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Abstract

Identification of characters in movies using automatic face recognition has drawn significant research and has led to many applications. Due to the vast variation of appearance of each character it is a challenging problem. Although existing methods demonstrate promising results in clean environment, the performances are limited in complex movie scenes due to the noises generated during the face tracking and face clustering process. Here we present two schemes of global face-name matching based framework for robust character identification. The contributions of this work include: 1) A noise insensitive character relationship representation is incorporated. 2) We introduce an edit operation based graph matching algorithm. 3) Complex character changes are handled by simultaneously graph partition and graph matching.

Index Terms—Character identification, graph matching, graph partition, graph edit, sensitivity analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Objective and Motivation

The proliferation of movie and TV provides large amount of digital video data. This has led to the requirement of efficient and effective techniques for video content understanding and organization. Automatic video annotation is one of such key techniques. In this paper our focus is on annotating characters in the movie and TV, which is called movie character identification [1]. The objective is to identify the faces of the characters in the video and label them with the corresponding names in the cast. The textual cues, like cast lists, scripts, subtitles and closed captions are usually exploited. Fig.1 shows an example in our experiments. In a movie, characters are the focus center of interests for the audience. Their occurrences provide lots of clues about the movie structure and content. Automatic character identification is essential for semantic movie index and retrieval [2], [3], scene segmentation [4], summarization [5] and other applications [6].

Character identification, though very intuitive to humans, is a tremendously challenging task in computer vision. The reason is four-fold: 1) Weakly supervised textual cues [7]. There are ambiguity problem in establishing the correspondence between names and faces: ambiguity can arise from a reaction shot where the person speaking may not be shown in the frames [3]; ambiguity can also arise in partially labeled frames when there are multiple speakers in the same scene [2]. 2) Face identification in videos is more difficult than that in images [8]. Low resolution, occlusion, nonrigid deformations, large motion, complex background and other uncontrolled conditions make the results of face detection and tracking unreliable. In movies, the situation is even worse. This brings inevitable noises to the character identification. 3) The same character appears quite differently during the movie [3]. There may be huge pose, expression and illumination variation, wearing, clothing, even makeup and hairstyle changes. Moreover, characters in some movies go through different age stages, e.g., from youth to the old age. Sometimes, there will even be different actors playing different ages of the same character. 4) The determination for the number of identical faces is not trivial [2]. Due to the remarkable intra-class variance, the same character name will correspond to faces of huge variant appearances. It will be unreasonable to set the number of identical faces just according to the number of characters in the cast. Our study is motivated by these challenges and aims to find solutions for a robust framework for movie character identification.

B. Related Work

The crux of the character identification problem is to exploit the relations between videos and the associated texts in order to label the faces of characters with names. It has similarities to identifying faces in news videos [9], [10], [11]. However, in news videos, candidate names for the faces are available from the simultaneously appearing captions or local transcripts. While in TV and movies, the names of characters are seldom directly shown in the subtitle or closed caption, and script/screenplay containing character names has no time stamps to align to the video.

Fig. 1. Examples of character identification from movie “Notting Hill”.
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According to the utilized textual cues, we roughly divide the existing movie character identification methods into three categories.

1) **Category 1: Cast list based**: These methods only utilize the case list textual resource. In the “cast list discovery” problem [12], [13], faces are clustered by appearance and faces of a particular character are expected to be collected in a few pure clusters. Names for the clusters are then manually selected from the cast list. Ramanan et al. proposed to manually label an initial set of face clusters and further cluster the rest face instances based on clothing within scenes [14]. In [15], the authors have addressed the problem of finding particular characters by building a model/classifier of the character’s appearance from user-provided training data. An interesting work combining character identification with web image retrieval is proposed in [17]. The character names in the cast are used as queries to search face images and constitute gallery set. The probe face tracks in the movie are then identified as one of the characters by multi-task joint sparse representation and classification. Recently, metric learning is introduced into character identification in uncontrolled videos [16]. Cast-specific metrics are adapted to the people appearing in a particular video in an unsupervised manner. The clustering as well as identification performance are demonstrated to be improved. These cast list based methods are easy for understanding and implementation. However, without other textual cues, they either need manual labeling or guarantee no robust clustering and classification performance due to the large intra-class variances.

2) **Category 2: Subtitle or Closed caption, Local matching based**: Subtitle and closed caption provide time-stamped dialogues, which can be exploited for alignment to the video frames. Everingham et al. [18], [3] proposed to combine the film script with the subtitle for local face-name matching. Time-stamped name annotation and face exemplars are generated. The rest of the faces were then classified into these exemplars for identification. They further extended their work in [19], by replacing the nearest neighbor classifier by multiple kernel learning for features combination.

In the new framework, non-frontal faces are handled and the coverage is extended. Researchers from University of Pennsylvania utilized the readily available time-stamped resource, the closed captions, which is demonstrated more reliable than OCR-based subtitles [20], [7]. They investigated on the ambiguity issues in the local alignment between video, screenplay and closed captions. A partially-supervised multiclass classification problem is formulated. Recently, they attempted to address the character identification problem without the use of screenplay [21]. The reference cues in the closed captions are employed as multiple instance constraints and face tracks grouping as well as face-name association are solved in a convex formulation. The local matching based methods require the time-stamped information, which is either extracted by OCR (i.e., subtitle) or unavailable for the majority of movies and TV series (i.e., closed caption). Besides, the ambiguous and partial annotation makes local matching based methods more sensitive to the face detection and tracking noises.

3) **Category 3: Script/Screenplay, Global matching based**: Global matching based methods open the possibility of character identification without OCR-based subtitle or closed caption. Since it is not easy to get local name cues, the task of character identification is formulated as a global matching problem in [2], [22], [4]. Our method belongs to this category and can be considered as an extension to Zhang’s work [2]. In movies, the names of characters seldom directly appear in the subtitle, while the movie script which contains character names has no time information. Without the local time information, the task of character identification is formulated as a global matching problem between the faces detected from the video and the names extracted from the movie script. Compared with local matching, global statistics are used for name-face association, which enhances the robustness of the algorithms.

Our work differs from the existing research in three-fold:

- Regarding the fact that characters may show various appearances, the representation of character is often affected.
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by the noise introduced by face tracking, face clustering and scene segmentation. Although extensive research efforts have been concentrated on character identification and many applications have been proposed, little work has focused on improving the robustness. We have observed in our investigations that some statistical properties are preserved in spite of these noises. Based on that, we propose a novel representation for character relationship and introduce a name-face matching method which can accommodate a certain noise.

- Face track clustering serves as an important step in movie character identification. In most of the existing methods, some cues are utilized to determine the number of target clusters prior to face clustering, e.g., in [2], the number of clusters is the same as the number of distinct speakers appearing in the script. While this seems convinced at first glance, it is rigid and even deteriorating the clustering results sometimes. In this paper, we loosen the restriction of one face cluster corresponding to one character name. Face track clustering and face-name matching are jointly optimized and conducted in a unique framework.

- Sensitivity analysis is common in financial applications, risk analysis, signal processing and any area where models are developed [23], [24]. Good modeling practice requires that the modeler provides an evaluation of the confidence in the model, for example, assessing the uncertainties associated with the modeling process and with the outcome of the model itself. For movie character identification, sensitivity analysis offers valid tools for characterizing the robustness to noises for a model. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no efforts directed at the sensitivity analysis for movie character identification. In this paper, we aim to fill this gap by introducing two types of simulated noises.

A preliminary version of this work was introduced by [1]. We provide additional algorithmic and computational details, and extend the framework considering no pre-specification for the number of face clusters. Improved performance as well as robustness are demonstrated in movies with large character appearance changes.

C. Overview of Our Approach

In this paper, we propose a global face-name graph matching based framework for robust movie character identification. Two schemes are considered. There are connections as well as differences between them. Regarding the connections, firstly, the proposed two schemes both belong to the global matching based category, where external script resources are utilized. Secondly, to improve the robustness, the ordinal graph is employed for face and name graph representation and a novel graph matching algorithm called Error Correcting Graph Matching (ECGM) is introduced. Regarding the differences, scheme 1 sets the number of clusters when performing face clustering (e.g., K-means, spectral clustering). The face graph is restricted to have identical number of vertexes with the name graph. While, in scheme 2, no cluster number is required and face tracks are clustered based on their intrinsic data structure (e.g., mean shift, affinity propagation). Moreover, as shown in Fig.2 and Fig.3, scheme 2 has an additional module of graph partition compared with scheme 1. From this perspective, scheme 2 can be seen as an extension to scheme 1.

1) Scheme 1: The proposed framework for scheme 1 is shown in Fig.2. It is similar to the framework of [2]. Face tracks are clustered using constrained K-means, where the number of clusters is set as the number of distinct speakers. Co-occurrence of names in script and face clusters in video constitutes the corresponding face graph and name graph. We modify the traditional global matching framework by using ordinal graphs for robust representation and introducing an ECGM-based graph matching method.

For face and name graph construction, we propose to represent the character co-occurrence in rank ordinal level [25], which scores the strength of the relationships in a rank order from the weakest to strongest. Rank order data carry no numerical meaning and thus are less sensitive to the noises. The affinity graph used in the traditional global matching is interval measures of the co-occurrence relationship between characters. While continuous measures of the strength of relationship holds complete information, it is highly sensitive to noises.

For name-face graph matching, we utilize the ECGM algorithm. In ECGM, the difference between two graphs is
measured by edit distance which is a sequence of graph edit operations. The optimal match is achieved with the least edit distance. According to the noise analysis, we define appropriate graph edit operations and adapt the distance functions to obtain improved name-face matching performance.

2) Scheme 2: The proposed framework for scheme 2 is shown in Fig.3. It has two differences from scheme 1 in Fig.2. First, no cluster number is required for the face tracks clustering step. Second, since the face graph and name graph may have different number of vertexes, a graph partition component is added before ordinal graph representation.

The basic premise behind the scheme 2 is that appearances of the same character vary significantly and it is difficult to group them in a unique cluster. Take the movie “The Curious Case of Benjamin Button” for example. The hero and heroine go through a long time period from their childhood, youth, middle-age to the old-age. The intra-class variance is even larger than the inter-class variance. In this case, simply enforcing the number of face clusters as the number of characters will disturb the clustering process. Instead of grouping face tracks of the same character into one cluster, face tracks from different characters may be grouped together.

In scheme 2, we utilize affinity propagation for the face tracks clustering. With each sample as the potential center of clusters, the face tracks are recursively clustered through appearance-based similarity transmit and propagation. High cluster purity with large number of clusters is expected. Since one character name may correspond to several face clusters, graph partition is introduced before graph matching. Which face clusters should be further grouped (i.e., divided into the same subgraph) is determined by whether the partitioned face graph achieves an optimal graph matching with the name graph. Actually, face clustering is divided into two steps: coarse clustering by appearance and further modification by script. Moreover, face clustering and graph matching are optimized simultaneously, which improve the robustness against errors and noises.

In general, the scheme 2 has two advantages over the scheme 1. (a) For scheme 2, no cluster number is required in advance and face tracks are clustered based on their intrinsic data structure. Therefore, the scheme 2 provides certain robustness to the intra-class variance, which is very common in movies where characters change appearance significantly or go through a long time period. (b) Regarding that movie cast cannot include pedestrians whose face is detected and added into the face track, restricting the number of face tracks clusters the same as that of name from movie cast will deteriorate the clustering process. In addition, there is some chance that movie cast does not cover all the characters. In this case, pre-specification for the face clusters is risky: face tracks from different characters will be mixed together and graph matching tends to fail.

3) Sensitivity Analysis: Sensitivity analysis plays an important role in characterizing the uncertainties associated with a model. To explicitly analyze the algorithm’s sensitivity to noises, two types of noises, coverage noise and intensity noise, are introduced. Based on that, we perform sensitivity analysis by investigating the performance of name-face matching with respect to the simulated noises.

The rest of paper is organized as follows: We first introduce the ordinal graph representation and ECGM-based graph matching of scheme 1 in Section II. Section III presents the scheme 2 and the graph partition algorithm. In Section IV, we introduced two simulated noises for sensitivity analysis. A set of experiments with comparisons are conducted and discussed in Section V, and we conclude the paper in Section VI.

II. SCHEME 1: FACE-NAME GRAPH MATCHING WITH NUMBER OF CLUSTER SPECIFIED

In this section we first briefly review the framework of traditional global graph matching based character identification. Based on investigations of the noises generated during the affinity graph construction process, we construct the name and face affinity graph in rank ordinal level and employ ECGM with specially designed edit cost function for face-name matching.

A. Review of Global Face-name Matching Framework

In a movie, the interactions among characters resemble them into a relationship network. Co-occurrence of names in script and faces in videos can represent such interactions. Affinity graph is built according to the co-occurrence status among characters, which can be represented as a weighted graph $G = (V, E)$ where vertex $V$ denotes the characters and edge $E$ denotes relationships among them. The more scenes where two characters appear together, the closer they are, and the larger the edge weights between them are. In this sense, a name affinity graph from script analysis and a face affinity graph from video analysis can be constructed. Fig.4 demonstrates the adjacency matrices corresponding to the name and face affinity graphs from the movie “Noting Hill”. All the affinity values are normalized into the interval $[0, 1]$. We can see that some of the face affinity values differ much from the corresponding name affinity values (e.g. $\{WIL,SPI\}$ and $\{Face1,Face2\}$, $\{WIL,BEL\}$ and $\{Face1,Face3\}$) due to the introduced noises. Subsequently, character identification is formulated as the problem of finding optimal vertex to vertex matching between two graphs. A spectral graph matching algorithm is applied to find the optimal name-face correspondence. More technical details can be referred to [2].

B. Ordinal Graph Representation

The name affinity graph and face affinity graph are built based on the co-occurrence relationship. Due to the imperfect face detection and tracking results, the face affinity graph can be seen as a transform from the name affinity graph by affixing noises. We have observed in our investigations that, in the generated affinity matrix some statistic properties of the characters are relatively stable and insensitive to the noises, such as character A has more affinities with character B than C, character D has never co-occurred with character A, etc. Delighted from this, we assume that while the absolute
quantitative affinity values are changeable, the relative affinity relationships between characters (e.g. A is more closer to B than to C) and the qualitative affinity values (e.g. whether D has co-occurred with A) usually remain unchanged. In this paper, we utilize the preserved statistic properties and propose to represent the character co-occurrence in rank order.

We denote the original affinity matrix as \( R = \{r_{ij}\}_{N \times N} \) where \( N \) is the number of characters. First we look at the cells along the main diagonal (e.g. A co-occur with A, B co-occur with B). We rank the diagonal affinity values \( r_{ii} \) in ascending order, then the corresponding diagonal cells \( \tilde{r}_{ii} \) in the rank ordinal affinity matrix \( \tilde{R} \)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ii} = r_{ii}
\]

where \( r_{ii} \) is the rank index of original diagonal affinity value \( r_{ii} \). Zero-cell represents that no co-occurrence relationship is specially considered, which is a qualitative measure. From the perspective of graph analysis, there is no edge between the vertexes of row and column for the zero-cell. Therefore, change of zero-cell involves with changing the graph structure or topology. To distinguish the zero-cell change, for each row in the original affinity matrix, we remain the zero-cell unchanged. The number of zero-cells in the \( i^\text{th} \) row is recorded as \( \text{null}_i \). Other than the diagonal cell and zero-cell, we sort the rest affinity values in ascending order, i.e., for the \( i^\text{th} \) row, the corresponding cells \( \tilde{r}_{ij} \) in the \( i^\text{th} \) row of ordinal affinity matrix:

\[
\tilde{r}_{ij} = r_{ij} + \text{null}_i
\]

where \( r_{ij} \) denotes the order of \( r_{ij} \). Note that the zero-cells are not considered in sorting, but the number of zero-cells will be set as the initial rank order \(^2\). The ordinal matrix is not necessarily symmetric. The scales reflect variances in degree of intensity, but not necessarily equal differences. We illustrate in Fig.5 an example of ordinal affinity matrices corresponding to the affinity matrices in Fig.4. It is shown that although there are major differences between original name and face affinity matrices, the derived ordinal affinity matrices are basically the same. The differences are generated due to the changes of zero-cell. A rough conclusion is that the ordinal affinity matrix is less sensitive to the noises than the original affinity matrix. We will further validate the advantage of ordinal graph representation in the experiment section.

C. ECGM-based Graph Matching

ECGM is a powerful tool for graph matching with distorted inputs. It has various applications in pattern recognition and computer vision [26]. In order to measure the similarity of two graphs, graph edit operations are defined, such as the deletion, insertion and substitution of vertexes and edges. Each of these operations is further assigned a certain cost. The costs are application dependent and usually reflect the likelihood of graph distortions. The more likely a certain distortion is to occur, the smaller is its cost. Through error correcting graph matching, we can define appropriate graph edit operations according to the noise investigation and design the edit cost function to improve the performance.

For explanation convenience, we provide some notations and definitions taken from [28]. Let \( L \) be a finite alphabet of labels for vertexes and edges.

**Notation**: A graph is a triple \( g = (V, \alpha, \beta) \), where \( V \) is the finite set of vertexes, \( \alpha : V \rightarrow L \) is vertex labeling function, and \( \beta : E \rightarrow L \) is edge labeling function. The set of edges \( E \) is implicitly given by assuming that graphs are fully connected, i.e., \( E = V \times V \). For the notational convenience, node and edge labels come from the same alphabet \(^3\).

**Definition 1.** Let \( g_1 = (V_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1) \) and \( g_2 = (V_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2) \) be two graphs. An ECGM from \( g_1 \) to \( g_2 \) is a bijective function \( f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \), where \( V_1 \subseteq \hat{V}_1 \) and \( V_2 \subseteq \hat{V}_2 \). We say that vertex \( x \in V_1 \) is substituted by vertex \( y \in V_2 \) if \( f(x) = y \). If \( \alpha_1(x) = \alpha_2(f(x)) \), the substitution is called an identical substitution. The cost of identical vertex or edge substitution is usually assumed to be zero, while the cost of any other edit operation is greater than zero.

\(^2\) It can be considered that all the zero-cells rank first and the rest cells rank from \( \text{null}_1 + 1 \).

\(^3\) For weighted graphs, edge label is the weight of the edge.
**Definition 2.** The cost of an ECGM \( f : \tilde{V}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{V}_2 \) from graph \( g_1 = (V_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1) \) to \( g_2 = (V_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2) \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma(f, g_1, g_2) &= \sum_{x \in V_1 \setminus V_1} c_{vd}(x) + \sum_{x \in V_2 \setminus V_2} c_{vd}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{x \in V_1} |\alpha_1(x) - \alpha_2(x)|c_{vs}(x) + \sum_{e \in E_1} c_{edc}(e) \\
&\quad + \sum_{e \in E_1} (\beta_1(e) - \beta_2(e))c_{edc}(e) \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c_{vd}(x) \) is the cost of deleting a vertex \( x \in V_1 \) from \( g_1 \), \( c_{vd}(x) \) is the cost of inserting a vertex \( x \in V_2 \) in \( g_2 \), \( c_{vs}(x) \) is the cost of substituting a vertex \( x \in V_1 \) by a different vertex \( y \in V_2 \), and \( c_{edc}(e) \) is the cost of substituting an edge \( e \in V_1 \times V_1 \).

**Definition 3.** Let \( f \) be an ECGM from \( g_1 \) to \( g_2 \). \( C \) is a cost function. We call \( f \) optimal ECGM under \( C \) if there is no other ECGM \( f' \) from \( g_1 \) to \( g_2 \) with \( \gamma_C(f', g_1, g_2) < \gamma_C(f, g_1, g_2) \).

In our cases, if we set the number of face track clusters as the same with the number of character names, therefore, there is no need to fix the face graph isomorphisms in scheme 1. We have \( |V_1| = |V_1| = |V_2| = |V_2| \). Also, as no vertex deletion or insertion operation is involved, we can directly assign \( c_{vd} = c_{vi} = \infty \). According to the investigation on names, we introduce \( c_{edc}(e) \) for the cost of an edge \( e \) is inserted into a graph \( g_1 \) or an edge \( e \)'s cost is inserted into the graph \( g_2 \). The edit operation of creating an edge means creating a one edge in the name ordinal affinity matrix is non-zero while the corresponding cell in the face ordinal affinity matrix is zero. The edit operation of creating an edge means the opposite. Fig. 6 shows the three basic graph operations defined in this paper. We define the cost of an ECGM in our name/facetrack ordinal affinity graph matching application as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma(f, g_1, g_2) &= \sum_{x \in V_1 \setminus V_1} c_{vd}(x) + \sum_{x \in V_2 \setminus V_2} c_{vd}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{x \in V_1} |\alpha_1(x) - \alpha_2(x)|c_{vs}(x) + \sum_{e \in E_1} c_{edc}(e) \\
&\quad + \sum_{e \in E_1} (\beta_1(e) - \beta_2(e))c_{edc}(e) \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( |\alpha_1(x) - \alpha_2(x)| \) and \( |\beta_1(e) - \beta_2(e)| \) measure the degree of vertex substitution and edge substitution, respectively.

According to the likelihood of graph distortions during the graph construction process, we assign different costs to each operation of vertex substitution, edge substitution and edge creation/destruction. The cost function \( C \) is designed as:

\[
C = (c_{vd}, c_{vi}, c_{vs}, c_{edc}) = (\infty, \infty, \lambda_1, 1, \lambda_2)
\]

where \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) embody the likelihood of different graph distortions. Without prior knowledge, we perform experiments on a training set with various values of \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) and select those which maximize the average matching accuracy.

Recalling the example in Fig. 5, apparently no vertex deletion or insertion operation is involved. Also no vertex substitution or edge substitution operations happen. Therefore, there involve two edge insertion operations (edge \( \{Face3, Face5\}, \{Face5, Face3\} \)) and one edge substitution operation. The cost of the ECGM under our designed cost function \( C \) is:

\[
C(f, \hat{R}_\text{face}, \hat{R}_\text{name}) = 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_1.
\]

Consider \( N \) face clusters and character names, the number of possible states for the solution space is the permutation of \( N \), i.e., \( N! = N \times (N-1) \times \cdots \times 2 \times 1 \). A general algorithm to obtain the optimal ECGM is based on the A* method [27]. By applying A*, we are able to find the best matching by exploring only the most promising avenues, which guarantees a global optimal.

### III. SCHEME 2: FACE-NAME GRAPH MATCHING WITHOUT NUMBER OF CLUSTER SPECIFIED

Scheme 2 requires no specification for the face cluster number. Standard affinity propagation [29] is utilized for face tracks clustering. The similarity input \( s(i, k) \) is set as the Earth Mover’s Distance (EMD) [30] between face tracks, which is same as introduced in [2]. All face tracks are equally suitable as exemplars and the preferences \( s(k, k) \) are set as the median of the input similarities. There are two kind of messages, “availability” and “responsibility”, exchanged between face tracks. With “availability” \( a(i, k) \), initialized to be zero, the “responsibilities” \( r(i, k) \) are computed and updated using the rule

\[
r(i, k) = s(i, k) \cdot \max_{k', s.t. f = k'} \{a(i, k') + s(i, k')\} = s(i, k) \cdot \max_{k', s.t. f = k'} \{a(i, k') + s(i, k')\}
\]

While, \( a(i, k) \) is updated using the rule

\[
a(i, k) = \min_{i, s.t. i \neq k} r(k, k) + \max_{i, s.t. i \neq k} r(i, k)
\]

The message-passing procedure converges when the local decisions remain constant for certain number of iterations. In our case, high cluster purity with large number of clusters is encouraged. Therefore, we set the number of iteration as 3 in the experiments, to guarantee concise clusters with consistent appearances.

Since no restriction is set on the one-to-one face-name correspondence, the graph matching method is expected to cope with the situations where several face clusters correspond to the same character name. In view of this, a graph partition step is conducted before graph matching. Traditional graph partition aims at dividing a graph into disjoint subgraphs of the same size [31]. In this paper, graph partition is only used to deal with the case of disjoint subgraphs. We do
not set separate metrics for an optimal graph partition. Instead, graph partition is jointly optimized with the graph matching. This simultaneous process is illustrated in Fig.7. Instead of separately performing graph partition and graph matching, and using the partitioned face graph as input for graph matching, graph partition and graph matching are optimized in a unique framework.

We first define the graph partition $p$ with respect to the original face graph $G_{\text{face}}$. Consider $N$ character names and $M$ face track clusters, it divides $G_{\text{face}}$ into $N$ disjoint subgraphs:

$$p = \{g_{\text{face}}^{1}, g_{\text{face}}^{2}, \cdots, g_{\text{face}}^{N}\}.$$  
(8)

Each subgraph $g_{\text{face}}^{i}$ is a sub-layer of $G_{\text{face}}$ with vertex set $V_{\text{face}}^{i}$, and

$$V_{\text{face}}^{i} = \bigcup_{\forall k \leq 0} V_{\text{face}},$$  
(9)

where $V_{\text{face}}$ denotes the vertex set of face graph $G_{\text{face}}$. In this way, the number of vertexes for each subgraph $g_{\text{face}}^{i}$, $|V_{\text{face}}^{i}| \in \{1, 2, \cdots, M - N + 1\}$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. The vertexes in the same subgraph are considered from the same character and their co-occurrence statistics are integrated. The partitioned face graph has the same vertex number with the same name graph. The partitioned face affinity matrix by $p$, $R_{\text{face}}^{p}$ is calculated as:

$$R_{\text{face}}^{p}_{ij} = \frac{r_{\text{face}}^{mm}_{i}}{\sum_{m \in V_{\text{face}}} r_{\text{face}}^{mm}},$$  
$$R_{\text{face}}^{p}_{ij} = \frac{r_{\text{face}}^{mm}_{i}}{\sum_{m \in V_{\text{face}}} r_{\text{face}}^{mm}}, \quad i = j.$$  
(10)

Fig. 7. Simultaneously graph partition and matching for scheme 2.

Fig. 8. The example affinity matrices from the movie “The Curious Case of Benjamin Button”.
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IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the proposed two schemes are useful to improve results for clustering and identification of the face tracks extracted from uncontrolled movie videos. From the sensitivity analysis, we have also shown that to some degree, such schemes have better robustness to the noises in constructing affinity graphs than the traditional methods. A third conclusion is a principle for developing robust character identification method: intensity alike noises must be emphasized more than the coverage alike noises.

In the future, we will extend our work to investigate the optimal functions for different movie genres. Another goal of future work is to exploit more character relationships, e.g., the sequential statistics for the speakers, to build affinity graphs and improve the robustness.
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