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Abstract — This manuscript reviews entrepreneurial activities in universities for the policymakers. Six separate approaches are classified based on the tutorial entrepreneurship literature. The restrictions of each approach are defined and analyzed by using a framework that captures the determinants of the consequences of entrepreneurial activities in entrepreneurial universities. In this paper, we suggest a conceptual framework that should aid researchers in completing a much-needed assessment of the impact of organizational policies, practices, and structures on the byproduct activates of entrepreneur universities. Specifically, our framework should lead to the development of organizational interventions that facilitate entrepreneurial activities. The integrative framework we present suggests that university heads and policymakers can encourage and develop academic entrepreneurship by using a comprehensive systems approach for the identification, protection, and commercialization of university intellectual property.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Today, the economies of corporations, countries, and successful entrepreneurial universities are deeply influenced by technological developments, short product/service life-cycles, and global competitiveness [1]. In the meantime, the role of universities in the development of products/services and the commercialization of science has become crucial [2]. Various entrepreneurship initiatives are being undertaken at entrepreneurial universities to further transfer science and technologies to industries and improve the relationship between science, technology, and operational activities [3]. Universities that play a critical role in the economic development of their region are called entrepreneurial universities [4]. Fig 1 provides the word cloud of some selected definitions of the entrepreneurial university that are acknowledged in related literature. The development of third mission activities, i.e., technology transfer and university-industry links, the contributions to regions, new job creation and revenue generation, shaping of entrepreneurial mindsets and innovative culture in society are some of the outstanding features of definitions of the entrepreneurial university.

The intrinsic and economic capacities of the newly industrialized countries are advancing, and national universities and public research organizations are expected to become increasingly important in supporting natural companies to drive them more dynamic and industrialized [5]. The characteristics of working with universities may vary greatly depending on whether the industry partner is engaged in new or growing activities [6]. In developed economies as well as in newly industrialized countries, the value of research, public education, and research-educational projects are of great value in playing an effective role in entrepreneurship and increasing the profits of the national economy as well as in the growth of high-tech activities.

In the medium or long term, the competition in the global economy depends on technology-based strengths [7]. This includes the ability to apply new technology to reach new successful markets, as well as develop the skill level of the workforce to develop new products. A university can play a substantial contribution to the development and institutionalization of the above-mentioned elements. Therefore, university-industry collaboration is increasingly expanding, causing market dynamics. The challenges in the global economy have made policymakers and companies expect the universities and research institutes to generate new ideas to accelerate economic innovation and development, and in fact, expect to create a new kind of university [8]. Therefore, universities are turning from the science and technology center to an ecosystem of innovation and entrepreneurship.

Fig. 1. A typical word cloud of some definitions of the entrepreneurial university.
Entrepreneurial university is a university that fulfills national and regional development goals and plays a major role in this process. The entrepreneurial university does not only think of producing human capital and ready-to-enter labor force but also pursues its strategic goals as part of an important engine for sustainable technology development and economic growth [9]. Entrepreneurial universities think and manage in a global context but operate locally as part of the regional knowledge-based economy structure. In these circumstances, entrepreneurial universities do not operate separately from industry and industry apart from knowledge [10].

The fundamental role of universities in the training of a specialist workforce has to fundamentally be changed in various countries, especially in developed countries. The first-generation universities were education-based. The purpose of these universities was to train specialized human resources. Thereafter, the first academic revolution took place in Germany at the end of the century, during which research-based universities were introduced as second-generation universities. These universities were involved in the research and production of science. Then, the second academic revolution occurred in the second half of the twentieth century after World War II, during which entrepreneurial universities emerged as the third generation to train the entrepreneurial workforce and connect with industry. In the United States, there were more than 140 entrepreneurial universities created in the 60s and 70s and reached 500 in the 1990s. Entrepreneurial universities in India, the Philippines, and Malaysia were also established in Asia. MIT, as one of the most prominent third-generation universities in the world, has established a center for training and promoting entrepreneurship among students and faculty with the aim of training managers to succeed in knowledge-based companies. Executives at the university believe that it is not just about inventing a new product, idea or technology, it is about success, commercialization of innovation [11].

The introduction of entrepreneurial university activities, as well as the reason why some universities are successful, has become an important research topic in entrepreneurship research. Extensive researches have been done to identify the factors, impacts, and consequences of university entrepreneurship activities. This article investigates the activities, effectiveness, and consequences of university entrepreneurial actions into six main factors:

1) Factors that focus on individuals and their personalities as key actors in the university's entrepreneurial currents.

2) Factors that focus on the organizational structure and configuration of the university as a key driver of entrepreneurial flows using university resources.

3) Factors that describe the culture and rewards available at the university as factors in the cultural and social development of the university's entrepreneurial activities.

4) Factors that consider external environmental impacts of university entrepreneurial practices.

5) Factors that measure the performance of university entrepreneurial activities.

6) Factors that measure the economic impact of university entrepreneurial activities.

The six research areas mentioned are not completely separate from each other and overlap in areas. This paper uses these research areas to provide a framework for evaluating the effectiveness, and consequences of university entrepreneurial actions and to explain the factors, components, and consequences of transforming a university into an entrepreneurial university. Applying the proposed framework can help policymakers to know the readiness of the university and its region to become an entrepreneurial university.

This article is structured as follows: First, the role and impact of universities' entrepreneurial transformation on the economic development of the region are examined. In Section 3, six separate research factors are introduced and their impact of entrepreneurial universities are described in detail. Section 4 proposes a new framework for evaluating the degree of university readiness to become an entrepreneurial university, identifying the limitations and shortcomings of existing research. In Section 5, based on the literature review, the proposed theoretical framework based on the introduced factors, components, and their impacts and consequences is evaluated. The results and conclusions are presented in Section 6.

II. THE ROLE OF ENTREPRENEURIAL UNIVERSITIES IN LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The traditional mission of the second generation universities is to research and disseminate knowledge in academic societies and student communities. These universities provide Research and Development (R&D) teams and their activities lead to support patents for innovative inventions and publish high quality and state-of-the-art manuscripts. They also learn students to become skilled professionals and highly qualified personnel to play essential roles in entrepreneurial activities [12]. Universities not only provide resources, laboratories, and facilities for the development of technical abilities and outcomes of faculty members but also create an environment for students’ growth to acquire explicit and implicit knowledge and tactics through learning and living in the academic environment. Some of the innovation processes of universities [13] can be summarized as:

- High-quality scientific publications that expand technology and service opportunities.
- Training of specialists, professionals, engineers and natural sciences;
- Doctoral education based on knowledge, skills, work teams, and human networks.
- Collaborating in informal networks, joint research and development projects, contract research and research activities, or exchange of explicit and implicit knowledge related agreements.

Rather than providing services and products as the primary outcome of academic and scientific research to industry, first and second-generation universities place great emphasis on education, tacit knowledge, and indirect benefits [14]. Recent researches demonstrate that entrepreneurial universities can play a greater role in regional and national economic
development. Recent research shows that the attainable role of universities in regional and national economic development can be far greater than the indirect effects of traditional universities. The reasons for the importance of transforming entrepreneurial universities in regional and national economic development can be summarized as follows:

- The role of knowledge in the development of national economies and employment
- Technical advances of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT)
- The growing importance of regional high-tech clusters and entities.

The following explains the details of the importance of the transformation to entrepreneurship university in economic development.

A. Increasing Share of Knowledge and Awareness in Economic Development

Today, the creation and exploitation of knowledge, especially technology-based entrepreneurial activities for the discovery of new sciences and the pursuit of new opportunities, are increasingly accepted and pursued by policymakers [15]. The growing dependence of economic development on a nation’s abilities to acquire and apply technical, social and economic knowledge in the process of globalization has been accelerated. Technological progress is the prerequisite for continued high economic growth. Today, most comparative advantages are based on technical innovation and the competitive use of knowledge, and, as in the past, abundant natural resources or cheap labor do not account for much of the competitive advantage in economic development. Indeed, economic growth is the result of the process of knowledge accumulation and technological use.

The entrepreneurial university should be considered as a key component of economic strategies that pursue economic development by providing comparative advantages based on the strengthening and exploitation of national knowledge [9] [16]. This attention will provide the conditions for the development of a knowledge-based society. The rapid acceleration in the rhythm of knowledge creation and dissemination (shortening the lifespan of technologies and products) is the main reason for developing economies to focus on entrepreneurial universities. As a result of the focus and need for economic development on entrepreneurial universities, governments have recently increased the support of universities’ technological and entrepreneurial developments to create knowledge-based companies and high-tech entities and the use of academic outputs, products, and services.

B. Effect of Engineering and Tech. on Economic Growth

Today, the world is experiencing a shift in the way people work, how organizations are structured, and how businesses compete in the aftermath of advances in information and communication technology. Engineering and technology has transformed most of the competing markets from local to global, and competing businesses may offer products and services from anywhere in the world. The need for economies to compete in such a competitive environment is to accelerate the growth of knowledge by a new generation of universities.

The continuous learning process is itself one of the primary requirements to accelerate knowledge development. Therefore, it is imperative that entrepreneurial universities, which have traditionally been the centers of gathering, creating and disseminating new knowledge, should provide the conditions to enhance the competitive advantage of their areas.

C. The Role of Technological Clusters and Knowledge-Based Companies in Economic Development

Nowadays, universities should contribute to the development of national economies, in addition to producing and disseminating knowledge within the academic community and the indirect effects on economic development. The third-generation universities support and deployment of regional technological entities and high-tech companies by stimulating and disseminating business knowledge through the activation. One of the important aspects of entrepreneurial universities and high-tech companies in economic development is the possibility of attracting foreign direct investment into the country. From the perspective of multinational companies, the location of high value-added R&D projects is influenced by human capital and regional R&D capability [17]. Economies with high technology infrastructure and startups are better able to attract student investment from multinationals.

III. THE INFLUENTIAL FACTORS AND CONSEQUENCES OF UNIVERSITY ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES

The common elements [18] among successful entrepreneurial institutions can be summarized as:

1. Most successful entrepreneurial universities have strong top-down leadership and policies that support, accelerate, and encourage the process of entrepreneurial activity, and integrate entrepreneurial goals with traditional university academic values, thereby synergizing trends.
2. Most successful entrepreneurial universities owe their success to extensive communication and collaboration with industry in cross-cutting research projects. To this end, entrepreneurial universities have policies and procedures for entrepreneurial activities and are supported and encouraged by structures such as industrial communications offices and flexible contracting practices.
3. The sources of funding for successful entrepreneurial universities are varied, and although most of the funding for these universities is still funded by the government, funding from industry as well as private charities is available.
4. One of the requirements for successful entrepreneurial universities is to have a strong academic base and a comprehensive effort to improve their academic performance.
5. To succeed in entrepreneurial universities, it is necessary to develop an entrepreneurial culture at the university and change the culture of individuals to accept it while maintaining the core values of the institution.

The influential factors and consequences of university entrepreneurial activities can be listed in six primary research groups or domains as follows:
1. Scientific relationship, industrial and personality characteristics of university entrepreneurs

The entrepreneurial attributes, personality traits, motivations, disposition, and experiences of individuals play a prominent role in influencing and shaping entrepreneurial activities. The psychological model is also very effective to explain the output of service or product departure from universities. The individual's abilities, personalities, abilities, and will have a great impact on the entrepreneurial behavior of academics to succeed. The entrepreneurial behavior deters the quantity and quality of products. Academic entrepreneurs with outgoing, extroverted personalities were more likely to engage in entrepreneurial activities. Personal characteristics such as the need for achievement, the desire for independence and an internal locus of control were common in both groups. University entrepreneurs tended to be older and more scientifically experienced than "typical" high-technology entrepreneurs were. Scientific stars collaborating with firms had substantially higher citation rates than pure academic stars.

2. Resources and resources at the university include funding, brands, laboratories, growth centers, research centers, faculty

Although the general organizational theories of universities have concerned on the impact of environmental forces on academic entrepreneurial activities, rather than focusing on the broad economic or social forces, the organizational and human aspects of the university can be addressed and the relation between entrepreneurial activities and the level and nature of research funding; the quality of the researchers, the nature of the research within the university; and the presence of technology incubators should be excavated.

3. Entrepreneurial culture, policies, rules, structures, and promotion opportunities that enhance entrepreneurial activities and facilitate knowledge-based business

University entrepreneurship activities are a reflection of institutional behavior. Universities that have cultures that support commercialization have a higher level of business and higher levels of entrepreneurial activity. In contrast, academic environments that do not encourage entrepreneurship have less entrepreneurial activity. The university's social norms and expectations are the main determinants of commercialization [19]. Faculty members at some universities have greater motivation for entrepreneurial activity than their counterparts at other universities because of the inspiration provided by former university entrepreneurs at their university [20]. Faculty decisions on entrepreneurial activities are subject to social conditions. Entrepreneurial pioneers' struggle to make new academics believe that entrepreneurial activities are acceptable and desirable [21]. In contrast, academic environments do not encourage entrepreneurship to inhibit entrepreneurial activity. Academic unwillingness to engage in entrepreneurial behavior may be exacerbated by the attitudes and behaviors of senior individuals such as professors or heads of departments [22]. Local group norms are important in predicting active participation in commercialization [23].

4. Environmental Factors Affecting University and Academic Entrepreneurial Activities

Three broader economic factors that have the greatest impact on entrepreneurship activities in universities [24] are 1. Access to investment for the formation of advanced companies, 2. Legalization of inventions, and 3. Knowledge infrastructure of the region. National policies that allow for the invention of patents for academic inventors inhibit entrepreneurial activity and lead to anti-entrepreneurial attitudes among faculty and university administrators that do not benefit from the entrepreneurial activity of inventors [25]. Knowledge infrastructure of a region is another key environmental factor in determining entrepreneurial activities. Ease of access to critical expertise, networks and more knowledge in high-tech clusters enhances entrepreneurial activity.

5. Performance of side jobs, multitasking and service records

Few but growing studies have been conducted to evaluate the performance of university entrepreneurship activities. One of the performance indicators is the high survival rate of university affiliates relative to the average survival of new firms. Lower rates of failure in entrepreneurial activities at entrepreneurial universities are another indicator of performance appraisal. Studies show that new technology firms are likely to survive and continue to operate if radical technologies are exploited and if they have a broad patent. Measuring the amount of direct and indirect communication with investors is one of the indicators of performance appraisal and one of the most critical determinants of the success of technological activities that directly reduce the likelihood of product or service failure at entrepreneurial universities. A combination of academic and surrogate entrepreneurs might be the best approach for developing successful technology-based entrepreneurial activities. So, the composition of core entrepreneurs can be considered as a performance indicator for entrepreneur university.

Entrepreneurial activities have four stages including the research phase, the opportunity setting phase, the pre-reorganization phase, and the reintegration phase, as well as four critical milestones for progress to the next development stage including opportunity recognition, entrepreneurial commitment, credit threshold, and sustainability threshold. At the entrepreneurship university, indicators for evaluating their performance and success at these stages and these critical points should be defined and monitored continuously. The success of entrepreneurial activities depends on the ability of entrepreneurs to communicate with a wide range of different stakeholders such as financial institutions, research laboratories, and the customer. The breadth of university entrepreneurs' relationships and their experience in capturing market capital is another indicator of the performance evaluation of entrepreneurial universities.

6. Measuring the Impact of University Entrepreneurial Activities on Economic Development of the Region

Start-ups and entrepreneurial activities directed by entrepreneurial universities are highly effective in enhancing the economic power and market penetration of high-tech companies. They also create new job
opportunities, especially for a highly educated workforce, as well as increasing economic added value and ultimately economic development [26].

IV. EVALUATING THE READINESS OF A UNIVERSITY TO BECOME AN ENTREPRENEURIAL UNIVERSITY

Entrepreneurial universities around the world have received much attention from scholars and policymakers, and the move towards the transformation of universities into entrepreneurial universities has been welcomed. This study examines the causes and factors behind the success of some of these third-generation universities and assesses the conditions for converting a second-generation university to an entrepreneurial university. Different perspectives are offered on why some universities are at higher levels of successful entrepreneurial activity. This section provides a framework for evaluating university readiness to become a third-generation university, based on the proposed research policy and the research methods.

According to the theory of systems and advanced modeling tools, there is a critical demand to identify systematic changes and to answer why some universities have become more relatively successful in the third generation and when a university ready to change its traditional policies to an entrepreneur university. Most of the research studies, which were done to evaluate the readiness of universities to become third generation universities and to change policies to encourage and support entrepreneurial activities, are theoretical and models that have been offered solely in expressing some relationships between events without accountability explanations [27]. Thus, further studies that can explain, from an organizational perspective, why some universities succeed in supporting and encouraging entrepreneurial activities and technology-based services/products, are still of interest to scientists and policymakers. The complex processes within institutions are required to address the different forms of entrepreneurial activities and the complex causes and patterns that lead some, but not all, academics to engage in technology-based ventures in entrepreneurial universities.

The social setting of the institution and social environment established by other faculty members in the university plays a very important role in entrepreneurial activities and the process of becoming an entrepreneur university. The difference in the number of entrepreneurial activities at different universities is a direct reflection of the degree to which the culture of cooperation made them important determinants of academic status [28]. The role of the individual personality in entrepreneurial activities should be identified and taken into account in the model or framework for measuring readiness to change to a third-generation university [29].

The needs of institutional authorities seeking to enhance entrepreneurship on campus should be taken into account in the design of the framework. The different forms of entrepreneurial activity in higher education and the roles that institutions play in start-ups should also be taken into account. Nowadays, some researches are being conducted on the implications of entrepreneurial activities and the transformation of universities to become entrepreneurial universities in the development of the regional economy. Most of the results of these studies call for further reflection on the potential weaknesses of the innovation system of universities that have entered the third generation university phase before they are prepared. Problems with the tensions created by faculty, performance between departments and colleges within such universities have raised concerns about unsuccessful entrepreneurial activities.

Since the process of converting a traditional university into an entrepreneurial university is longitudinal, there is a need to research longitudinal structures in addition to traditional cross-sectional studies. From a methodological point of view, different methods of data collection are needed to influence the evaluation of university entrepreneurship programs as well as to assess university readiness to become a third-generation university. In preparing the data, in addition to carefully recording, documenting and explaining changes and inter-institutional variations which were made in the process of establishing an entrepreneurial university including policies, processes, and incentives, the structural complexities, as well as the richness of universities dynamics, should be captured and analyzed.

For the reasons mentioned above, it is necessary to use quantitative and qualitative hybrid simulations to evaluate the proposed systems for modeling the process of transforming a university into a third-generation university, as well as evaluating its readiness for such change. Quantitative-based evaluation and qualitative evaluation results have been used to confirm the proposed framework of this paper. There are some limitations to the data capture and modeling of academics' viewpoints and their perception of the quality of entrepreneurial experiences that complicate the proposed framework. Thus, in addition to employing quantitative methods, extensive and rigorous research requires the use of different types of qualitative methods ranging from focus group interviews to individual interviews to explain how academics understand their experiences within their institutional framework. Fortunately, to reduce the complexity of the proposed framework, some simpler methods can be exploited to provide some of the academic entrepreneurial tendencies. Such practices allow policymakers and academics to make meaningful decisions about how prepared they are to become a third-generation university.

The scientific and research productivity of universities can be exploited to determine the generation of the university. The publication and citation indices, commercial research income per academic staff and share of R&D incomes in total), as well as their funding sources (state, business and foreign), are some of the indicators to measures the university productivity [3] which were typically provided in Fig. 2. One of the distinctive indicators of potentially entrepreneurial universities is that most publication activities are related to a share of non-budgetary R&D incomes, including business and international funding sources. In these universities, the quality and quantity of publication activity (via co-publications, disclosure of research results, etc.) are enhanced in close cooperation of academic staff with businesspeople. Entrepreneurial universities have typically approached internationalization strategies (the volume of foreign-financed R&D and international publication and citation indices) and actively engaged in joint international research projects and academic exchange with foreign universities. Promotion of entrepreneurial initiatives and engaging in technology transfer and regional innovation initiatives lead to more publication activities.
V. CONCLUSION

This paper organizes the approach of entrepreneurial universities to the byproduct activates into six completely different research streams. Specifically, we argue for the existence of an underlying set of individual and contextual factors of entrepreneurial activities that need to be accepted by entrepreneur universities. Also, the two other primary streams of research identified (i.e., development and performance of entrepreneurial activities and the economic impact of entrepreneurial activities) provide a parsimonious description of the outcomes of entrepreneurial activities. An overview of the limitations of the entrepreneurial activities of the entrepreneur universities is provided by this paper literature. Much research in the entrepreneurial activities in entrepreneur universities has focused on a single university or on a very small number of institutions making it hard to draw any generalizations, so, much of their conclusions may not be generalizable to other entrepreneur universities. Entrepreneurial activities are increasingly important for economic development. Policymakers seek to understand how entrepreneur universities can contribute to both their traditional functions and the added function of making the regional or national economy more competitive.
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