International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 03 , March - 2026

Low-Carbon and Geopolymer Concrete: A Detailed Literature Review

M. P. Sureshkumar
Associate Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Shree Venkateshwara Hi-Tech Engineering College, Tamilnadu

Abstract - The construction sector is a major contributor to global greenhouse gas emissions, primarily due to the production of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC). Recent research has focused on sustainable alternatives such as low-carbon concrete and geopolymer concrete (GPC). This paper presents a comprehensive literature review of these materials, focusing on their composition, environmental benefits, mechanical properties, durability, and challenges. Findings from the literature indicate that geopolymer concrete, produced using industrial by-products such as fly ash and slag, significantly reduces CO_2 emissions while achieving comparable or superior performance to conventional concrete. However, challenges such as lack of standardization, variability of raw materials, and scalability issues limit widespread adoption.

1. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is the most widely used construction material globally, but its environmental impact is significant. Cement production contributes approximately **8% of global CO_2 emissions**, largely due to clinker production and energy-intensive processes . This has led to increasing interest in low-carbon alternatives that reduce emissions while maintaining structural performance.

Low-carbon concrete includes a broad range of materials and technologies such as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), carbon capture techniques, and geopolymer binders. Among these, geopolymer concrete has emerged as a promising alternative due to its ability to utilize industrial waste and reduce dependence on OPC.

2. LOW-CARBON CONCRETE: CONCEPTS AND STRATEGIES

2.1 Definition and Scope

Low-carbon concrete refers to concrete systems designed to minimize carbon emissions through:

- Reduced clinker content
- Use of waste-derived materials
- Alternative binders such as alkali-activated materials (AAMs)
- Carbon sequestration technologies

These strategies aim to reduce both **embodied energy** and environmental impact.

2.2 Environmental Impact Reduction

The use of SCMs such as fly ash and slag significantly reduces CO_2 emissions associated with cement production. Studies show that replacing OPC with alternative binders can substantially lower carbon footprints while maintaining required mechanical performance .

Lifecycle assessment studies further confirm that geopolymer materials have lower environmental impacts compared to conventional concrete systems .

2.3 Emerging Technologies

Recent innovations include:

- AI-based mix optimization for minimizing carbon footprint

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 03 , March - 2026

- Carbon mineralization and curing technologies
- Hybrid low-carbon binders combining OPC and geopolymer systems

These approaches are gaining attention for improving both sustainability and performance.

3. GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE: MATERIALS AND MECHANISMS

3.1 Composition of Geopolymer Concrete

Geopolymer concrete is produced by activating aluminosilicate materials using alkaline solutions. Common precursor materials include:

- Fly ash
- Ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS)
- Metakaolin

These materials are industrial by-products, contributing to waste utilization and sustainability .

3.2 Geopolymerization Process

The geopolymerization process involves:

1. Dissolution of aluminosilicate materials in alkaline solution
2. Formation of reactive species
3. Polycondensation into a three-dimensional polymeric network

This process differs fundamentally from the hydration mechanism of OPC.

3.3 Sustainability Benefits

Geopolymer concrete offers several environmental advantages:

- Reduction in CO_2 emissions
- Lower energy consumption
- Utilization of industrial waste
- Reduced need for natural resources

Studies highlight that geopolymer concrete is a **low-carbon and sustainable alternative** to traditional concrete .

4. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

4.1 Compressive Strength

Geopolymer concrete exhibits compressive strength comparable to or higher than OPC concrete. Optimization studies using machine learning have shown that strength can be enhanced while simultaneously reducing carbon emissions .

4.2 Tensile and Flexural Strength

Engineered geopolymer composites (EGCs) demonstrate high tensile ductility and strain-hardening behavior, making them suitable for high-performance applications .

4.3 Bond Strength and Reinforcement Behavior

Research indicates that geopolymer concrete provides strong bonding with reinforcement and improved resistance to corrosion and high temperatures .

5. DURABILITY CHARACTERISTICS

5.1 Chemical Resistance

Geopolymer concrete demonstrates excellent resistance to:

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 03 , March - 2026

- Acid attack
- Sulfate attack
- Chloride ingress

This makes it suitable for aggressive environments.

5.2 Thermal and Fire Resistance

Geopolymer materials exhibit superior performance at high temperatures compared to OPC-based systems, making them ideal for fire-resistant structures .

5.3 Long-Term Performance

While short-term durability is promising, long-term performance data remains limited. Variability in raw materials can also influence durability outcomes.

6. COMPARISON WITH CONVENTIONAL CONCRETE

Property	OPC Concrete	Geopolymer Concrete
CO_2 Emissions	High	Low
Raw Materials	Natural limestone	Industrial waste
Durability	Moderate	High
Energy Consumption	High	Low
Standardization	Well-established	Limited

Geopolymer concrete clearly outperforms OPC in environmental terms, but lacks standardized codes for widespread use.

7. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

7.1 Lack of Standardization

The absence of globally accepted design codes remains a major barrier to adoption.

7.2 Material Variability

The properties of geopolymer concrete depend heavily on the source and composition of raw materials.

7.3 Handling and Safety Issues

Alkaline activators such as sodium hydroxide pose safety concerns during handling and mixing.

7.4 Scalability

Industrial-scale production and supply chain limitations restrict widespread implementation.

7.5 Research Gaps

- Limited long-term durability data
- Lack of field performance studies
- Need for standardized mix design procedures

8. RECENT RESEARCH TRENDS

Recent studies focus on:

- Use of recycled aggregates in geopolymer concrete for improved sustainability

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 03 , March - 2026

- Development of ultra-high-performance geopolymer composites
- Integration of nanomaterials for enhanced microstructure
- AI and machine learning for mix optimization

These trends highlight the shift toward **smart and sustainable construction materials**.

9. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research should focus on:

- Development of international standards and codes
- Long-term durability and lifecycle studies
- Cost-effective large-scale production methods
- Hybrid systems combining OPC and geopolymer binders
- Carbon-negative concrete technologies

10. CONCLUSION

Low-carbon and geopolymer concrete represent significant advancements toward sustainable construction. Geopolymer concrete, in particular, offers a viable alternative to OPC by reducing carbon emissions and utilizing industrial waste materials. Despite promising mechanical and durability properties, challenges such as lack of standardization, scalability, and long-term performance must be addressed. Continued research and collaboration between academia and industry are essential for achieving widespread adoption and reducing the environmental impact of the construction sector.

REFERENCES

[1] Cui, Y., et al. (2023). *State-of-the-art review on geopolymer concrete*. Springer.

[2] Zhang, et al. (2022). *Engineered geopolymer composites review*. Construction and Building Materials.

[3] Al-Fakih, A., et al. (2025). *Optimization of compressive strength and carbon footprint*. Springer.

[4] Tiwari, M. K., et al. (2025). *Metakaolin-based geopolymer concrete*.

[5] Deepak, G. B., et al. (2024). *Recycled aggregate geopolymer concrete*.

[6] Comprehensive geopolymer review (2021). *Construction and Building Materials*.

[7] Ramadhani, D. L. (2025). *Lifecycle assessment of geopolymer mortar*.

[8] Lightweight geopolymer concrete review (2022). *Ceramics International*.

[9] Zakariya, H. A. (2025). *Innovations in low-carbon concrete*.

[10] Ge, X., et al. (2022). *AI-based low-carbon concrete design*.