

# New oscillation criteria for second order advanced neutral differential equations

Xhevair Beqiri  
State University of Tetova

Alit Ibraimi  
State University of Tetova

## Abstract

In this paper we present new criteria for oscillation of advanced neutral differential equations second order of the form

$$[r(t)[((a(t)x(t) + b(t)x(\tau(t)))^\alpha)'] + c(t)|x(\tau(t))|^\alpha = 0 \quad (1)$$

where the coefficient  $r(t)$  is nonnegative continuous function,  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$  and  $c(t)$  are continuous function which filled certain conditions.

The conclusion is based also on building functions where are involved coefficients of equation, positive functions  $\rho(t)$  and the positive function of Philo  $H(t, s)$ .

Here, by using the generalized Riccati technique we get a new oscillation criteria for (1).

**Key words:** oscillation, differential equation, second order, interval, criteria etc.

## Introduction

Let consider and create new oscillation of advanced neutral differential equations second order of the form

$$[r(t)((a(t)x(t) + b(t)x(\tau(t)))^\alpha)'] + c(t)|x(\tau(t))|^\alpha = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a quotient of odd positive integers and  $\alpha$  is a even number.

We assume that

$$A1) a(t) > 0, c(t) \geq 0, 0 \leq b(t) \leq 1,$$

$$A2) r(t) > 0, \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^\alpha(s)} = \infty$$

$$A3) \tau(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}), \tau(t) \leq t,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty.$$

In following we set

$z(t) = a(t)x(t) + b(t)x(\tau(t))$ . By a solution of equation (1) we consider a function

$x(t)$ ,  $t \in [t_x, \infty) \subset [t_0, \infty)$  which is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies equation (1) on the given interval. We consider only non-trivial solutions. A solution  $x(t)$  of (1) is said to be oscillatory if there exists a sequence

$\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of points in the interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$  and  $x(\lambda_n) = 0$ ,  $n \in N$ ,

otherwise it is said to be non-oscillatory. An equation is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory, otherwise it is considered that is non-oscillatory solution.

**Lemma 1.** If  $x(t)$  is a positive solution of (1)

then exists  $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)$  such the corresponding function

$$z(t) = a(t)x(t) + b(t)x(\tau(t)) \quad (2)$$

satisfies

$$z(t) > 0, z'(t) > 0, z''(t) < 0 \text{ for}$$

$$t > t_1$$

eventually.

*Proof:* Assume that the function  $x(t)$  is a positive solution of (1). Then from (1) follow that exists  $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)$  such that

$$((r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha)' = -c(t)|x(\tau(t))|^\alpha < 0 \text{ for}$$

$$t \geq t_1$$

from where we get that the function

$r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha$  is decreasing for  $t \geq t_1$  and we claim that  $r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha > 0$  or

$r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha < 0$ . If we let  $r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha < 0$  on  $t \geq t_1$  then exists  $t_2 \geq t_1$ , such that  $r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha \leq r(t_2)(z'(t_2))^\alpha < 0$ , for all  $t \geq t_2$  from where

$$z'(t) \leq \frac{(r(t_2))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z'(t_2)}{(r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}$$

Integrating this from  $t_2$  to  $t$  we have

$$z(t) \leq z(t_2) + (r(t_2))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z'(t_2) \int_{t_2}^t \frac{1}{(r(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} ds$$

we can see that  $z(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ , where  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

This contradicts because  $z(t) > 0$  we have

$r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha > 0$ , from where  $z'(t) > 0$ .

From (1) we get

$$((r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha)' < 0$$

$r'(t)(z'(t))^\alpha + \alpha r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha-1} z''(t) < 0$

from where

$$z''(t) < 0.$$

This complete the proof.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\varphi(w) = Bw - Aw^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}$ ,  $A > 0$ , and  $B$  are constants,  $\alpha$  is a quotient of odd positive integers. Then function  $\varphi$  attains its maximum value on  $\mathfrak{R}$  at

$$w_{\max} = \frac{\alpha^\gamma B^\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^\alpha A^\alpha} \text{ and}$$

$$\max(w) = \frac{\alpha^\alpha B^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} A^\alpha}.$$

*Proof:* From

$$\varphi'(w) = B - \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} Aw^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \text{ and}$$

$\varphi'(w) = 0$ , we get

$$w = \frac{\alpha^\gamma B^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} A^\alpha}.$$

Since  $\varphi''(w) = -\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha^2} Aw^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} < 0$ , we have

that the function  $\varphi(w)$  attains to max value on  $\mathfrak{R}$  at  $w_{\max}$ , i. e.  $\varphi(w_{\max})$  is a max value of function  $\varphi(w)$  and

$$\varphi(w_{\max}) = \frac{\alpha^\alpha B^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} A^\alpha}$$

and we can write the inequality

$$Bw - Aw^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{\alpha^\gamma B^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} A^\alpha}.$$

Consider (2) we have

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{a(t)} [z(t) - b(t)x(\tau(t))]$$

from where

$$x(\tau(t)) = \frac{1}{a(\tau(t))} [z(\tau(t)) - b(\tau(t))x(\tau(\tau(t)))]$$

for  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $\tau(t) \leq t$  and  $x'(t) > 0$ , also

from (2) we get

$$x(\tau(t)) \leq x(t) \text{ and}$$

$$x(\tau(t)) < z(\tau(t))$$

finally

$$x(\tau(t)) \geq \frac{1}{a(\tau(t))} [z(\tau(t)) - b(\tau(t))z(\tau(t))]$$

$$x(\tau(t)) \geq \frac{1}{a(\tau(t))} [z(\tau(t))(1 - b(\tau(t)))].$$

Now define

$$w(t) = v(t) \frac{r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha}{z^\alpha(\tau(t))}, \text{ for}$$

$$t \geq t_0 > 0 \tag{3}$$

differenting (3) and using (1) we see that

$$w'(t) = v'(t)$$

$$\frac{r(t)(z'(t))^\alpha}{z^\alpha(\tau(t))} + v(t) \frac{(r(t)z'^{\alpha}(t))}{z^\alpha(\tau(t))} -$$

$$\alpha v(t) \frac{r(t)z'^{\alpha}(t)z^{\alpha-1}(t)z'(\tau(t))\tau'(t)}{z^{2\alpha}(\tau(t))}$$

$$w'(t) = \frac{v'(t)}{v(t)} w(t) - v(t) \frac{c(t)(1-p(\tau(t))^\alpha z'^\alpha(\tau(t)))}{a^\alpha(\tau(t))z^\alpha(\tau(t))} - \alpha w(t) \frac{z'(\tau(t))\tau'(t)}{z(\tau(t))}$$

$$w'(t) = \frac{v'(t)}{v(t)} w(t) - v(t) \frac{c(t)(1-p(\tau(t))^\alpha)}{a^\alpha(\tau(t))} - \alpha w(t) v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) \frac{\tau'(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(t))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)}$$

for  $L(t) = \frac{c(t)(1-p(\tau(t))^\alpha)}{a^\alpha(\tau(t))} > 0$

we obtain

$$w'(t) = \frac{v'(t)}{v(t)} w(t) - v(t)L(t) - \alpha w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t) \frac{\tau'(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(t))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)}$$

(4)

for

$$B = \frac{v'(t)}{v(t)}, A = \frac{\tau'(t)}{v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(t))}$$

we have

$$w'(t) \leq -v(t)L(t) + B(t)w(t) - A(t)w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t)$$

now to consider lemma 2, we have

$$w'(t) \leq -v(t)L(t) + \frac{\alpha^\alpha B^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} A^\alpha}$$

from where

$$w'(t) \leq -v(t)L(t) + \frac{(v'(t))^{\alpha+1} r(\tau(t))}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} v^\alpha(t)(\tau'(t))^\alpha}$$

$t \geq t_0 > 0$  (5)

We say that a function  $H(t, s)$  belongs to the class X if

- i)  $H \in C(D, [0, \infty))$ ;
- ii)  $H(t, t) = 0$  and  $H(t, s) > 0$ , for  $-\infty < s < t < +\infty$ ;
- iii)  $H$  has continuous partial derivatives on first and second variable

$$\frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial t} = h_1(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} = -h_2(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}$$

**Theorem 1.** Assumed that A1) – A3) hold .Assume that exists a positive differentiable function  $v(t)$  and a function  $H(t, s) \in X$  and if there exist  $(a, b) \subseteq [t_0, \infty), c \in (a, b)$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_a^c [H(t, s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(t, s)v'(s) + h_1(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} v^\alpha(s)H^\alpha(t, s)\tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds - \frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_c^b [H(b, s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(b, s)v'(s) - h_2(b, s)\sqrt{H(b, s)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} v^\alpha(s)H^\alpha(b, s)\tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds > 0$$
 (6)

then every solution of eq. (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof:** Suppose to the contrary, that  $x(t)$  be a non-oscillatory solution of (1), say  $x(t) \neq 0$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$  from where  $z(t) \neq 0$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ .

If inequation (5) multiplying with  $H(t, s)$  and integrate from  $c$  to  $t$  where  $t \in (c, b), s \in (c, t)$  we have

$$\int_c^t H(t, s)v(s)L(s)ds \leq -\int_c^t H(t, s)w'(s)ds + \int_c^t H(t, s) \frac{w'(s)}{w(s)} w(s)ds - \int_c^t \frac{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s)\tau'(s)H(t, s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)} ds$$

$$\int_c^t H(t, s)v(s)L(s)ds \leq -w(s)H(t, s) \Big|_c^t -$$

$$\int_c^t h_2(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}w(s)ds + \int_c^t H(t, s) \frac{w'(s)}{w(s)} w(s)ds - \int_c^t \frac{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s)\tau'(s)H(t, s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)} ds$$

$$\int_c^t H(t,s)v(s)L(s)ds \leq w(c)H(t,c) + \int_c^t [(H(t,s) \frac{v'(s)}{v(s)} - h_2(t,s)\sqrt{H(t,s)})w(s) - \frac{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s)\tau'(s)\alpha H(t,s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)}]ds$$

$$\int_t^c H(s,t)v(s)L(s)ds \leq -w(c)H(s,t) + \int_t^c [(H(s,t) \frac{v'(s)}{v(s)} + h_1(s,t)\sqrt{H(s,t)})w(s) - \frac{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s)\tau'(s)\alpha H(s,t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)}]ds$$

From Lemma2 for  $A = \frac{\tau'(s)\alpha H(t,s)}{\frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)}}$ ,

$$B = H(t,s) \frac{v'(s)}{v(s)} - h_2(t,s)\sqrt{H(t,s)}$$

we have

$$\int_c^t H(t,s)v(s)L(s)ds \leq w(c)H(t,c) + \int_c^t \frac{H(t,s)v'(s) - h_2(t,s)\sqrt{H(t,s)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}v^{\alpha}(s)H^{\alpha}(t,s)\tau'^{\alpha}(s)}ds \quad (7)$$

Let  $t \rightarrow b_-$  in (7) and dividing it by  $H(b,c)$  we get

$$\frac{1}{H(b,c)} \int_c^b H(b,s)v(s)L(s)ds \leq w(c) + \int_c^b \frac{H(b,s)v'(s) - h_2(b,s)\sqrt{H(b,s)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}v^{\alpha}(s)H^{\alpha}(b,s)\tau'^{\alpha}(s)}ds \quad (8)$$

If (5) multiplying with  $H(s,t)$  and integrate over  $(t,c)$  where  $t \in (a,c), s \in (t,c)$  we get

$$\int_t^c H(s,t)v(s)L(s)ds \leq -\int_t^c H(s,t)w'(s)ds + \int_t^c H(s,t) \frac{w'(s)}{w(s)} w(s)ds - \int_t^c \frac{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s)\tau'(s)H(s,t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)}ds$$

$$\int_t^c H(s,t)v(s)L(s)ds \leq -w(s)H(s,t) \Big|_t^c + \int_t^c h_1(t,s)\sqrt{H(s,t)}w(s)ds + \int_t^c H(s,t) \frac{w'(s)}{w(s)} w(s)ds - \int_t^c \frac{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s)\tau'(s)H(s,t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)}ds$$

From Lemma2 for  $A = \frac{\tau'(s)\alpha H(s,t)}{\frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(s))v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)}}$ ,

$$B = H(s,t) \frac{v'(s)}{v(s)} + h_1(s,t)\sqrt{H(s,t)}$$

we have

$$\int_t^c H(s,t)v(s)L(s)ds \leq w(c)H(s,t) + \int_t^c \frac{H(s,t)v'(s) + h_1(s,t)\sqrt{H(s,t)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}v^{\alpha}(s)H^{\alpha}(s,t)\tau'^{\alpha}(s)}ds \quad (9)$$

Let  $t \rightarrow a^+$  in (9) and dividing it by  $H(c,a)$  we obtain

$$\frac{1}{H(c,a)} \int_a^c H(t,s)v(s)L(s)ds \leq w(c) + \frac{1}{H(c,a)} \int_a^c \frac{H(t,s)v'(s) + h_1(t,s)\sqrt{H(t,s)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}v^{\alpha}(s)H^{\alpha}(t,s)\tau'^{\alpha}(s)}ds \quad (10)$$

Adding (8) and (10) we have the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{H(c,a)} \int_a^c [H(c,s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(c,s)v'(s) + h_1(c,s)\sqrt{H(c,s)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}v^{\alpha}(s)H^{\alpha}(c,s)\tau'^{\alpha}(s)}]ds + \frac{1}{H(b,c)} \int_c^b [H(b,s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(b,s)v'(s) - h_2(b,s)\sqrt{H(b,s)}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}v^{\alpha}(s)H^{\alpha}(b,s)\tau'^{\alpha}(s)}]ds \leq 0$$

Which contradict to the condition (6), therefore, every solution of equation (1) be oscillatory. The proof is complete.

**Corollary 1:** Let assume that  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  hold. If

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, a)} \int_k^t [H(t, s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(t, s)v'(s) + h_1(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}v^\alpha(s)H^\alpha(t, s)\tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds > 0 \quad (11)$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, c)} \int_k^t [H(t, s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(t, s)v'(s) - h_2(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}v^\alpha(s)H^\alpha(t, s)\tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds > 0 \quad (12)$$

for any  $H \in X, v \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  and

for all  $k \geq t_0$ , then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof:** For  $k \geq t_0$ , from (11) if we take

$k = a$ , and  $c > a$ , we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_a^c [H(t, s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(t, s)v'(s) + h_1(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}v^\alpha(s)H^\alpha(t, s)\tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds \quad (13)$$

From (12) for  $k = c$  and for any  $b > c$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, c)} \int_c^b [H(b, s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{H(t, s)v'(s) - h_2(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}v^\alpha(s)H^\alpha(t, s)\tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds > 0$$

If adding (12) to (13), we obtain the inequality of the theorem 1. Now, the proof is complete.

If for  $H(t, s) = (t - s)$ ,  $t \geq s \geq t_0$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let assume that  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  hold. If

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_k^t [(t - s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{(t - s)v'(s) + 1}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}v^\alpha(s)(t - s)^\alpha \tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds \quad (14)$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_k^t [(t - s)v(s)L(s) - \frac{(t - s)v'(s) - 1}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}v^\alpha(s)(t - s)^\alpha \tau'^\alpha(s)}] ds \quad (15)$$

for any  $H \in X, v \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  and

for all  $k \geq t_0$ , then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof:** From (14) and (15) for

$$\frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial t} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} = -1 \quad \text{we have}$$

(14) respectively (15). The proof is complete.

**Reference**

[1] J. Dzurina, Oscillation theorems for second order advanced neutral differential equations, Mathematical institute, Slovak Academy of sciences, 2011, p. 61- 71  
 [2] Xh.Beqiri, E. Koci, Oscillation criteria for second order differential equations, British Journal of Science, 2012, 73 -80.  
 [3]A. A. Soliman, R. A. Sallam, A. M. Hassan, Oscillation criteria of second order nonlinear neutral differential equations, International journal of applied mathematical research, 2012, p. 314 – 322.  
 [4] M. M. A. El-Sheikh, R. A. Sallam, D. I. Elimy, Oscillation criteria for nonlinear second order damped differential equations, Int. Jour. of nonl. sc. 2010, 297-307.  
 [5]J. Dzurina, E. Thandapani, S. Tamilvanan, Oscillation of solutions to third- order half-linear neutral differential equations, electronic journal of differential equations, 2012, p. 1-9.  
 [6]T. Li, E. Thandapani, J. Graef, Oscillation of third-order neutral retarded differential equations, international journal of pure and applied mathematics, 2012, p. 511 – 520.  
 [7]Xh. Beqiri, New Oscillation Criteria For Second Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, reasearch inventory, International journal of engineering and science, 2013,

p. 36 – 41.