

Oxidative Protein Folding in Eukaryotes: Consequences and Mechanisms

Boppudi Vinoothna

Department of Biomedical Engineering
Saveetha School of Engineering

Ieshita Pan

Assistant Professor, Dept of Biotechnology
Saveetha School of Engineering

Abstract— The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) gives a domain that is exceptionally streamlined for oxidative protein collapsing. As opposed to depending on little particle oxidants like glutathione, it is presently evident that disulfide arrangement is driven by a protein transfer including Ero1, a novel moderated FAD dependent catalyst, and protein disulfide isomerase (PDI); Ero1 is oxidized by sub-atomic oxygen and thusly goes about as a particular oxidant of PDI, which at that point legitimately oxidizes disulfide bonds in collapsing proteins. While giving a hearty main impetus for disulfide arrangement, the utilization of atomic oxygen as the terminal electron acceptor can lead to oxidative worry through the creation of reactive oxygen species and oxidized glutathione. How Ero1p recognizes the a wide range of PDI-related proteins and how the cell limits the impacts of oxidative harm from Ero1 stay significant open inquiries.

Keywords— PDI, oxidative folding, secretory protein, ROS

I. INTRODUCTION

For the folding and stability of secreted proteins the formation of intramolecular disulfide bonds is critical. This process involves thiol oxidation for disulfide bonds formation followed by rearrangement of nonnative disulfide bonds. These bonds are regularly essential for the strength of a protein structure and the impairing of cysteine deposits can forestall proteins from accomplishing their local adaptation leading to misfolding reported in vivo process of protein folding which occurs rapidly. However in vitro oxidative protein folding occurs slowly but in a spontaneous, way taking from hours to days. Exemplary tests by Anfinsen et al. (1961) given proof that disulfide development is an unconstrained process and that the polypeptide itself is adequate for accomplishing the local state in vitro. In contrast different parts of protein collapsing, disulfide-connected collapsing is delayed may be because of its reliance on a redox response, which requires an electron acceptor. These contemplations indicated that disulfide-connected collapsing is a helped procedure in vivo, which was exhibited by the disclosure of dsbA freaks in *Escherichia coli* that showed traded off disulfide arrangement (Bardwell et al., 1991). (1)

In eukaryotes, oxidative protein collapsing happens in the ER. Studies utilizing the great substrate ribonuclease A prompted the identification of protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), a protein that can rearrange incorrect disulfides as well as catalyze disulfide formation and reduction in vitro (Goldberger et al., 1963). Despite the ability of PDI to enhance the rate of formation reaction remained unknown. Over the past 40 year, a number of different factors have been proposed to contribute for maintaining the oxidized environment of the ER, which

includes the preferential secretion of reduced thiols and uptake of oxidized thiols, as well as a variety of redox enzymes and small molecule oxidants (Ziegler and Poulsen, 1977; Hwang et al., 1992; Carelli et al., 1997; Frand et al., 2000). However, the physiological relevance of these to oxidative folding has been unclear due to a lack of genetic evidence.(9)

A combination of genetic and biochemical studies using the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and more recently mammalian and plant systems, have begun to reveal the proteins and mechanisms behind this fundamental protein folding process. Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) which is an abundant catalyst for native disulfide bond formation in the ER lumen contains four domains homologous to thioredoxin, two of which possess a redox-active CGHC motif (Ferrari and Soling 1999). Depending on the nature of the substrate protein and the redox conditions of the assay in vitro, the active site cysteines of PDI can participate in dithiol-disulfide exchange reactions catalyzing dithiol oxidation, disulfide reduction, or disulfide isomerization (reviewed by Freedman et al. 1994). In addition to PDI, many other yeast ER proteins like Mpd1p, Mpd2p, Eug1p, and the product of ORF YIL005w exhibit the hallmarks of a thioredoxin fold (Frand and Kaiser 1999). (2)

Apart from PDI *ERO1*, a gene encoding a novel but conserved ER membrane protein required for the net formation of protein disulfide bonds (Frand and Kaiser 1999). It was already reported in a conditional *ero1-1* mutant, secretory proteins remain in a reduced state in the ER but would normally contain intramolecular disulfide bonds, such as CPY (ref). Evidence suggested that Ero1p activity introduces oxidizing equivalents into the ER lumen. The thiol oxidant diamide can restore CPY folding and viability in *ero1* mutants, and that over expression of *ERO1* confers resistance to toxic levels of the reductant DTT. The conserved, ER-resident protein Ero1p plays an analogous role to the bacterial periplasmic protein DsbB in oxidative folding. Both Ero1p and DsbB specifically oxidize a thioredoxin-like protein (PDI in eukaryotes, DsbA in bacteria) that serves as an intermediary in the transfer of oxidizing equivalents to folding proteins (Bardwell et al., 1993; Frand and Kaiser, 1999; Tu et al., 2000).

Molecular oxygen can serve as the terminal electron acceptor for disulfide formation in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Bader et al., 1999; Tu and Weissman, 2002). Under anaerobic conditions, the DsbB–DsbA system can support disulfide formation via alternate electron acceptors, such as fumarate (Bader et al., 1999). However, in bacteria oxidative folding is conveniently coupled to molecular oxygen through the respiratory chain, Ero1p uses a flavin-dependent reaction to

pass electrons directly to molecular oxygen (Tu and Weissman, 2002). As a result, Ero1p activity may generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) that could contribute an additional source of cellular oxidative stress, suggesting that its activity must be regulated according to the folding load. Furthermore, the evident particularity of Ero1p for PDI may permit the various homologues of PDI to stay in the decreased state so as to complete separate redox works beside protein oxidation. This review will focus on the Ero1p-catalyzed mechanism of oxidative folding and its broader cell biological amplifications.(3)

II. OXIDATIVE FOLDING MACHINERY COMPONENTS IN EUKARYOTES

Though the exact mechanism of oxidative folding is yet to understand and it is dependent on electron acceptor. Genetic screening in yeast revealed, that membrane associated ER protein Ero1p (ER oxidoreductin 1) is a crucial component for oxidative folding (Frandsen and Kaiser, 1998; Pollard et al., 1998). Mutation in this protein causes huge accumulation of mis folded protein inside the lumen. they are found sensitive to DTT (Frandsen and Kaiser, 1998; Pollard et al., 1998), In vivo, membrane association of Ero1p may allow the protein to be retained in the ER and facilitate co translational disulfide formation. Ero1p possesses seven conserved cysteine residues that are likely involved in catalyzing electron transfer (Frandsen and Kaiser, 1998, 2000; Pollard et al., 1998). However, Ero1p has no homology to any redox enzymes or other known proteins. In human, there are two ERO1 isoforms, hERO1- α also, hERO1- β (Cabibbo et al., 2000; Pagani et al., 2000), which do not have a COOH-terminal tail of 127 amino acids required by the yeast protein for membrane association (Pagani et al., 2001). In vivo, membrane association of Ero1p may permit the protein to reside within the ER to facilitate co-translational disulfide bond formation. Ero1p has seven conserved cysteine deposits that are likely engaged with catalyzing electron transfer (Frandsen and Kaiser, 1998, 2000; Pollard et al., 1998). Ero1p has no homology to any redox chemicals or other known proteins. Along with its major function of protein folding in ER yeast Ero1p and hERO1- β are induced by the unfolded protein response (UPR) (Frandsen et al., 1998; Kaiser, 1998; Pollard et al., 1998; Pagani et al., 2000). The expression of hERO1- α is controlled by hypoxia (Gess et al., 2003) however it won't control hERO1- β (6)

PDI has been known to help for the development of disulfide bonds. It has been appeared to catalyze disulfide bond arrangement also, isomerization, for a wide range of substrates in vitro (Freedman, 1989), yet its job in vivo still unclear. It is found that PDI is a fundamental protein which comprises 2% of the protein in the ER. It contains two thioredoxin-like Cys-Gly-His-Cys (CGHC) active site (Goldberger et al., 1963; Laboissiere et al., 1995) which is important for DTT sensitivity (Holst et al., 1997). PDI can't work as an oxidant of proteins yet can in any case catalyze the isomerization of protein disulfides if this active site is mutated. Moreover, in ero1-1 mutants, low accumulation of PDI proposed that Ero1p worked upstream of PDI in a pathway for disulfide development in the ER (Frandsen and Kaiser, 1999). (10)

The sulfhydryl oxidase Ero2p has been ensnared as a promising role in oxidative folding in the ER along with Ero1p (Gerber et al., 2001; Sevier et al., 2001; Net et al., 2002). Ero2p is an individual from the ERV/ALR group of sulfhydryl oxidases (Thorpe et al., 2002), which have been found in various sub cellular compartments. Despite the fact that Ero2p can make up for surrenders in Ero1p when over expressed (Sevier et al., 2001) and can oxidize proteins legitimately in vitro (Gerber et al., 2001), under the conditions analyzed, cancellation of ERV2 at most modestly affects development (Sevier et al., 2001; Tu and Weissman, 2002). The sulfhydryl oxidase action of Ero1p might be significant for appropriate mitochondrial homeostasis also, the get together of iron-sulfur group proteins (Lisowsky, 1994; Lee et al., 2000; Lange et al., 2001) maybe by catalyzing disulfide bond development, despite the fact that the oxidative condition of the mitochondrial inter membrane space isn't all around described.(7)

These perceptions built up that Ero1p is the major player in protein oxidation and that the physiological job of Ero2p as a sulfhydryl oxidase is restricted to a subset of unnecessary proteins or development conditions not yet inspected. In yeast, there is a fundamental homologue of Ero2p (Ero1p) that is limited to the mitochondrial inter membrane space (Lange et al., 2001). Strangely, a vaccinia infection protein of this ERV family has been involved in the arrangement of disulfides in viral proteins during gathering in the have cytosol (Senkevich et al., 2000).(4)

III. THE ERO1-DEPENDENT PATHWAY FOR THE TRANSFER OF OXIDIZING EQUIVALENTS

disulfide arrangement mediated by Ero1p with PDI at sub stoichiometric ratio proposed faster folding evidence with PDI (Tu et al., 2000). Ero1p catalyzed oxidative folding continues quicker than the PDI-catalyzed oxidative collapsing of substrates within the sight of an ideal glutathione redox cushion (Tu et al., 2000). Moreover, this Ero1p-driven response can happen autonomously of glutathione both in vivo and in vitro (Cuozzo and Kaiser, 1999; Tu et al., 2000). A mutant PDI with Cys-Gly-His-Ala (CGHA) active site is found a prevailing inhibitor of this Ero1-catalyzed response, thereby producing a mixed disulfide cross-connect both in vivo and in vitro (Frandsen and Kaiser, 1999; Tu et al., 2000). These perceptions show that Ero1p oxidizes PDI legitimately through disulfide trade (Tu et al., 2000). PDI in this way catalyzes the development of disulfides in folding proteins. Ero1p doesn't effectively go about as an immediate oxidant of folding substrates and in this way depends on PDI to move oxidizing reciprocals (Tu et al., 2000). Thus, by means of the protein transfer: Ero1p to PDI to protein the exchange of oxidizing reciprocals happens. Ero1p may likewise be engaged with intervening the retro translocation of proteins to the cytosol by oxidizing PDI, changing its compliance, and in this manner adjusting its liking for specific substrates (Tsai and Rapoport, 2002).(5)

IV. THE ROLE OF GLUTATHIONE IN OXIDATIVE FOLDING

Glutathione is the major redox buffer in eukaryotic cells (Hwang et al., 1992). The ratio of reduced (GSH) to oxidized (GSSG) glutathione is 100:1 in the cytosol (Hwang et al., 1992). This highly reducing environment disfavors disulfide bond

formation. However, in the ER where disulfide formation occurs, the ratio of GSH:GSSG is much more oxidizing at 3:1 (Hwang et al., 1992). This abundance of GSSG in the secretory pathway was long thought to be the source of oxidizing equivalents for disulfide formation (Hwang et al., 1992). However, Ero1p-catalyzed disulfide formation proceeds independently of glutathione both in vivo and in vitro (Cuozzo and Kaiser, 1999; Tu et al., 2000). What then is the role of glutathione? Genetic evidence in yeast has demonstrated that glutathione is dispensable for disulfide formation and instead capacities as a net reductant in the ER (Cuozzo and Kaiser, 1999). In a screen for silencers of the temperature affectability of the *ero1-1* freak, an erasure of GSH1, which is included in the biosynthesis of glutathione, was found to firmly stifle the *ero1-1* phenotype (Cuozzo and Kaiser, 1999). The translation of this perception is that nonappearance of glutathione as a reductant brings about less decrease of oxidized PDI and proteins, which permits an undermined *ero1* oxidation framework to help development. In *gsh1* strains, the oxidative collapsing of CPY continues with typical energy however is profoundly delicate to oxidative anxieties, steady with the job of glutathione as a net reductant (Cuozzo and Kaiser, 1999). What is the reason for the high GSSG content in the ER? Ero1p can't legitimately oxidize GSH to GSSG (Tu et al., 2000). Be that as it may, Ero1p and PDI can drive the oxidation of collapsing substrates even within the sight of decreased glutathione (GSH). After some time, a progressive creation of GSSG coming about because of GSH-intervened decrease of disulfides in PDI and collapsing proteins is seen in vitro (Tu et al., 2000) (Fig. 1). In this way, the wealth of GSSG in the ER is likely a result of Ero1p action, and the GSH:GSSG redox cushion in the ER speaks to a balance between the results of Ero1p-intervened oxidative and glutathione-intervened reductive procedures. The dynamic transporting of oxidizing reciprocals by Ero1p and PDI that happens autonomously of the mass redox condition could clarify how the ER bolsters quick disulfide arrangement while keeping up the capacity to diminish or revise erroneous disulfides, maybe through glutathione and certain PDI homologues.

V. THE ROLE OF FAD IN OXIDATIVE FOLDING

The reliance of oxidative collapsing on FAD characterizes a novel job for this flexible redox particle in the ER lumen. Ero1p is the primary depicted flavoprotein restricted altogether inside the ER lumen, and the presence of a hearty vehicle framework that brings FAD into the ER lumen has been recommended. In yeast, oxidative collapsing in vivo is profoundly delicate to explicitly free cell FAD levels (Tu and Weissman, 2002). This affectability could be reiterated in vitro, as the movement of Ero1p shifted fundamentally with little deviations from physiological FAD focuses (Tu and Weissman, 2002). Despite the fact that this affectability of Ero1p to free FAD levels is abnormal for a flavoprotein, it might give a way to control oxidative collapsing (see additionally beneath). Further work will be required to decide how FAD regulates the movement of Ero1p and regardless of whether this is a preserved property of Ero1p.(8)

VI. CONCLUSION

Oxidoreductases in the ER are responsible for net oxidation of protein cysteines with proper connectivity. To achieve correct cysteine pairing, disulfide isomerization or cycles of reduction and reoxidation may be necessary). Furthermore, to maintain the homeostasis proteins oxidized and transported through the secretory pathway and the ER performs reduction and retrotranslocation of misfolded proteins A major outstanding question related to biosynthetic disulfide bond formation in the ER is how the physiological functions of oxidation, rearrangement, and reduction of disulfide bonds are distributed among PDI family proteins. In conclusion, while past investigations of oxidative folding have concentrated on the mass redox capability of the ER, it is currently apparent that its a protein relay. By the kinetic shuttling of oxidizing equivalents to folding substrates eukaryotic disulfide formation proceeds As the Ero1p-driven oxidation apparatus is protected from the mass redox condition, decreased glutathione, maybe certain PDI homologues can aid the isomerization and reduction of incorrect disulfide bonds formation. Oxidative folding is coupled to the solid decrease potential of atomic oxygen through a FAD-subordinate system, but, a potential result is the creation of harmful receptive oxygen species. Undoubtedly, Ero1p could be a noteworthy supporter of cell oxidative pressure, and recommends that its action must be balanced agreeing to the folding load on the ER. Controlling the level of free Ero1p might be a way to control oxidative folding as indicated by the cell's nourishing or metabolic state. Future work will uncover how the ER keeps up its ideal condition for the large number of redox forms required for the best possible collapsing of secretory proteins.(11)

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Department of Biomedical Engineering and Biotechnology, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute Of Medical And Technical Sciences, for administrative support.

VIII. REFERENCES

- [1] IAnelli, T., M. Alessio, A. Mezghrani, T. Simmen, F. Talamo, A. Bachi, and R. Sitia. 2002. ERp44, a novel endoplasmic reticulum folding assistant of the thioredoxin family. *EMBO J.*21:835–844.
- [2] Anfinsen, C.B., E. Haber, M. Sela, and F.H. White. 1961. The kinetics of formation of native ribonuclease during oxidation of the reduced polypeptide chain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*47:1309–1314.
- [3] Bader, M., W. Muse, D.P. Ballou, C. Gassner, and J.C. Bardwell. 1999. Oxidative protein folding is driven by the electron transport system. *Cell.*98:217–227.
- [4] Bader, M.W., T. Xie, C.A. Yu, and J.C. Bardwell. 2000. Disulfide bonds are generated by quinone reduction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:26082–26088.
- [5] Bader, M.W., A. Hiniker, J. Regeimbal, D. Goldstone, P.W. Haebe, J. Riemer, P. Metcalf, and J.C. Bardwell. 2001. Turning a disulfide isomerase into an oxidase: DsbC mutants that imitate DsbA. *EMBO J.*20:1555–1562.
- [6] Bardwell, J.C., K. McGovern, and J. Beckwith. 1991. Identification of a protein required for disulfide bond formation in vivo. *Cell.*67:581–589.
- [7] Bardwell, J.C., J.O. Lee, G. Jander, N. Martin, D. Belin, and J. Beckwith. 1993. A pathway for disulfide bond formation in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*90:1038–1042.

- [8] Cabibbo, A., M. Pagani, M. Fabbri, M. Rocchi, M.R. Farmery, N.J. Bulleid, and R. Sitia. 2000. ERO1-L, a human protein that favors disulfide bond formation in the endoplasmic reticulum. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:4827–4833.
- [9] Rietsch, A., P. Bessette, G. Georgiou, and J. Beckwith. 1997. Reduction of the periplasmic disulfide bond isomerase, DsbC, occurs by passage of electrons from cytoplasmic thioredoxin. *J. Bacteriol.* 179:6602–6608.
- [10] agani, M., M. Fabbri, C. Benedetti, A. Fassio, S. Pilati, N.J. Bulleid, A. Cabibbo, and R. Sitia. 2000. Endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductin 1-lbeta (ERO1 Lbeta), a human gene induced in the course of the unfolded protein response *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:23685–23692.
- [11] Creighton T.E. Conformational restrictions on the pathway of folding and unfolding of the pancreatic trypsin inhibitor *J. Mol. Biol.* 1977; 113: 275-293
- [12] Cox J.S. Shamu C.E. Walter P. Transcriptional induction of genes encoding endoplasmic reticulum resident proteins requires a transmembrane protein kinase. *Cell.* 1993; 73: 1197-1206