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Abstract—Underwater photography becomes an important research area in Ocean Engineering, Computer Graphics and Surveillance. But underwater images appear blue-green and hazy since the longer wavelength of sunlight cannot penetrate deep in the water due to salinity and high concentration of dissolve impurities in the seawater. The paper proposes Multi-scale Fusion technique in order to remove hazing effects and to enhance visibility of such images. An input underwater image is processed for deriving two images from Gamma Correction and sharpening filter. The associated weight maps are then computed and merged together using Gaussian and Laplacian pyramids. Patch-based Contrast Quality Index (PCQI) and Underwater Color Image Quality Evaluation (UCIQE) are used for determining the quality of recovered underwater images. The experimental results indicate that the final image has better visibility and contrast than the original image.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Now a day, the underwater photography becomes important not only for the marine lovers but also for the various other applications such as ocean engineering, archaeology, surveillance, computer graphics etc. But it is always challenging to take a noise free image in uneven conditions specially, in the underwater where even light cannot penetrate deep in the water. In fact, the underwater images taken from the conventional camera appears blue-green and it contains lots of noise such as haze, blurring effect, non uniform lighting, low contrast, foggy appearance etc. The primary cause of this noise is rapid attenuation of light when it travels in the water.

When light travels from air to water, both refraction and attenuation occurs. The refractive index (i.e. the amount of refraction) is dependent of both salinity and temperature of the water. The high level of salinity and low level of temperature increases the refractive index. The level of dissolve salts, organic substance and water molecules increases with depth which are the primary cause of reduction of intensity of the light with depth. The ultraviolet and the infrared wavelengths cannot penetrate much in depth of the ocean while the green and blue light wavelengths can penetrate to any depth. This is why the underwater images appear blue-green. The sunlight can only penetrate up to 990 feet (about 3260 meters) in the ocean.

The scattering of light can be defined as the reflection/refraction of a part of the light away from the original direction of object. It depends on wavelength of light and dissolved impurity of the water. It results in low contrast of underwater images. The overall poor visibility and color cast caused by the effects of underwater imaging conditions deteriorate the capability to fully extract valuable information from underwater images for further processing such as marine, mine detection and aquatic robot inspection. Hence, it is of great interest to restore degraded underwater images for high-quality underwater imaging [3].

There are numerous numbers of image enhancement and restoration techniques have been proposed since last two decades. J. Y. Chiang and Y. C. Chen [2] proposed WCID algorithm which can effectively restore image color balance and remove haze. Amjad Khan [5] proposed wavelet based fusion algorithm in which the hazy image has been enhanced in terms of color and contrast. G. Suresh, V. Natrajan and A. Shanavas [6] describes that an imaging transducer array combined with an acoustic lens can work as the front end of an underwater acoustic imaging system, which can identify objects even in turbid waters with high resolution. In the approach specified in [7], quality parameters such as entropy, peak signal-to noise ratio (PSNR) and average mean brightness error (AMBE) are measured to justify the efficiency of the work.

In this paper, the proposed method presents an advanced approach of image enhancement. The algorithm for underwater dehazing technique is shown in fig.1. It includes three filters: White-Balancing, Gamma-Correction and Sharpening. The steps are summarized as follows: (1) the input underwater image passes through white balancing filter which adjust the color cast of image so that image looks more natural. (2) The processed output image of the white balancing filter passes through gamma correction filter and sharpening filter for weight maps calculation. (3) Gaussian pyramid and Laplacian pyramid are then applied to the weight maps of two images derived from above two filters. (4) The output images of above process are merged together by using multi-scale fusion technique to get the final output underwater image.
II. METHODOLOGY

A. White-Balancing of Underwater Image (Input Image)

The sunlight is composed of six basic colors: Red, Orange, Yellow, Green, Blue and Violet. Red color has the longest wavelength with the lowest energy while the violet color has the shortest wavelength with the highest energy. When sunlight enters in seawater highest wavelength of light is absorbed first followed by other lower wavelengths of light. The shortest wavelength of light can reach only upto 90-110 ft deep in the water and therefore objects present there appears blue/green. To remove this unrealistic color cast of image taken deep in the water, white-balancing filter is used. Fig.2 illustrate white-balancing image of an input underwater image.

B. Gamma-Correction of Underwater Image (Input Image 1)

An image consists of small picture elements known as pixel. The value of pixel varies from 0 to 1 (0 defines complete darkness or black and 1 defines complete brightest or white) and it has different brightness level depending upon this pixel value. However, the image captured in water deeper than 30 ft suffers with over brightness as some of the colors of sunlight is absorbed and cannot possible to recover. Also, when white-balancing filter is applied to the input image it over exposed few color content of the image. To correct the uneven brightness level of white-balanced image, Gamma correction filter is used. The output of Gamma correction filter is shown in fig.3. The Gamma correction filter balances the individual pixel value of an image non-linearly.

C. Sharpening of Underwater Image (Input Image 2)

Sharpening filter is used to enhance the edges and fine details of the underwater images. These details are consists of high frequency components and enhancing the high frequency components of an image enhances the visual quality of the image. The sharpened image of input white-balanced image is as shown in the fig.4. The unsharp mask filter is used to mix the blurred underwater image with the white-balanced image in order to get the sharper image. The sharpened image $I_s$ is expressed as

$$I_s = I_w + \beta (I_w - G*I_w)$$

where $I_w$ is the white-balanced image to sharpen, $G*I_w$ is the Gaussian filtered version of $I_w$ and the value of $\beta$ is 0.5.
sharpen the image which is named as Normalized Unsharp Masking Process. It is defined by the following expression

\[ I(x) = [I(x) - G(x)] + G(x) \]  

where, \( N(.) \) defines the linear normalization operator or Histogram Stretching.

This operator does not require any parameter tuning and results in effective sharpening of the image. This operator shifts and scales all the color pixel intensities of an image with a unique shifting and scaling factor defined so that the set of transformed pixel values cover the entire available dynamic range [6].

The second input mainly helps in reducing the degradation caused by scattering as shown in Fig. 4. Since the difference between white balanced image and its Gaussian filtered image is a high pass signal that approximates the opposite of Laplacian, this operation is less suitable to enlarge the high frequency noise, thereby generating undesired artifacts in the second input [6].

**D. Weights of the Fusion Process**

The weight maps are used to define the pixel values with higher weights in the final image. There are following weight maps:

1. **Laplacian Contrast Weight (W_L):** It roughly calculates the global contrast by evaluating the absolute value of a Laplacian filter applied on each input luminance channel. This weight map has a drawback while using for underwater dehazing purpose as it is not adequate to recover the contrast it famed very little between a ramp and flat regions. Therefore, an additional weight map is required to solve the above said problem.

2. **Saliency Weight (W_S):** This weight map highlights the salient objects that have lost their importance in the underwater arena. To evaluate the saliency level saliency estimator of have been employed.

There is a limitation of the saliency map that it has a tendency to highlight the regions with the high luminance value. It decreases the saturation of highlighted regions. Saturation weight map is used to overcome this limitation.

\[ W_{sat} = \sqrt{1/3 \left( R_n - L_n \right)^2 + \left( G_n - L_n \right)^2 + \left( B_n - L_n \right)^2} \]

Above equation is evaluated as the deviation for every pixel location between the \( R_n, G_n, \) and \( B_n \) color channels and the luminance \( L_n \) of the \( n\text{th} \) input.

The normalized weight map is the combination of the three weight maps defined above into a single weight map. It is evaluated for each input \( m \) as

\[ W_n = \frac{W_n + \epsilon}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} W_n + K \cdot \epsilon} \]

where \( \epsilon \) is the small regularization term that ensures that each input contributes to the output and \( k \) is the index of the inputs. Here, \( \epsilon = 0.1 \) and \( K = 2 \) for the implementation. \( W_n \) is the aggregated weight map of the \( W_L, W_S \) and \( W_{sat} \) and \( K \) is the number of aggregated maps.

The normalized weight maps of the corresponding weights for two inputs are as shown in fig.5 and fig.6 respectively.

**E. Multi-Scale Fusion Process**

The reconstructed image \( R(k) \) at every pixel location \( k \) can be obtained as

\[ R(k) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} W_n(k) I_n(k) \]

where \( I_n \) is the input image.

The disadvantage of this approach is that it creates a circle of white light or colored light around some of the pixels. This limitation can be reduced by using multi-scale linear filter or non-linear filters.

The multi-scale reduction of an image is based on Laplacian pyramid. It reduces the image into a sum of bandpass images. The input image is filtered by each level of the pyramid by using a low-pass Gaussian kernel \( G \), and filtered image is decimated by a factor of 2 in both directions. Now the up-sampled version of the low-pass image is subtracted from the input image. It approximates the Laplacian and decimated low-pass image is used as the input for the successive level of the pyramid. The \( M\text{-level} \) \( L_m \) of the pyramid can be calculated by the following equation

\[ L(x) = I(x) - G_1[I(x)] + G_2[I(x)] = L_1[I(x)] + G_1[I(x)] = L_2[I(x)] + [G_1[I(x)] - G_2[I(x)]] + G_2[I(x)] \]

\[ = L_3[I(x)] + L_2[I(x)] + G_2[I(x)] \]

\[ = L_4[I(x)] + L_3[I(x)] + G_2[I(x)] \]

\[ \vdots \]

\[ \vdots \]
The simulation result of the proposed work shows that the proposed dehazing technique is capable of enhancing the quality of the underwater image to a greater extent. The amount of exposure loss principle and optical properties of underwater imaging is dedicated to underwater image assessment. UCIQE is specifically used to evaluate the non-uniform color cast and blurring effects.

### IV. CONCLUSION

The paper presents an advanced approach to dehaze underwater images. The principle of multi-scale fusion is used to enhance the quality of underwater image. A pair of filter is used to enhance the color contrast and edge sharpening of the white-balanced image known as Gamma correction filter and sharpening filter respectively. Weights maps are explained to Quantitative Evaluation of Input Underwater Image protect the original quality of the processed image. An immense amount of experiments are performed in order to define that the proposed dehazing technique is capable of enhancing the quality of the underwater image to a greater extent.
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### TABLE I. QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF INPUT UNDERWATER IMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Image from Source [19]</th>
<th>Reconstructed output image</th>
<th>PCQI</th>
<th>UCIQE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Input Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Reconstructed Image" /></td>
<td>1.2834</td>
<td>0.8593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Input Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Reconstructed Image" /></td>
<td>1.2421</td>
<td>0.9845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCQI and UCIQE are the two matrices which are used to evaluate the quality of the recovered output images. Two different images with their associated quantitative evaluation are as shown in Table 1 below. PCQI is a general-purpose image contrast metrics while the UCIQE is dedicated to underwater image assessment. PCQI is specifically used to evaluate the non-uniform color cast and blurring effects.


