On Hankel and Topelitz Determinants for some Special Class of Analytic Functions Involving Conical Domains Defined by Subordination

C. Ramachandran Department of Mathematics, University College of Engineering, Vllupuram, Anna University, Tamil Nadu, India-605103

Abstract--- In this paper, we derive an estimation for the Hankel and Topelitz determinant with domains bounded by conical sections involving Ruscheweygh derivative. The authors sincerely hope this article will revive and encourage the other researchers to obtain similar sort of estimates for other classes connected with conical domains.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45, 33C50; Secondary 30C80.

Key Words and Phrases. Analytic function, Univalent function, Starlike function, Convex function, k- Starlike function, k-Uniformly Convex function, Hankel determinant, Topelitz determinant and Conical region.

1 INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of all functions f(z) of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Let S be the subclass of A consisting of univalent functions in U with Montal normalization. The analytic criteria for the familiar class of

starlike and convex function are as follows.

Definition 1 Let f(z) be given by (1.1). Then

$$f \in \mathbf{S} \quad \text{if and only if} \\ \Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0, \ (z \in \mathbf{U}).$$

$$(1.2)$$

Definition 2 Let f be given by (1.1). Then $f \in \mathbf{C}$ if and only if $\Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > 0$, $(z \in \mathbf{U})$. (1.3)

It follows that $f \in \mathbf{C}$ if and only if $zf' \in \mathbf{S}^*$. Further, we recall the following definitions of the familiar classes of k-uniformly convex functions and k-starlike S. Annamalai Department of Mathematics, University College of Engineering, Vllupuram, Anna University, Tamil Nadu, India-605103

functions as follows:

$$k - \mathsf{ST} = \begin{cases} f: f \in \mathsf{S} \text{ and } \Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \\ k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \ (z \in \mathsf{U}: k \ge 0) \end{cases}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$k - \mathsf{UCV} = \begin{cases} f: f \in \mathsf{S} \text{ and } \Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \\ k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| & (z \in \mathsf{U}: k \ge 0) \end{cases}.$$
(1.5)

The function classes k - ST and k - UCV were introduced and investigated by Kanas and Wisniowska [17, 18] respectively (see the work [15] also). For a fixed $k \ge 0$, the class k - UCV is defined purely geometrically as a subclass of univalent functions which map the intersection of U with any disk centered at the point $z = \zeta(|\zeta| < k)$ onto a convex domain. In the case when k = 0 inequality (1.4) and (1.5) reduces to the well known class of starlike [6] and convex functions respectively. When k=1 the inequality (1.4) the class UCV introduced by Goodman [5, 6] and studied extensively by Rønning [33] and independently by Ma and Minda [26, 27]. The class k-ST is related to the class k-UCV by means of the well-known Alexander transformation between the usual classes of convex and starlike functions (see the works in [16]-[18], [26, 33]). Some more interesting developments involving the classes k - UCV and k - ST were presented by Lecko and Wisniowska [23], Kanas [10]-[14] and also other [1, 28, 29, 35] (one can also refer to [2], [37] and [38] for some more related works). Very recently, a system investigation of a class of functions with q-differential operator involving conical domain was done by Kanas and Raducanu [19].

By the familiar principle of differential subordination between analytic functions f(z) and g(z) in U, we say that f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in U if there exists an analytic function w(z) satisfying the following conditions: w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 ($z \in U$), such that f(z) = g(w(z)) ($z \in U$). We denote this subordination by $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$). In particular, if g(z) is univalent in U, then it is known that $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$) $\Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$

Kanas[10]-[18] introduced and studied the different concepts using conical region. For $0 \le k < \infty$ defined over the domain Ω_k as follows:

$$\Omega_k = \{ u + iv : u^2 > k^2 (u - 1)^2 + k^2 v^2 \}$$
(1.6)

which maps **U** onto the conic domain Ω_k . The explicit form of the extremal function that maps **U** onto the conic domain $\Omega_{k,\eta}$ is given by We note that the explicit form of function $\Omega_k(z)$.

$$p_0(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} = 1+2z+2z^2+2z^3+2z^4+\dots$$
 ($z \in U$), $k = 0$.

$$p_{1}(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \log^{2} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right) (z \in U), \ k = 1.$$

$$= 1 + \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} z + \frac{16}{3\pi^{2}} z^{2} + \frac{184}{45\pi^{2}} z^{3} + \dots$$

$$p_{k}(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{1 - k^{2}} \sinh^{2} \left(A(k) \ arc \tanh \sqrt{z} \right) (z \in U), \ 0 <$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - k^{2}} \cos \left\{ A(k)i \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right\} - \frac{k^{2}}{1 - k^{2}}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{1 - k^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{2n} 2^{l} \binom{A}{l} \binom{2n-1}{2n-l} \right] z^{n}$$

where
$$A = A(k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k$$
.
 $= 1 + \frac{2A^2}{1 - k^2} z + \frac{4A^2 + 2A^4}{3(1 - k^2)} z^2$
 $+ \frac{\frac{46A^2}{15} + \frac{8A^4}{3} + \frac{4A^6}{15}}{3(1 - k^2)} z^3 + \dots$
with $u(z) = \frac{z - \sqrt{\kappa}}{1 - \sqrt{\kappa}z}$

where $K(\kappa)$ dentes the Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind, and K'(k) is the complementary integral of $K(\kappa)$. and $\kappa \in (0,1)$ is chosen such that $k = \cosh \frac{\pi K'(\kappa)}{4K(\kappa)}$ which maps the unit disk U onto the

conic domains are respectively for 0 < k < 1,

$$\Omega_{k} = \left\{ u + iv : \left(\frac{u + \frac{k^{2}}{1 - k^{2}}}{\frac{k}{1 - k^{2}}} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{v}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^{2}}}} \right)^{2} > 1 \right\},$$

for k > 1 ,

$$\Omega_{k} = \left\{ u + iv : \left(\frac{u + \frac{k^{2}}{k^{2} - 1}}{\frac{k}{k^{2} - 1}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{v}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2} - 1}}} \right)^{2} < 1 \right\}.$$

By virtue of

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec p_k(z) \text{ or } p(z) = 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec p_k(z)$$

and the properties of the domains, we have

$$\Re(p(z)) > \Re(p_k(z)) > \frac{k}{k+1}$$

The q^{th} Hankel determinant for $q \ge 1$ and $n \ge 0$ is stated by Noonan and Thomas [30] as

This determinant has also been considered by several authors, for example, Noor [31] determined the rate of growth of $H_q(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ for functions f(z) given by (1.1) with bounded boundary. Ehrenborg in [4] studied the Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials. The Hankel transform of an integer sequence and some of its properties was discussed by Layman [22]. Easily, one can observe that the Fekete and Szegö functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ can be represented in terms of Hankel determinant as $H_2(1)$. Fekete and Szegö the estimate $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ where μ is real and $f \in \mathbf{S}$.

$$H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix}$$
. Janteng et al.[9] had established on

the second Hankel determinant for functions f(z)

belongs to S^* and C. In this paper, we will follow the same procedure or method used by them in finding $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$ for the domains bounded by conic sections. and define the symmetric Topelitz determinant $T_q(n)$ as follows:

$$T_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \cdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \\ a_{n+q-1} & \cdots & & a_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

That is, for example

$$T_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad T_2(3) = \begin{vmatrix} a_3 & a_4 \\ a_4 & a_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$T_3(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_3 & a_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

For $f \in S$, the problem of finding the best possible bounds for $||a_{n+1}| - |a_n||$ has a long history [3]. It is known fact from [3], for a constant c, that $||a_{n+1}| - |a_n|| \le c$. However, finding exact values of the constant c for S and its subclasses has proved difficult. It is very trivial from the definition that finding estimates for $T_n(q)$ is related to finding bounds for $A(n) := |a_{n+1} - a_n|$ and the best possible upper bound obtainable for A(n) is 2n+1 which is for the function $k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$. Therefore, obtaining bounds for A(n)

is different to finding bounds for $||a_{n+1}| - |a_n||$. In a very recent investigation, some sharp estimates for $T_n(q)$ for low values of n and q involving symmetric Topelitz determinants whose entries are the coefficients a_n of starlike and close-to-convex functions are obtained by Thomas and Halim [8]. For f(z) given by (1.1) and g(z) given by

$$g(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^n,$$

their convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by (f * g), is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = 0, 1, 2, ...$ The Ruscheweyh derivative [34] of the n^{th} order of f(z), denoted by $D^n f(z)$, is defined by

$$D^{n} f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * f(z)$$

= $z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+k)}{\Gamma(n+1)(k-1)!} a_{k} z^{k}.$ (1.7)

The Ruscheweyh derivative gave an impulse for various generalisation of well known classes of functions. The class R_n was studied by Kanas and Yaguchi [20] and Singh and Singh [36], which is given by the following definition

$$\Re\left(\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)}\right) > 0, \ z \in \mathsf{U}.$$
(1.8)

We note that $R_0 = S^*$ and $R_1 = C$.

In this paper we derive the Hankel determinant and Topelitz matrices for the class R_n .

2 Preliminaries

The following lemmas will be required in our investigation. Let P be the family of all functions p analytic in U for which $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ for $z \in U$ and

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$
(2.1)

Lemma 1 [21] Let the function *W* in the Schwarz function is given by

$$w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + \dots, \ z \in \mathbf{U}$$

Then for every complex number *s*,
 $|w_2 - sw_1^2| \le 1 + (|s| - 1) |w_1|^2$
and $|w_3 - sw_2^2| \le max \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, |s - 1| \right\}.$

Lemma 2 [32] If $p \in P$ then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each k.

Lemma 3 [7] The power series for p(z) give (2.1) in U to a function in p if and only if the Toeplitz determinants

and $c_{-k} = \overline{c}_k$, are all non-negative. They are strictly

positive except for $p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho_k p_0(e^{it_k} z)$, $\rho_k > 0$, $t_k \neq t_j$, for $k \neq j$; in this case $D_n > 0$ for n < m-1and $D_n = 0$ for $n \ge m$.

Lemma 4 [25] Let the function $p \in P$ be given by the power series (2.1), then $2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)$ (2.2)for some x, $|x| \le 1$, and $4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z$ is analytic and has positive real part in the open unit disk U (2.3)

for some z, $|z| \le 1$.

3 Main Results

Theorem 1 Let $0 \le k \le 1$ and if the function f(z) given by (1.1) be in the class R_n . then

$$|a_{2}a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4}, & n=0; \\ \frac{[8N+P_{1}^{2}(A(n)-B(n))]^{2}}{2P_{1}^{2}A(n)-P_{1}^{2}B(n)-16(M-N)} & n \geq 1 \\ +B(n)P_{1}^{2}, \end{cases}$$

where P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are the coefficients of $p_k(z) = 1 + P_1 z + P_2 z^2 + P_3 z^3 + \dots$ $M = A(n) \left(\frac{3P_1^3}{16} + \frac{P_1^4}{16} - \frac{3P_1^2}{16} + \frac{P_1P_3}{8} + \frac{3P_1^2P_2}{16} \right)$ $-B(n)\left(\frac{P_2^2}{16}+\frac{P_1^4}{16}+\frac{P_1^2P_2}{8}\right)$

$$N = A(n) \left(\frac{P_1 P_2}{4} + \frac{3P_1^3}{16} \right) + B(n) \left(\frac{-P_1^2}{8} + \frac{P_1 P_2}{8} + \frac{P_1^3}{8} \right)$$

$$A(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 (n+2)(n+3)}$$
 and
$$B(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 (n+2)^2}.$$

Proof. Let us consider a function q(z) given by

$$q(z) = \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} \ (z \in U).$$

Then, for $f \in R_n$, we have the following subordination:

(3.1)

$$q(z) \prec p_k(z) \ (z \in \mathsf{U}).$$

where

$$p_k(z) = 1 + P_1 z + P_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

Using the subordination relation (3.1), we see that the function h(z) given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + p_k^{-1}(q(z))}{1 - p_k^{-1}(q(z))} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

. We also have

$$q(z) = p_k \left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \ (z \in \mathsf{U}).$$

$$z(D^{n}f(z))' = D^{n}f(z) \quad p_{k}\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \quad (3.2)$$

$$z + 2(n+1)a_{2}z^{2} + 3\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2!}a_{3}z^{3} + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \frac{P_{1}c_{1}}{2}z + \left(\frac{P_{1}c_{2}}{2} - \frac{P_{1}c_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{2}c_{1}^{2}}{4}\right)z^{2}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{P_{1}c_{1}^{3}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}c_{1}c_{2}}{2} + \frac{P_{1}c_{3}}{2} + \frac{P_{2}c_{1}c_{2}}{2} - \frac{P_{2}c_{1}^{3}}{4} + \frac{P_{3}c_{1}^{3}}{8}\right)z^{3} + \dots$$

$$(3.3)$$

Equating the like terms of (3.3), we get

$$a_{2} = \frac{P_{1}c_{1}}{2(n+1)},$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \left[\frac{P_{1}c_{2}}{2} + \left(-\frac{P_{1}}{4} + \frac{P_{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4} \right) c_{1}^{2} \right],$$
(3.5)

$$a_{4} = \frac{P_{1}c_{1}}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} \begin{bmatrix} P_{1}c_{3} + \left(P_{2} - P_{1} + \frac{3P_{1}^{2}}{4}\right)c_{1}c_{2} \\ + \left(\frac{P_{3}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}}{4} - \frac{P_{2}}{4}\right)c_{1}c_{2} \\ + \left(\frac{P_{2}}{2} - \frac{3P_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}}{4}\right)c_{1}^{3} \\ \frac{3P_{1}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a_{2}a_{4} - a_{3}^{2} = A(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}c_{1}c_{3}}{2} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} A(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{2} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{3P_{1}^{3}}{8}\right) - \\ B(n)\left(\frac{-P_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \end{bmatrix}c_{1}^{2}c_{2}^{2} \\ - B(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4}c_{2}^{2} + \\ \begin{bmatrix} A(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}P_{3}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) \\ -\frac{3P_{1}^{3}}{16} + \frac{3P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} \end{bmatrix} \\ - B(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} - \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} \end{bmatrix}c_{1}^{4}$$

where $A(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)}$ $B(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}$.

Making use of Lemma 4 to express c_2 in terms of c_1 and for simplicity, we have taken $Y=4-c_1^2$ and $Z = (1 - |x|^2)z$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $c = c_1$, where $0 \le c \le 2$. Applying triangle inequality, we get,

$$|a_{2}a_{4} - a_{3}^{2}| = \left| \left\{ A(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}P_{3}}{8} + \frac{3P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{16} \right) - B(n) \left(\frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} \right) \right\} c^{4} + Y \left\{ A(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{4} + \frac{3P_{1}^{3}}{16} \right) - B(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{16} \right) - B(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{16} \right) - B(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{16} \right) - B(n$$

(3.7)

(3.6)

and

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le |M|c^4 + c^2Y |x| N$$

$$+c^{2}Y|x|^{2}A(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{8}+B(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16}Y^{2}|x|^{2}+A(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4}c^{2}Y(1-|x|^{2}):=\Phi(c,|x|).$$

where

$$M = A(n) \left(\frac{3P_1^3}{16} + \frac{P_1^4}{16} - \frac{3P_1^2}{16} + \frac{P_1P_3}{8} + \frac{3P_1^2P_2}{16} \right) - B(n) \left(\frac{P_2^2}{16} + \frac{P_1^4}{16} + \frac{P_1^2P_2}{8} \right)$$

$$N = A(n) \left(\frac{P_1 P_2}{4} + \frac{3P_1^3}{16} \right) + B(n) \left(\frac{P_1 P_2}{8} + \frac{P_1^3}{8} \right)$$

Trivially, $\Phi(|x|) > 0$ on [0,1] , and so $\Phi(|x|) \leq \Phi(1)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_{2}a_{4} - a_{3}^{2} \right| &\leq \left| M \right| c^{4} + c^{2} (4 - c^{2}) N \\ &+ c^{2} (4 - c^{2}) A(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{8} + \\ &B(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} (4 - c^{2})^{2} \coloneqq G(c). \end{aligned}$$
$$G(c) &= \left[M - N - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{8} A(n) + B(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} \right] c^{4} \\ &+ \left[4N + \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2} A(n) - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2} B(n) \right] c^{2} + B(n) P_{1}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

IJERTV5IS100402

For optimum value of G(c), consider G'(c) = 0 this implies that c = 0 or

$$c^{2} = 4 \left[\frac{P_{1}^{2}(A(n) - B(n)) + 8N}{2P_{1}^{2}A(n) - P_{1}^{2}B(n) - 16(M - N)} \right]$$

Since each of these coefficients p_k 's are positive, applying the properties of $p_k(z)$, this show that the following result.

For n = 0, G has a maximum attained at c = 0. The upperbound for (3.7) corresponds to |x|=1 and c = 0, in which case

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le B(n)P_1^2 = \frac{P_1^2}{4}.$$

For
$$n \ge 1$$
, G has a maximum attained at
$$\overline{8N + P_i^2(A(n) - B(n))}$$

$$c = 2\sqrt{\frac{8N + P_1(A(n) - B(n))}{2P_1^2 A(n) - P_1^2 B(n) - 16(M - N)}}.$$
 The

upperbound for (3.7) corresponds to |x|=1

and

$$c = 2\sqrt{\frac{8N + P_1^2(A(n) - B(n))}{2P_1^2A(n) - P_1^2B(n) - 16(M - N)}}, \text{ in which}$$

case

$$|a_{2}a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}| \leq \frac{[8N+P_{1}^{2}(A(n)-B(n))]^{2}}{2P_{1}^{2}A(n)-P_{1}^{2}B(n)-16(M-N)} + B(n)P_{1}^{2}$$

which completes the proof.

For the choices of k = 0, and n = 0, Theorem 1 reduces to a result in [9] and [24]: Remark 1 If $f \in S^*$, then $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le 1$. If k = 1, and n = 0, then $P_1 = \frac{8}{\pi^2}$, $P_2 = \frac{16}{3\pi^2}$ and $P_3 = \frac{184}{45\pi^2}$ and then we get the following result: Corollary 1 If $f \in SP$, then $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{16}{\pi^4}$. If 0 < k < 1, and n = 0, then $P_1 = \frac{2A^2(k)}{1-k^2}$, $P_2 = \frac{4A^2(k) + 2A^4(k)}{3(1-k^2)}$, and $P_3 = \frac{46A^2(k) + 40A^4(k) + 4A^6(k)}{45(1-k^2)}$ and then we get

the following result:

Corollary 2 If $f \in k - ST$, then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{A^4(k)}{(1-k^2)^2}.$$

If k = 0, and n = 1, then $P_1 = P_2 = P_3 = 2$. Theorem 1 reduces to a result in [9]:

Theorem 2 Let $0 \le k \le 1$ and if the function f(z) given by (1.1) be in the class R_n . then

$$a_3^2 - a_2^2 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{P_1^2}{4}, & n = 0; \\ B(n)P_1^2 - \frac{S^2}{4R}, & n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are the coefficients of $P_k(z) = 1 + P_1 z + P_2 z^2 + P_3 z^3 + \dots$ $R = B(n) \left(\frac{-P_1 P_2}{8} + \frac{P_1^2}{16} + \frac{P_2^2}{16} - \frac{P_1^3}{8} + \frac{P_1^4}{16} + \frac{P_1^2 P_2}{8} \right)$ $S = B(n) \left(\frac{-P_1^2}{2} + \frac{P_1 P_2}{2} + \frac{P_1^3}{2} - (n+2)^2 \frac{P_1^2}{4} \right)$ and $B(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 (n+2)^2}$.

Proof. From the equations (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$a_{3}^{2} - a_{2}^{2} = B(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4} c_{2}^{2} - \frac{P_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} + B(n) \left[\frac{-P_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1} P_{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{4} \right] c_{1}^{2} c_{2} + B(n) \left[\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} - \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} - \frac{P_{1} P_{2}}{8} \right] c_{1}^{4} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{2} P_{2}}{8} c_{1}^{4}$$
(3.8)

where
$$B(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}$$
.

Making use of Lemma 4 to express c_2 in terms of c_1 and for simplicity, we have taken $Y = 4 - c_1^2$ and $Z = (1 - |x|^2)z$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $c = c_1$, where $0 \le c \le 2$. Applying triangle inequality, we get,

$$|a_{3}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}| = \left| B(n) \left[\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} \right] c^{4} + B(n)Y \left[\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} \right] c^{2}x$$
(3.9)
+ $Y^{2}B(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} x^{2} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}c^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \right|$
+ $a_{3}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}| \leq \left| B(n) \left[\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} \right] c^{4} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}c^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \right|$
+ $B(n)Y \left[\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} \right] c^{2} |x|$
+ $Y^{2}B(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} |x|^{2}$

Trivially, $\Phi'(|x|) > 0$ on [0,1], and so $\Phi(|x|) \le \Phi(1)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{3}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}| &\leq \left| B(n) \left[\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} \right] c^{4} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}c^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}} \right| \\ &+ B(n)Y \left[\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} \right] c^{2} \\ &+ Y^{2}B(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} \coloneqq G(c) \\ G(c) &= B(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} - \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} \right) c^{4} + \\ B(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{2} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{2} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2} - (n+1)^{2} \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2} \right) c^{2} + B(n)P_{1}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$G(c) = Rc^{4} + Sc^{2} + B(n)P_{1}^{2}.$$

$$G'(c) = 4Rc^{3} + 2Sc$$
where
$$R = B(n) \left[\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} - \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} \right]$$

$$S = B(n) \left[\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{2} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{2} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2} - (n+2)^{2} \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4} \right].$$
Now $G'(c) = 0$ implies $c = 0$ or $c^{2} = \frac{-S}{2R}$

Since each of these coefficients p_k 's are positive, applying the properties of $p_k(z)$, this show that the following result.

For n = 0, G has a maximum attained at c = 0. The upperbound for (3.9) corresponds to |x|=1

and c = 0, in which case $|a_{1}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}| \le B(n)P^{2} = \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2}$

$$a_3^2 - a_2^2 \leq B(n)P_1^2 = \frac{P_1}{4}.$$

For $n \ge 1$, *G* has a maximum attained at $c^2 = \frac{-S}{2R}$. The upperbound for (3.9) corresponds to |x|=1 and $c^2 = \frac{-S}{2R}$, in which case $|a_3^2 - a_2^2| \le B(n)P_1^2 - \frac{S^2}{4R}$

which completes the proof.

If k = 0, and n = 0, then we get the following result. Corollary 3 If $f \in \mathbf{S}^*$, then $|a_3^2 - a_2^2| \le 1$.

If k = 1, and n = 0, then $P_1 = \frac{8}{\pi^2}$, $P_2 = \frac{16}{3\pi^2}$ and $P_3 = \frac{184}{45\pi^2}$ and then we get the following result: Corollary 4 If $f \in SP$, then $|a_3^2 - a_2^2| \le \frac{16}{\pi^4}$.

Theorem 3 Let $0 \le k \le 1$ and if the function f(z) given by (1.1) be in the class R_n . then

$$|1+2a_{2}^{2}(a_{3}-1)-a_{3}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} 1+\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4}, & n=0; \\ \\ 1+B(n)P_{1}^{2}-\frac{W^{2}}{4V}, & n\geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are the coefficients of $P_k(z) = 1 + P_1 z + P_2 z^2 + P_3 z^3 + \dots$ $V = C(n) \left(\frac{P_1^2 P_2}{8} + \frac{P_1^4}{8} \right) - B(n) \left(\frac{\frac{P_2^2}{16} + \frac{P_1^4}{16} + \frac{P_1^2 P_2}{8} - \frac{P_1^2}{8} - \frac{P_1^2}{16} + \frac{P_1 P_2}{8} + \frac{P_1^3}{8} \right)$ $-(n+2) \frac{P_1^3}{8}$ $W = B(n) \left(\frac{-P_1^2}{2} + \frac{P_1 P_2}{2} + \frac{P_1^3}{2} + (n+2) \frac{P_1^2}{2} - (n+2)^2 \frac{P_1^2}{2} \right)$ $C(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}$ and

$$B(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 (n+2)^2}$$

Proof. From the equations (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{split} 1 + 2a_{2}^{2}(a_{3}-1) - a_{3}^{2} &= 1 + C(n) \Biggl[\Biggl(\frac{-P_{1}^{3}}{8} + \frac{P_{2}P_{1}^{2}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{8} \\ - \Biggl(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \Biggr) \Biggl(\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} - \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} + \frac{P_{2}P_{1}^{2}}{8} \Biggr) \Biggr) c_{1}^{4} \Biggr] \\ + C(n) \Biggl[\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{4} - \Biggl(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \Biggr) \Biggl(\frac{-P_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{4} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{4} \Biggr) \Biggr] c_{1}^{2} c_{2} \\ - B(n) \frac{P_{1}^{2}c_{2}^{2}}{4} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}c_{1}^{2}}{2(n+1)^{2}}, \end{split}$$

where $C(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^3(n+2)}$.

Making use of Lemma 4 to express c_2 in terms of c_1 and for simplicity, we have taken $Y = 4 - c_1^2$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $c = c_1$, where $0 \le c \le 2$. Applying triangle inequality, we get, $|1+2a_2^2(a_3-1)-a_3^2| =$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1+C(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{8}-\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}\right) \right)c^{4} \\ +C(n)\left[\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}-\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}+\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8}\right)\right]Yc^{2}x \\ -B(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16}x^{2}Y^{2}-\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2(n+1)^{2}}c^{2} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(3.10)$$

$$|1+2a_{2}^{2}(a_{3}-1)-a_{3}^{2}| = \left|1+C(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{8}\right) - \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}\right)\right)c^{4} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2(n+1)^{2}}c^{2}\right| + B(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16}|x|^{2}Y^{2} + C(n)Y\left(\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}+\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8}+\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}\right)\right)c^{2}|x|$$

Trivially, $\Phi'(|x|) > 0$ on [0,1], and so $\Phi(|x|) \le \Phi(1)$. Hence

$$\begin{split} |1+2a_{2}^{2}(a_{3}-1)-a_{3}^{2}| &= \left|1+C(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{8}\right) - \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}\right)\right)c^{4} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2(n+1)^{2}}c^{2} \right| \\ &+ B(n)\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{16}Y^{2} + \\ C(n)Y\left(\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}+\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8}+\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}\right)\right)c^{2} \\ G(c) &= 1+B(n)P_{1}^{2} + \left[C(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}-\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{8}\right) - \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16}+\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8}-\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}-\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8}\right)\right]c^{4} \\ &+ C(n)\left(\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{2}-\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{2}+\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{2}+\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right)c^{2} \end{split}$$

$$G(c) = 1 + Vc^4 + Wc^2 + B(n)P_1^2$$

$$G'(c) = 4Vc^{3} + 2Wc$$

where
$$V = C(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{8} \right) - \frac{(n+1)}{(n+2)} \left(\frac{P_{2}^{2}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{4}}{16} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}P_{2}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{8} - \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{8} \right)$$
$$W = C(n) \left(\frac{P_{1}^{3}}{2} - \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right) \left(\frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{2} + \frac{P_{1}^{3}}{2} + \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2} \right) \right)$$
Now $G'(c) = 0$ implies $c = 0$ or $c^{2} = \frac{-W}{2V}$

Since each of these coefficients p_k 's are positive, applying the properties of $p_k(z)$, this show that the following result.

For n = 0, G has a maximum attained at c = 0. The upperbound for (3.10) corresponds to |x|=1 and c = 0, in which case

$$|1+2a_{2}^{2}(a_{3}-1)-a_{3}^{2}| \le 1+B(n)P_{1}^{2}=1+\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{4}.$$

For $n \ge 1$, G has a maximum attained at $c^{2}=\frac{-W}{2V}.$
The upperbound for (3.10) corresponds to $|x|=1$ and

IJERTV5IS100402

$$c^2 = \frac{-S}{2R}$$
, in which case

$$|1+2a_{2}^{2}(a_{3}-1)-a_{3}^{2}| \le 1+B(n)P_{1}^{2}-\frac{W^{2}}{4V}$$

which completes the proof.

If k = 0, and n = 0, then we get the following result. Corollary 5 If $f \in \mathbf{S}^*$ then $|1 + 2a^2(a - 1) - a^2| \le 2$

Lorollary 5 If
$$f \in \mathbf{S}$$
, then $|1+2d_2(d_3-1)-d_3| \le 2$.
If $k=1$, and $n=0$, then $P_1 = \frac{8}{\pi^2}$, $P_2 = \frac{16}{3\pi^2}$ and

In n - 1, and n - 0, then $F_1 - \frac{1}{\pi^2}$, $F_2 = \frac{1}{3\pi^2}$ 184

 $P_3 = \frac{184}{45\pi^2}$ and then we get the following result:

Corollary 6 If $f \in SP$, then

$$|1+2a_{2}^{2}(a_{3}-1)-a_{3}^{2}| \le 1+\frac{16}{\pi^{4}}$$
·
REFERENCES

- R. Aghalary and S. R. Kulkarni, *Certain properties of parabolic starlike and convex functions of order*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. (Ser. 2), 26 (2003), 153–162.
- [2] E. Deniz, H. Orhan and H. M. Srivastava, Some sufficient conditions for univalence of certain families of integral operators involving generalized Bessel functions, Taiwanese J. Math., 15 (2011), 883–917.
- P. L. Duren, Univalent Function, (Springer-Verlag, 1983), 114 - 115, Mat. Sb. 37(79)(1995) 471–476.
- [4] R. Ehrenborg, *The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials*, American Mathematical Monthly, 107(2000): 557–560.
- [5] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 56 (1991), 87-92.
- [6] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 155 (1991), no. 2, 364–370.
- [7] U. Grenander and G. Szego, *Toeplitz forms and their application*, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, (1958).
- [8] D. K. Thomas and A. Halim, *Toeplitz Matrices Whose Elements are the Coefficients of Starlike and Close-to-Convex Functions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., DOI 10.1007/s40840-016-0385-4. (Published online).
- [9] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, and M. Darus, *Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions*, Int. Jour. Math. Anal., vol. 1, no. 13, 619- 625, 2007.
- [10] S. Kanas, Alternative characterization of the class k-UCV and related classes of univalent functions, Serdia Math. J., 25 (1999), 341–350.
- [11] S. Kanas, Techniques of the differential subordination for domains bounded by conic sections, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 38 (2003), 2389–2400.
- [12] S. Kanas, Differential subordination related to conic sections, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 317 (2006), 650–658.
- [13] S. Kanas, Subordination for domains bounded by conic sections, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 15 (2008), 589–598.
- [14] S. Kanas, Norm of pre-Schwarzian derivative for the class of k -uniform convex and k -starlike functions, Appl. Math. Comput, 215 (2009), 2275–2282.
- [15] S. Kanas and H. M. Srivastava, *Linear operators associated with k -uniform convex functions*, Integral Transforms Spec. Function., 9 (2000), 121–132.

- [16] S. Kanas and A. Wi S' niowska, Conic regions and k -uniform convexity, II, Zeszyty Nauk.Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat., 22 (1998), 65–78.
- [17] S. Kanas and A. Wi S' niowska, *Conic regions and k -uniform convexity*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 105 (1999), no. 1-2, 327–336.
- [18] S. Kanas and A. Wi S' niowska, Conic regions and k -starlike function, Rev. Roumania Math. Pures Appl., 45 (2000), 647–657.
- [19] S. Kanas and D. R a ducanu, Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains, Math. Slovaca 64 (2014), no. 5, 1183–1196.
- [20] S. Kanas and T. Yaguchi, Subclasses of K-uniformly convex and starlike functions defined by generalized derivative, II, Pub. De L Ins. math., Nouvelle, tome 69(83) (2001), 91–100.
- [21] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 8–12.
- [22] J. W. Layman *The Hankel transform and some of its* properties, J. of integer sequence, 4(2001): 1–11.
- [23] A. Lecko and A. Wi S' niowska, Geometric properties of subclasses of starlike functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 155 (2003), 383–387.
- [24] S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions, arXiv 1303 0314V1 [math CV] 1 Mar 2013.
- [25] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, *Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in P*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 251-257, 1983.
- [26] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 57 (1992), no. 2, 165–175.
- [27] W. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, II, Ann. Polon. Math., 58 (1993), 275–285.
- [28] I. Nezhmetdinov, Classes of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions as dual sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 216 (1997), 40–47.
- [29] J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa, Certain classes of analytic functions concerned with uniformly starlike and convex functions, Appl. Math. Comput., 187 (2007), 350–355.
- [30] J. W. Noonan and D.K. Thomas, On the second Hankel derminant of areally mean p-valent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 223(2) (1976), 337-346.
- [31] K. I. Noor, Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Et Appl., 28, No.8 (1983), 731-739.
- [32] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975.
- [33] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118 (1993), 189–196.
- [34] S. Ruschewey, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1975) 109–115.
- [35] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni and J. M. Jahangiri, *Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 55 (2004), 2959–2961.
- [36] R. singh, S. Singh, Integrals of certain univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 77(1979) 336–340.
- [37] [37] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and M. K. Das, *The Fekete-Szeg_Tio problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 44 (2001), 145-163.
- [38] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (eds), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.