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Abstract

Fatigue failure analysis plays a significant role in all industrial design applications. Many components are subjected to some form of fluctuating stress/strain, and thus fatigue failure potentially plays a significant role in the assessment of structural integrity. The occurrence of cracks in structures and components poses a real threat to the well-being of the structures. These cracks may grow and result in loss of integrity and at times, total structural failure.

Presented in this paper are the results of a research program on damage tolerance analysis and design of aerospace structures. The problem considered is an aircraft fuselage structural panel plate between two repeating rivet holes with a crack originating from one hole and approaching to the other hole is a generic problem addressed in this paper as shown in figure 1a and 1b and dimensions are shown in table 1. Accurate determination of crack tip stress intensity factor as a function of crack length is a fundamental prerequisite. This is achieved by developing appropriate FE model using ANSYS software and determining the stress intensity factor using a special purpose post processing subprogram called 3MBSIF.

AFGROW is public domain software for fracture machines analysis. With the material properties and SIF solutions as inputs, the AFGROW software is used to predict the residual strength and Crack growth life.

2. Methodology

The finite element method in general and ANSYS software in particular offers a universal procedure for computation of the crack tip stress intensity factors for the panel under investigation for different crack lengths. AFGROW uses this information and offers a number of options to predict crack growth life under variable amplitude and spectrum loading. These are exploited in the current study.

2.1 Stress Intensity Factor Solution to Fatigue Crack Growth

Kf is stress intensity factor obtained from ANSYS for corresponding crack length. The correction factor ($\beta = Kf/K0$) for FCG test panel is determined for different crack length and it is used as input for AFGROW software. We can see from figure 2 that the correction factor increases with increase in crack length.
2.2 Fatigue crack growth life prediction using AFGROW

The AFGROW analytical crack propagation program was used to determine fatigue crack growth rate of FCG test panels.

The different material models available in AFGROW used in this research are Harter-T method, Forman Equation, NASGRO Equation, Walker Equation.

Material used is 2024 T3 aluminium alloy.
Young’s modulus=10110 ksi
Yield strength=42.8 ksi
Plane stress fracture toughness=130
Forman constants: \( c=4 \times 10^{-7} \); \( N=2.9 \)

2.3 Figures and tables

Table 1: Geometric dimensions of an aircraft fuselage structural panel plate

| Width (W) | 26 mm |
| Length (L) | 60 mm |
| Pitch (P) | 46 mm |
| Radius of hole (R) | 10 mm |
| Young’s Modulus (E) | 74 GPa |
| Poisson’s Ratio (ν) | 0.33 |
| Tensile Stress (σ) | 100 MPa |

Figure 1a: Aircraft fuselage structural panel with periodic rivet holes.

Figure 1b: Actual specimen to analysis

Nomenclature

\( a \) crack length in mm
\( E \) Young’s modulus in N/m²
\( K_I \) Mode I Stress intensity factor.
\( K_0 \) Reference Stress intensity factor used for normalisation.
\( ν \) Poisson’s ratio.
\( σ \) tensile stress
\( β \) correction factor
\( ΔK \) Stress intensity factor range
\( N \) Number of cycles

Paris crack growth constant=0.8e-8
Paris exponent in NASGRO Equation=3.2
Exponent in NASGRO Equation, \( p=0.25 \)
Exponent in NASGRO Equation, \( q=1 \)
Threshold coefficient=1.21
Alpha=2
Smax/S0=0.3
Walker equation constants for 2024 T3 alluminium alloy are:
\( C=0.167 \times 10^{-8} \)
\( n=3.273; m=0.618 \)
da/dN  Fatigue Crack growth rate

Figure 2: β factor for different a/W ratio.

Figure 3: Fatigue crack growth rate for 2024 T3 using Forman and HARTER Equation

Figure 4: Fatigue crack growth rate for 2024_faa_t13 using Nasgro and walker
Result & Discussion
“a” versus “N” and da/dN versus ∆K curves for four test panels are predicted using different Fatigue crack growth laws in AFGROW. The results are shown in the figure 3-6.

Conclusion
The finite element modelling using ANSYS is demonstrated to provide highly accurate stress intensity factor solutions to complex cracked body problems encountered in practice. However there is a clear need to update the post-processing capabilities for application to curved stiffened panels which are encountered in aerospace and automotive structures. The pre-processing using the KSCON command enables progressively refined modelling around a crack tip to achieve reliable and accurate SIF solutions.
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