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Abstract— The main idea of this study is to do analysis of connecting rod and get idea of stress producing compressive loading. And than give idea about weight reduction opportunities in connecting rod of an I.C. engine by examining two materials, AISI 1040 carbon steel and AISI 4340 alloy steel. This has entailed performing a detailed load analysis. Therefore, this study has deal with two subjects, first, static load and stress analysis of the connecting rod and second optimization for weight reduction and shape.

In this project a static analysis is conducted on a connecting rod of a single cylinder 4-stroke petrol engine. In this project, a connecting rod for I.C. engine was designed by analytical method. On the basis of that design a physical model was modeled in Pro-E (creo parametric 2.0). Structural system of connecting rod has been analyzed using FEA. With the use of FEA von-misses stress, strain, shear stress, deformation, and weight reduction etc, were calculated for a particular loading conditions using FEA Software ANSYS WORKBENCH 14.0. The same work was done on the same design for other different materials. Compared to the former material the new material found to have less weight, stress reduction and better stiffness. After that based on results AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod are better than AISI 1045 carbon steel rod.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Connecting rod is one of the important driving parts of petrol engine as well as diesel engine. The automobile engine connecting rod is a high volume production critical component. Every vehicle that uses an internal combustion engine requires at least one connecting rod depending upon the number of cylinders in the engine. It is subjected to multiple compressive & tensile forces. Combustion in I.C. Engine produces very high load which transmits to crankshaft via connecting rod. So connecting rod is susceptible to many stresses including equivalent, shear, etc. also fatigue failure is possible because of frequent alternate loading & change of direction. Connecting rod is subjected to many millions of repetitive cyclic loadings. Therefore, durability of this component is of critical importance. It is necessary to investigate finite element modeling techniques, optimization techniques and new design to reduce the weight at the same time increase the strength of the connecting rod itself. Connecting rods are widely used in variety of engines such as, in-line engines, V-engine, opposed cylinder engines, radial engines and opposed-piston engines.

The optimization of connecting rod had already started as early year 1983 by Webster and his team. Optimization of connecting rod is to make the less time to produce the product that is stronger, lighter and less cost. The material properties are one of the major inputs to perform the FEA and optimization. It consists of a long shank, a small end (pin end) and a big end (crank end). Pin end is connected to the piston assembly and crank end is connected to crankshaft. The cross-section of the shank may be rectangular, circular, tubular, I-section or H-section. Connecting rod is the main component of the combustion engines which main purpose are transfer the energy from the pistons to crankshafts and convert the linear, reciprocating motion of a piston into the rotary motion of a crankshaft. Forces acting on the connecting rod—

- Forces on the piston due to gas pressure and inertia of the reciprocating parts.
- Force due to inertia of the connecting or inertia bending forces.
- Force due to friction of the piston rings and of the piston.
- Forces due to friction of the piston pin bearing and crank pin bearing.

II. OBJECTIVE OF THIS PROJECT

The objective of the present work is to design and analysis of connecting rod made of AISI 1045 carbon steel and AISI 434 alloy steel. In this project, the first two forces have been considered. In this project, a connecting rod for I.C. engine is design by analytical method. Carbon steel material and alloy steel material is used to design the both connecting rods. Connecting rod was created in Creo Parametric2.0. Model is imported in ANSYS 14.0 for analysis. Analysis is done for a given load condition. In this project, load condition is gas force (compressive load), which is also known s static load. After analysis a comparison is made between AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod viz., AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod in terms of various stress, strain, total deformation, weight, shape optimization. In this project AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod is replaced with AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod.
III. DIMENSIONS OF BOTH CONNECTING RODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Parameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Thickness of the connecting rod (t) = 3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Width of the section (B = 4t) = 12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Height of the section (H = 5t) = 15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Height at the big end (H₁) = 18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Height at the small end (H₂) = 13 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Inner diameter of the small end = 14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Outer diameter of the small end = 28 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Inner diameter of the big end = 22 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Outer diameter of the big end = 48 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Length of small end = 28 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Length of big end = 28 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Dimensions of connecting rod

IV. DRAWING OF CONNECTING ROD

V. MODELING OF BOTH CONNECTING RODS

According to these dimensions, Connecting Rod has been modeled with the help of creo parametric 2.0. The modeled connecting rod is as shown in figure 2.0. In this analysis two materials are used.

1. AISI 1045 carbon steel.
2. AISI 4340 alloy steel.

Table 2. Mechanical properties of AISI 1045 carbon steel –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical properties</th>
<th>AISI 1045 carbon steel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density(Kg/mm³)</td>
<td>7.87×10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity(Gpa)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Yield strength(Mpa)</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate tensile strength(Mpa)</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear modulus(GPa)</td>
<td>77.519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Material properties of AISI 4340 alloy steel –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical properties</th>
<th>AISI 4340 alloy steel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density(Kg/mm³)</td>
<td>7.85×10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity(Gpa)</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield strength(Mpa)</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate tensile strength(Mpa)</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION OF CONNECTING RODS

In this project stress analysis and optimization is done using ANSYS 14.0
A. Meshing - For meshing of the model, element size was taken 1 mm. No. of elements were 36590 and no. of nodes were 62586. Mesh model of both connecting rods has shown in figure 3.

B. Load and boundary condition - Analysis is done with the gas force of 6185 N (compressive load), which is also known as static load applied at the piston end of the connecting rod and fixed at the crank end of the connecting rod. It is shown in Fig.4

VII. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod –

Fig.3 mesh model

Fig.4

Fig.5 equivalent stress

Fig.6 shear stress

Fig.7 Normal stress

Fig.8 Maximum Principal Stress

Fig.8 Elastic Strain
B. AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod-

Fig. 9 total deformation

Fig. 10 shape optimization

Fig. 11 equivalent stress

Fig. 12 shear stress

Fig. 13 Normal stress

Fig. 14 Maximum Principal Stress

Fig. 15 Elastic Strain

Fig. 16 Total deformation
C. stress, total deformation and elastic values –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameters</th>
<th>AISI 1045 carbon steel</th>
<th>AISI 4340 alloy steel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent stress (MPa)</td>
<td>min 2.3446e-002</td>
<td>max 117.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear stress (MPa)</td>
<td>-35.007</td>
<td>35.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal stress (MPa)</td>
<td>-112.72</td>
<td>18.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. principal stress (MPa)</td>
<td>-31.476</td>
<td>36.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deformation (mm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic strain</td>
<td>1.2239e-007</td>
<td>.00059549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

D. comparison of stress parameters reduction –

Maximum equivalent stress for AISI 1045 carbon steel –
\[= 117.41 \text{ MPa}\]

Maximum equivalent stress for AISI 4340 alloy steel –
\[= 117.22 \text{ MPa}\]

% reduction in equivalent stress –
\[= .16\%\]

E. Weight of AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod –

The volume of the connecting rod used is 59875 mm³. Therefore the mass of the connecting rod for respective materials are:

\[\text{Weight} = \text{volume} \times \text{density}\]
\[= 59875 \text{ kg} \times 7.85 \times 10^{-6} \text{kg/mm}^3 \]
\[= .47 \text{ kg}\]

F. Weight of AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod –

The volume of the connecting rod used is 59875 mm³. Therefore the mass of the connecting rod for respective materials are:

\[\text{Weight} = \text{volume} \times \text{density}\]
\[= 59875 \text{ kg} \times 7.85 \times 10^{-6} \text{kg/mm}^3 \]
\[= .47 \text{ kg}\]

G. Comparison of weight reduction for both connecting rods

Net difference = .4712 - .4700
\[= .0012 \text{ kg}\]

% reduction in weight = .25 %

H. Stiffness for AISI 1045 carbon steel rod –

Weight of the connecting rod = .4712 kg

Deformation = 0.02457 mm

Stiffness = weight / deformation

Stiffness =
\[= 19.17 \text{ kg/mm}\]

I. Stiffness for AISI 4340 alloy steel rod –

Weight of the connecting rod = .4700 kg

Deformation = 0.023418 mm

Stiffness = weight / deformation

Stiffness =
\[= 20.07 \text{ kg/mm}\]

J. Results of Shape Optimization –

The volume of the connecting rod used is 59875 mm³. Therefore the mass of the connecting rod for respective materials are:

\[\text{Weight} = \text{volume} \times \text{density}\]
\[= 59875 \text{ kg} \times 7.85 \times 10^{-6} \text{kg/mm}^3 \]
\[= .47 \text{ kg}\]

The structural analysis and shape optimization on the connecting rod using two materials AISI 1045 Carbon steel and AISI 1045 alloy steel has been done and comparing both connecting rods results and is concluded that –

1. The maximum stresses occurred in static structural analysis are less than the yield strength of material. Hence the design is safe.
2. Maximum stresses occurred at the piston end of the connecting rod and minimum stresses occurred at crank end of connecting rod.
3. By comparing the stresses values for both materials, it is slightly less for AISI 4340 alloy steel than AISI 1045 carbon steel.
4. The AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod is comparatively much stiffer than the AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod.
5. Weight can be reduced by changing the material of the current AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod to AISI 4340 alloy steel.
6. By using AISI 4340 alloy steel instead of AISI 1045 carbon steel can reduce weight up to .25%
7. According to shape finder tool, AISI4340 alloy steel connecting rod is lighter than the AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod.

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Original Mass</th>
<th>Optimized Mass</th>
<th>Weight reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AISI 1045 carbon steel</td>
<td>4.7232e-035 kg</td>
<td>3.7242e-035 kg</td>
<td>21.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 4340 alloy steel</td>
<td>4.7232e-035 kg</td>
<td>3.7237e-035 kg</td>
<td>21.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. CONCLUSION –

The structural analysis and shape optimization on the connecting rod using two materials AISI 1045 Carbon steel and AISI 1045 alloy steel has been done and comparing both connecting rods results and is concluded that –

1. The maximum stresses occurred in static structural analysis are less than the yield strength of material. Hence the design is safe.
2. Maximum stresses occurred at the piston end of the connecting rod and minimum stresses occurred at crank end of connecting rod.
3. By comparing the stresses values for both materials, it is slightly less for AISI 4340 alloy steel than AISI 1045 carbon steel.
4. The AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod is comparatively much stiffer than the AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod.
5. Weight can be reduced by changing the material of the current AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod to AISI 4340 alloy steel.
6. By using AISI 4340 alloy steel instead of AISI 1045 carbon steel can reduce weight up to .25%
7. According to shape finder tool, AISI4340 alloy steel connecting rod is lighter than the AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod.
By observing the results, we can conclude that AISI 4340 alloy steel connecting rod is better than AISI 1045 carbon steel connecting rod.
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