus
- coarse aggregate: crushed granite material conforming to IS:383-1970 [18] having specific gravity 2.70 and fineness modulus of 5.85
- water : clean potable water for mixing
- Alkaline liquid : specific gravity of
 - sodium hydroxide (NaOH) = 1.16
 - sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₂) = 1.57
- recycled brick aggregate : recycled brick aggregate collect from demolished structure. fineness modulus of 2.70

II. MIX DESIGN OF GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE

In the design of geopolymer concrete mix the fine aggregate value is similar to that used in OPC concrete in which it will be in the range of 75 to 80% of the entire mixture by mass. Fine aggregate was taken as 30% of the total aggregates. The density of geopolymer concrete is taken similar to that of OPC as 2400 kg/m³ [12]. The details of mix design and its proportions grade of GPC are given in Table 2.

Alkaline solution: In geopolymerization, alkaline solution plays an important role. The most common alkaline solution used in geopolymerization is a combination of sodium hydroxide, (NaOH) and sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃). In this study, a combination of sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate was chosen as the alkaline liquid. Generally sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate are readily available in market in the form of pellets and gel (liquid).

Preparation, casting and curing of geopolymer concrete :

The alkaline activator solution used in GPC mixes was combination of sodium hydroxide solution pellets and distilled water. To prepare sodium Hydroxide solution of 8 molarity (8M). 320 gm of sodium hydroxide flakes was dissolved in water. The mass of NaOH solids in a solution will vary depending on the concentration of the solution expressed in terms of molar. M. The pellets of NaOH are dissolved in one liter of water for the required concentration. When sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate solutions mixed together polymerization will take place liberating large amount of heat, which indicates that the alkaline liquid must be used after 24 hours as binding agent.

GPC can be manufactured by adopting the conventional techniques used in the manufacture of Portland cement concrete. In the laboratory, the fly ash and the aggregates were first mixed together dry on pan for about three minutes. The liquid component of the mixture is then added to the dry materials and the mixing continued usually for another four minutes.

The addition of sodium silicate is to enhance the process of geopolymerization [12]. For the present study, concentration of NaOH solution is taken as 8M with ratio of Na₂SiO₃/NaOH as 2.5 for all the grades of GPC mixes.

In order to improve the workability, super plasticizer calcium chloride 250ml for one litre water. (other than the water used for the preparation of alkaline solutions) and dosage of super plasticizer was added to the mix according to the mix design details. The fly ash and alkaline activator were mixed together in the mixer until homogeneous paste was obtained. This mixing process can be "handled within 5 minutes for each mixture with ratio of 2.5 alkaline solution. In paver block recycled brick aggregate replace by 25%, 50%, 75% & 100%. After the casting demould after the 24 hour form the casting. For curing pavers covered by gunny bags and spread water once in day. After 7 & 28 days the compression test and three point bending load test taken. The workability of geopolymer concrete decrease with increase in the grade of concrete. This is because of decrease in ratio of water to geopolymer solids. an increase in sodium silicate concentration thus reduce the flow of GPC. How we can say that the grade of concrete increase, the mix become stiffer decreasing the workability, which result in strength reduction.

3.2 Effect of Ratio of Sodium Hydroxide to Sodium Silicate Solution

The effect of ratio of sodium hydroxide to sodium silicate solution by mass on the compressive strength of concrete can be seen by comparing the results. For these grades the concentration of sodium silicate solution (in terms of molarity), the water content, the fly ash content and the condition of curing were kept constant.

3.3 Compressive Strength

The compressive strength is one of the most noteworthy properties of hardened concrete and is considered as the

characteristic material value for the classification of concrete. The compressive strength of the GPC specimen synthesized four different percentage of Recycled brick aggregates in table. The chemical of the geopolymer is due to substantially fast polymerization processes, the compressive strength do not vary with the edge of the concrete. This observation is in contrast to the well-known behaviour of OPC concrete, which undergoes hydration process and hence gains strength over the time.

The strength is increase with the increase of NaOH concentration mainly through the leaching out of silica and alumina [18].





CONCLUSIONS

Maximizing the sustainability of coal ash utilization is essential for the future of energy production. Fly ash interlocking blocks are a practical and sustainable option for modern construction, promoting environmental responsibility while maintaining efficiency and strength. The use of fly ash in interlocking masonry blocks presents a significant advancement in sustainable construction practices. By incorporating fly ash, we can reduce the environmental impact associated with traditional building materials, decrease landfill waste, and utilize a byproduct of coal combustion effectively. This approach not only enhances the mechanical properties of the blocks such as strength and durability but also improves their thermal performance, contributing to energy efficiency in buildings. Moreover, the production of fly ash-based blocks typically requires less energy compared to conventional cement blocks, further minimizing carbon emissions. As the construction industry seeks greener alternatives, interlocking masonry blocks made with fly ash represent a viable solution that aligns with sustainability goals, promoting a circular economy and supporting the transition toward more eco-friendly building practices. Overall, embracing fly ash in this context can lead to more resilient infrastructure while fostering environmental stewardship.

REFERENCES

1. Hardjito, D., Wallah, S.E. Sumajouw, DMJ and Rangan. B.V.. Factors influencing the compressive strength of fly ash based geopolymer concrete. *Civil Engineering Dimension SIPIL*, 6(2), 2004, pp. 88-93.
2. Fareed Ahmed, M., Fadhil Nuruddin, M and Nasir Shafiq. Compressive strength and workability characteristics of low calcium fly ash based self compacting geopolymer concrete'. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 74, 2011, pp. 8-14.
3. Davidovits, J., 'Geopolymers: inorganic polymeric new materials, *Journal of Thermal Analysis*, 37(8), 1991, pp. 1633-1656.
4. Rangan. B. V.. "Studies on low-calcium fly ash based Geopolymer concrete'. *Indian Concrete Institute*, 2006, pp. 9-17.
5. Davidovits, J., 'Geopolymer chemistry and application, *Institute Geopolymer, France*, 2008, pp. 585.
6. M. Schmucker, M and MacKenzie, KJD, Microstructure of sodium polysialate siloxogeopolymer, *Ceramic International*, 31, 2004, pp. 433-437.
7. Fernandez-Jimenez, A and Palomo, A., Characteristics of fly ashes, Potential reactivity as alkaline cements". *Fuel*, 2003, pp. 2259-2265.
8. Davidovits, J., 'Chemistry of Geopolymeric systems Terminology, 99 International Conference, Saint-Quentin, France, 30 June-2 July 1999.
9. Fernandez-Jimenez, A., Palomo, J. and Puertas, F., Alkali activated slag mortars, mechanical strength behavior, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 29, 1999, pp. 1323-1329.
10. Hua Xu, van Deventer, J. S. J., The Geopolymerisation of Alumino-Silicate Minerals, *International Journal of Mineral Processing*, 59(3), 2000, pp. 247-266.
11. Hardjito, D., Wallah, S.E., Sumajouw, DMJ and Rangan. B.V., "On the development of fly ash based geopolymer concrete, *ACI Materials Journal*, 101(52), 2004, pp. 467-472
12. Rangan, B. V., 'Mix design and production of fly ash based geopolymer concrete. *The Indian Concrete Journal*, 82(5), 2008, pp. 7-14.
13. Kiatsuda Somna, Chai Jaturapitakkul, Puangrat Kajitvichyanukul and Prinya Chindapasirt, 'NaOH- activated ground fly ash geopolymer cured at ambient temperature', *Fuel*, (90), 2011, pp. 2118-2124.
14. Mahaltesh, S., Experimental study on ratio of alkaline liquids on geopolymer concrete'. *M.Tech Project Report*, 2011, Basaveshwar Engineering College, Bagalkot.
15. Shetty, M. S., 'Concrete Technology', Fifth Revised Edition, S. C hand and Company Ltd., New Delhi, 2002
16. IS: 2386 (Part-IV)-1963, Methods of test for aggregates for concrete-mechanical properties, Bureau of Indian standards, New Delhi.
17. IS: 456-2000, Code of practice for plain and reinforced concrete, Bureau of Indian standards, New Delhi
18. IS: 383-1970, Specification for coarse and fine aggregates from natural sources for concrete, Bureau of Indian standards, New Delhi
19. IS: 516-1959, Methods of test for strength of concrete, Bureau of Indian standards, New Delhi
20. IS:5816-1999, Methods of test for splitting tensile strength of concrete cylinders, Bureau of Indian standards, New Delhi

A. Figures and Tables

TABLE 1.

Grade	Coarse aggregate	Fine sand	RBA	Fly ash	NaOH	Na ₂ SiO ₃	Super plasticizer	Extra water
M40	0.388	0.166	0.16	0.22	0.027	0.062	0.00354	0.023

TABLE 2

For 50% Replacement Of RBAC

Grade	Coarse aggregate	Fine sand	RBA	Fly ash	NaOH	Na ₂ SiO ₃	Super plasticizer	Extra water
M40	0.388	0.166	0.16	0.22	0.027	0.062	0.00354	0.023

TABLE 3

For 75% Replacement of RBA

Grade	Coarse aggregate	Fine sand	RBA	Fly ash	NaOH	Na ₂ SiO ₃	Super plasticizer	Extra water
M40	0.388	0.08	0.024	0.22	0.027	0.062	0.00354	0.023

TABLE 4

For 100% Replacement of RBA

Grade	Coarse aggregate	Fine sand	RBA	Fly ash	NaOH	Na ₂ SiO ₃	Super plasticizer	Extra water
M40	0.388	0.24	0.08	0.22	0.027	0.062	0.00354	0.023