# Effect of Seismic Parameters on Analysis of Turbo-Generator Foundation

Abhijit U. Thakare M. E. Civil Structure (Student) Dr. D. Y. Patil School of Engineering and Technology Charoli (Bd.), Lohgaon, Pune, India

Abstract—The finite element modeling and dynamic analysis of massive and elevated foundation of steam turbine generator is considered in this paper. The damping ratio, seismic zones and support conditions are very important for analysis of massive machine foundation in general and the steam turbine generator foundation in particular. Inefficient modeling of the foundation may result in an unnecessary increase in the foundation size to limit the vibration amplitude within the machine manufacturer specified limits. The work in this paper investigates the effect change in Seismic Zones, and support condition for column at base on the response of foundation to dynamic machine load. Response spectrum analysis is done by using STAAD software to check effect seismic zone factors as per IS 1893-2002 (Part 1) on frequencies. Finally, the response of the machine foundation to seismic forces is evaluated. Seismic analysis is performed using two approaches: (1) by changing zone factors as per IS 1893-2002 part 1 (2) by changing support condition fixed and pinned.

A detailed model of the steam turbine generation foundation is constructed using as per clause number 9.1.1 from IS 2974 part 3. This model is used to perform response spectrum analysis.

The influence of changes is those parameters on the foundation response is determined. The results are compared for with respect to frequency, amplitude and deflection.

Analysis showed that the change in the seismic zones has almost no effect on the natural frequencies whereas deflection increases 1.2 to 1.8 times and Response Spectrum analysis showed that the change in the Support condition from fixed to pin there is Minor change in vertical frequencies whereas horizontal frequencies decreases by 50%.

#### Keywords—Turbo-Generator Foundatio; Seismic Zones; Column Support Conditions

## I. INTRODUCTION

Turbine generator machines form the heart of any power plant. Thus for any developed or developing nation, capacity of supplying unhindered energy not only ensures a steady industrial growth, but also goes into improve the quality of life in long way. The main source of this energy is obviously electricity and this is what the turbine generators machines generate. The turbine generator machine is one of the most important and complicated system in design, manufacturing and testing. The turbine generator machine has a huge weight usually ranging from 6000 kN to 17000 kN, which is imposed on a large massive concrete foundation pedestal. Thus if the foundation which supports these critical machines misbehave and the machine trips during operation, the consequences on the end user and the industry dependent on the power generated could suffer severe losses. If the shortage is severe in nature, this could even have a very adverse effect on the economic growth to a complete part of a country. Accordingly, we can say that for successful operation two Dr. S. M. Rangari HOD Civil and Structure Dr. D.Y. Patil School of Engineering and Technology Charoli((Bd.), Lohagaon. Pune, India

aspects become critical for these machines : 1) The machine itself should run smoothly (round the clock) ; 2) The foundation supporting the equipment is capable of sustaining the various loads coming from the turbine under operation (dynamic loads) as well as those that could develop due to the vagaries in nature or otherwise like earthquake, thermal, electrical faults, short circuits etc.

The structural engineer plays an important role in the analysis and design of such foundations and structures subjected to dynamic loads especially for the turbine foundation. The analysis is considered a very complex problem because of the interaction of the structure, the subsurface soil, and the vibrating machine. The analysis and design of these foundations and structures became less complex after the introduction of the finite element method and design software's. The concern of this study is the analysis turbogenerator foundation to check the effect on analysis of turbogenerator foundation by changing seismic zones as per IS 1893:2002. Such foundation type is subjected to time and frequency varying loads due to the machine oscillations. Under such types of loading a response spectrum analysis is considered and compared the variation in frequency, amplitude and deflection. The effect of foundation on these vibrations during the machine operation is severe and the application of the dynamic principles in evaluating the response of the structure is very important.

Normally the dynamic analysis using any finite element method is carried out using a deterministic approach with constant material properties, damping ratios, seismic parameters. In this paper, the effect of those governing parameters that affect the global response of the foundation is studied. In addition, study the effect of the different type of column supporting condition on turbine generator foundation as per IS 2974 part 3 clause 9.1.1.



- Fig. 1. Layout of Turbo-generator foundation
- II. OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The objective of this study is to determine the dynamic response of the turbine foundation where the effect of different parameters on the calculated response is evaluated. This includes:

a) Seismic Zone Factors as per IS 1893 part 1 Zone II, III, IV & V

b) Study the effect of Column support condition at fixed as per IS 2974 part 3 and Considering Pinned support.

The effect of these parameters change on the foundation natural frequency is calculated and the dynamic response is calculated. Moreover, Effect of seismic zone factor on frequencies is calculated. In addition, evaluation of foundation response at two different support conditions checked.

## III. METHODOLOGY

1. Collect all site data

2. Prepare TG models in staad software as per IS 2974 part 3 clause 9.1.1

3. Apply loads and load calculation

4. Compared the results for different design parameters as damping ratio, seismic zone and support condition.

#### IV. LOADS AND LOAD COMBINATIONS

Primary loads.

- 1) Dead load (DL)
- 2) Operation load (OL)
- 3) Normal machine unbalance force (NUL)
- 4) Temperature loads in foundation (TLF)
- a) Uniform temperature
- b) Temperature gradient across members.
- 5) Short circuit forces (SCF).
- 6) Loss of blade unbalance (LBL)/Bearing failure load (BFL)
- 7) Seismic loads (SL)
- 8) Wind Load (WL)

Load combination. IS 2974 gives the following load combination to be considered for design a) DL+OL+NUL+TLF b) DL+OL+NUL+TLF+SCF c) DL+OL+TLF+LBL/BFL d)DL+OL+NUL+TLF+SL

Apply the loads and seismic parameters.

Use response spectrum analysis.

Check the difference in frequencies for different cases as specified below

Inputs for the design are used from the 45MW power plant project. Analysis & Design is carried out as per Indian Standards as per IS 2974.

## V. CASE STUDY

Response Spectrum analysis is done for different parameters as Seismic Zone and different support conditions.

# INTRODUCTION

To study the effect on analysis of turbo-generator foundation considered 45 MW power plant project. A turbogenerator consists of a turbine generator and other auxiliaries like condenser, pipelines carrying superheated steam etc. Turbo-generator falls under high speed rotary type machines and its capacity varies from 2 MW to 45MW. The turbogenerator foundation consists of turbo-generator and its auxiliaries mounted on a table top foundation. The foundation can be either made of steel or RCC. The top deck, column and bottom raft together constitute the turbo-generator foundation facilities the supporting of Turbine, Gear Box & Alternator. The general arrangement is as per shown in figure 1. The structure proposed is of RCC frame structure. All structural members are modeled and analyzed in STAAD software. Analysis & Design is carried out as per Indian Standards as per IS 2974. The foundation is modeled as a threedimensional space frame in which the columns & beams are idealized as 3-D beam elements with six degrees of freedom at each node. Slabs are modeled using plate element. Also, nodes are specified to all bearing points, beam - column junctions and mid-points. Equipment loads as mentioned in vendor drawing is applied. Structure is analyzed & designed for various loads & combinations of loads as stated in further sections

## Structural description

This steam turbine generator (STG) concrete pedestal is classified as a frame foundation type The configuration of the machine itself imposes the type of the structure carrying it. In the study there are a turbo-generator consists of a turbine generator and other auxiliaries like condenser, pipelines carrying superheated steam etc, so the choice of the frame system as a structural system is to satisfy the machine configuration in order to perform its function which is generating electric power in an efficient way. In some few cases, the manufacturer designs the condenser to be placed beside the machine (turbines & generator), so in this case the structural engineer can use block type foundation rather than frame type foundation.

The layout of the operating deck and base mat is shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3



Fig. 2. Isometric View of TG foundation system

Design Data :-

| 1)           | Finished ground level                     | (FGL)       | _           | FI (       |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 0.3) m       |                                           |             | -           | ЪЦ(-       |
| 2)           | Foundation Level                          |             | =           | EL(-       |
| 2.5) m<br>3) | Top level of TG slab                      |             |             |            |
| 10 m         | -                                         |             | =           | EL +       |
| 4) M.        |                                           |             | £ \/:1      |            |
| 4) Ma<br>De  | ck                                        | mplitude o  | r vibration | on top of  |
| M            |                                           |             | =           | 30         |
| 5) Ra        | ted Speed                                 |             |             |            |
|              | -                                         | =           | 1500        | RPM        |
| 6) Gr<br>Fo  | ade of Concrete<br>or TG deck Slab        | =           | M35         |            |
| Fo           | or TG slab supporting I                   | Beams=      | M35         |            |
| FO           | r Columns                                 |             | =           | M35        |
| For          | r Base Raft / Mat                         | =           | M25         |            |
| 7)Dy         | namic Properties of Co<br>3 cl. 9.1 e&f ) | oncrete ( A | As per IS 2 | 974 Part - |
| Fo:<br>kN    | r M35 Elasticity E                        | =           | 3.80E+      | -07        |
| For          | r M25 Elasticity E                        | =           | 3.13E+07    | kN/m2      |
| Da           | mping                                     | =           | 2%          |            |



Fig. 3. Plan View of TG foundation at Tabletop Level

| 8) Clear cover to a<br>2974 Part - 3 c                                                      | reinforceme<br>l. 12.6 ) | nt      | ( A | s per IS |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----|----------|--|
| For Top Deck                                                                                | C                        | =       | 50  | mm       |  |
| For Beams &                                                                                 | Columns                  | =       | 50  | mm       |  |
| For Base Mat                                                                                | / Raft                   | =       | 100 | mm.      |  |
| 9) SBC For Soil                                                                             |                          | =       | 400 | kN/m2    |  |
| 10) Modulus of Subgrade Reaction .(As per Foundation Analysis & Design by Joseph E. Bowles) |                          |         |     |          |  |
| Ks                                                                                          | =                        | 120 x S | BC  |          |  |
| kN/m2/m                                                                                     |                          |         | =   | 48000    |  |

# Problem Description:

Response spectrum analysis is done by using STAAD software to check Damping effect. This is followed by changing seismic zone factors as per IS 1893-2002 (Part 1) to check the effect on frequencies. Finally, the response of the machine foundation to seismic forces is evaluated. Seismic analysis is performed using two approaches: (1) by changing zone factors as per IS 1893-2002 part 1 (2) by changing support condition fixed and pinned.

Following are the cases studied by changing seismic parameters, Support conditions.

Case I: Compare the effects on analysis of TG foundation for different seismic zones as per IS 1893 Part 1

Case II: Compare the effect on analysis of TG foundation if column support condition changed from Fixed to Pinned

# Types of mode shapes



Fig. 4. Response Spectra-Mode Shape 1-Translational Vibration Along Z-Direction



Fig. 5. Response Sectra-Mode Shape 2-Translation Vibration Along X-Direction



Fig 6 Response Spectra-Mode Shape 3-Torsional Vibration Around Y-Direction



Fig 7 Forth Mode Shape for Seismic Zone II, Fixed Column Support

# VI. RESULTS

Results for case I for different seismic zone II, III, IV, V for IS 1893 2002 as shown in Table I

 TABLE I.
 : Results for Different Seismic Zones

| Case I                    |         |                    |         |         |
|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|
|                           |         |                    |         |         |
| Parameters for            | Seismic | Seismic            | Seismic | Seismic |
| Check                     | Zone II | Zone III           | Zone IV | Zone V  |
| Max Vertical              |         |                    |         |         |
| Frequency Hz              | 30.875  | 30.875             | 30.875  | 30.875  |
| Max Horizontal            |         |                    |         |         |
| Frequency Hz              | 6.972   | 6.972              | 6.972   | 6.972   |
| Vertical                  |         |                    |         |         |
| Amplitude of              |         |                    |         |         |
| vibration in deck         |         |                    |         |         |
| mm                        | 0.0084  | 0.0084             | 0.0084  | 0.0084  |
| Horizontal                |         |                    |         |         |
| Amplitude of              |         |                    |         |         |
| vibration in deck,        |         |                    |         |         |
| mm                        | 0.024   | 0.024              | 0.024   | 0.024   |
|                           |         |                    |         |         |
| <b>Overall Horizontal</b> |         |                    |         |         |
| Deflection mm             | 0.562   | <mark>0.770</mark> | 1.061   | 1.512   |
|                           |         |                    |         |         |
| Overall Vertical          |         |                    |         |         |
| Deflection mm             | 2.907   | 2.907              | 2,907   | 2.907   |

As observed from response spectrum analysis showed that the change in seismic zone form Zone II to Zone V has almost no effect on the natural frequencies, amplitudes but overall horizontal deflection increases.

Result for case II for different support condition as fixed and pinned as shown in Table II

| TABLE II. | : RESULTS FOR | DIFFERENT SUPPORT | CONDITIONS |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|------------|
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|------------|

| Case II                                       |                  |                   |  |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Parameters for Check                          | Support<br>Fixed | Support<br>Pinned |  |
| Max Vertical Frequency Hz                     | 30.875           | 30.664            |  |
| Max Horizontal Frequency<br>Hz                | 6.972            | 3.616             |  |
| Vertical Amplitude of vibration in deck mm    | 0.0084           | 0.0085            |  |
| Horizontal Amplitude of vibration in deck, mm | 0.024            | 0.055             |  |
| Overall Horizontal Deflection<br>mm           | 0.562            | 0.463             |  |
| Overall Vertical Deflection<br>mm             | 2.907            | 2.907             |  |



Fig. 6. Response Spectra-Mode Shape 5-Torsional Vibration Around X, Y & Z-Direction



Fig. 7. Response Spectra-Mode Shape 6-Torsional Vibration Around X & Y-Direction

Vol. 4 Issue 05, May-2015

As observed from response spectrum analysis showed that the change in the column support conditions from fixed to pinned has almost minor effect on vertical frequency where as horizontal frequency decreased by almost 50% and horizontal amplitude increases approx 2 times than fixed support amplitude also for overall horizontal deflection decreases.

# VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

#### Summary

The purpose of this paper is to highlight on the machine foundations types, applied loads and behavior under dynamic loading in general and to study the response of large framed foundation in particular. The famous example of the large framed machine foundation is the steam turbine generator foundation. The case study introduced in this paper is a 45 MW generator Captive Power Plant. The main analyses performed are Response Spectrum Analysis.

The analysis is performed to determine the natural frequency of the foundation and the percentage of masses captured by the modes of vibration and the frequencies corresponding to the effect of seismic zone factors and column supporting conditions.

Response spectrum analysis is performed to determine the response of the foundation to the dynamic unbalanced loads that applied from the machine to the foundation during the machine operation. The main aim of this paper is to study the effect of changing different seismic zone parameters as per IS 1893 part 1, and Column Supporting condition Fixed and Pinned on the response of foundation after performing the frequency and harmonic analysis

#### CONCLUSION

Analysis showed that the change in the Seismic Zone no significant change in natural frequencies whereas horizontal deflection increases 1.2 to 1.8 times and Response Spectrum analysis showed that the change in the Support condition from fixed to pin there is Minor change in vertical frequencies whereas horizontal frequencies decreases by 50%.

#### VIII. REFERENCES

- The 12th International Conference of International Association for Computer Methods and Advances in Geomechanics (IACMAG) 1-6 October, 2008Goa, India
- [2] Dynamic Analysis And Structural Design Offurbine Generator Foundations Dr. Arkady Livshits IEC - Israel Electric Corporation Ltd, Israe Nativ Ha-Or St, 1, POB 10, Haifa 31000, Israel utz0d@iec.co.il
- [3] IS 456-2000, Indian Standard: Plain and reinforced concrete-code of practice (Fourth revision)
- [4] IS 800-1984, Indian Standard: Code of practice for general construction in steel (First revision)
- [5] IS 2974(Part III)-1992, Indian Standard: Design and construction of machine foundations - code of practice (Second revision), Part 3 Foundations for rotary type machines (medium and high frequency)
- [6] NISA Users Manuals, 2007, Published by Cranes Software International Limited
- [7] Barkan, D.D. (1962). "Dynamics of Bases and Foundations", McGraw-Hill Book
- [8] Turbo Generator Foundation Machine Foundation subjected to earthquake-P.St. Fleischer1 and P.G. Trombik2
- [9] Static and Dyanamic analysis of Concrete Turbine Foundation-Peter Nawrotzki, Dr, Managing Director, GERB Engineering GmbH, Essen, Germany.
- [10] Dynamics of Structures-Theory and Application to Earthquake Engineering-Anil K. Chopra
- [11] Foundation for Vibrating machines-Shansher Prakash professor Emeritus Civil Architecture and Environmental Engineering, University of Missouri Rolla, MO, USA
- [12] Design of Turbo-Generator Foundation By-C. Ravishankar the 12th International Conference of International Association for Computer methods and Advances in geomechanics (IACMAG) 1-6 October, 2008 Goa, India
- [13] Turbo-generator Foundation By-Sukanta Adhikari
- [14] Structural Optimization with Frequency Constraint of the reinforced concrete of the Reinforced Concrete column of the spring mounted Turbine Generator Foundation By-S Braut, faculty of Engineering, University of Rijeka Croatia, Mexico, 19-25 June, 2011