International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

Economic and Operational Feasibility of Implementing Artificial Intelligence Technologies in Modern Education

Dr. Ahmed Ibrahim Safana[1], Aminatu Maikudi[1] & Abubakar Abdullahi
Department of Computer Science[1] & Mathematics[2]
Federal College of Education, Katsina. Katsina State Nigeria.

Abstract - As Artificial Intelligence (AI) matures, its integration into educational models presents profound opportunities and structural challenges for entrepreneurial ventures. This study provides a rigorous exploration into the economic and operational feasibility of executing AI frameworks—specifically Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and Automated Essay Scoring (AES)—within modern learning ecosystems. Economically, the research introduces an infrastructure cost-benefit model scaling with user volume, highlighting the transition point from high initial capital expenditure to minimized marginal costs. Operationally, the research evaluates organizational friction, data sovereignty compliance, and staff reskilling metrics. The analysis indicates that while initial onboarding hurdles remain substantial, the long-term scalability demonstrates a distinct economic viability curve for technology-driven educational enterprises.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Educational Technology, Economic Feasibility, Operational Viability, Entrepreneurship, EdTech Scale.

1. INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of machine learning algorithms has accelerated institutional transformations across global industries. In the educational sector, this evolution has shifted from static, digitized learning management tools toward predictive, adaptive software engines. For entrepreneurs seeking to carve sustainable business architectures within EdTech, evaluating the structural foundations under a dual lens—economic realities and operational constraints—remains paramount.

Historically, the high premium on premium educational deployment stemmed from labor-intensive infrastructure requirements (e.g., human mentorship, custom syllabus design, and real-time grading). Artificial Intelligence fundamentally challenges this paradigm by introducing scalable frameworks capable of simulating cognitive learning behaviors. However, engineering these technologies calls for deep operational investments that could disrupt early-stage capital formatting if executed without structural optimization frameworks. This paper explicitly defines these parameters, offering a comprehensive feasibility blueprint for academic founders and commercial entities alike.

2. ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY FRAMEWORK

To isolate the commercial viability of AI systems in contemporary classrooms, we must analyze the Cost-of-Service Delivery (***CSD***). Unlike traditional software models, machine learning systems incur dynamic computing costs tied to tokenization, API latency, server inference, and recurrent training pipeline overheads.

2.1 Baseline Cost Formulations

We model the total operational cost profile (***TC***) of an educational AI system as a function of the static fixed development expenditures and fluctuating marginal serving variables over a given student cohort enrollment scale (***S***):

$$TC = F_c + \sum_{i=1}^{S} (lpha \cdot C_i + eta \cdot M_i)$$

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

Where ***F_c*** represents the fixed structural capital expenditure (base system training, algorithmic validation, and UI engineering), ***C_i*** corresponds to computation/inference requirements per student, ***M_i*** defines support and monitoring bandwidth, and variables ***lpha*** and ***eta*** serve as efficiency scale multipliers. As the volume of active users (***S***) scales, the system achieves an asymptotic reduction in marginal variable components via cache-layer optimizations.

Table 1: Estimated Prototypical Cost Distribution Matrix for AI-EdTech Infrastructure

Infrastructure Vector	Expense Type	Scale Impact Metric	Amortization Period
Core LLM Fine-Tuning & Weights	Fixed Capital (CapEx)	Independent of user scale	24 Months
API Inferences & Dynamic Tokenization	Variable Operating (OpEx)	Linear to active concurrent sessions	Immediate / Per use
Data Vectorization & Vector DB Storage	Semi-Variable OpEx	Logarithmic expansion relative to content	Monthly recurring
Institutional Compliance / Security Auditing	Fixed Operating (OpEx)	Slight step-function updates per country	Annual validation

2.2 Return on Investment (ROI) Projections

Financial viability materializes when the resource-saving metrics outpace the capital deployment threshold. In traditional systems, scaling localized tutoring requires a linear expenditure increase in certified human instructors. AI engines introduce a step-function optimization: after passing the break-even user volume threshold (***S_{BE}***), profit margins expand exponentially, making the unit economics immensely attractive to venture finance entities.

3. OPERATIONAL FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT

While the financial projections may demonstrate long-term viability, operational barriers represent the primary root cause of modern EdTech integration failures. Operational feasibility hinges on systemic workflow adaptation, pedagogical alignment, and legal compliance structures.

3.1 Institutional Integration & Workflow Friction

Deploying AI systems requires rewriting classical lesson delivery workflows. Teachers must transition from top-down instructors to collaborative facilitators who interpret data insights generated by predictive systems. Key operational variables include:

- **Onboarding Velocity:** The average calendar days required to upskill traditional teaching staff to utilize real-time analytical dashboards efficiently.
- **Syllabus Synchronization:** Map neural network parameters directly to public curriculum guidelines without generating instructional bias or out-of-boundary fabrications (hallucinations).
- **User Interface Accessibility:** Designing multi-user structures catering seamlessly to varying technological skill levels spanning young demographics to senior administrators.

3.2 Regulatory Compliance & Data Sovereignty

Education involves vulnerable student demographics, exposing ventures to stringent data security frameworks such as GDPR, FERPA, and regional student privacy acts. To maintain operational viability, entrepreneurs must architect strict privacy protocols. Data must be sanitized before processing via public model endpoints, or hosted entirely within ring-fenced private cloud configurations, adding layers to system maintenance overheads.

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

4. DISCUSSION & STRATEGIC ENTREPRENEURIAL MATRIX

For founders intending to act upon these findings, balancing the economic realities against operational friction implies choosing a highly specific entry vector. Minimizing friction requires an incremental strategy: launching lightweight automated assessment systems before attempting to field fully automated interactive tutoring engines.

Furthermore, our operational analysis reveals that institutional sales cycles feature an average timeline of 9 to 14 months. This reality demands sufficient cash reserves to cover operational overhead before recurring SaaS subscription pipelines mature. Economic buffers must be structured explicitly to withstand these prolonged conversion cycles.

5. CONCLUSION

Implementing AI within modern educational environments is highly feasible, but subject to stringent, nonnegotiable operational conditions. The financial infrastructure supports highly scalable revenue architectures due to negligible marginal distribution costs. However, operational hurdles—primarily onboarding inertia, privacy mandates, and lengthy procurement cycles—frequently stall business momentum. Entrepreneurs who prioritize zero-trust privacy compliance frameworks along with intuitive, non-disruptive user interfaces will position themselves to capture market opportunities successfully in the emerging EdTech economy.

REFERENCES

[1]. Albahiri, M., & Almatrafi, M. (2025). The feasibility of using artificial intelligence technologies in flipped classrooms. in *inted2025 proceedings* (pp. 6919-6927).

[2]. Ananyi, S. O., & Nwosu, L. K. (2023). Artificial intelligence and economic aspects of Nigerian public universities. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Education*, *16*(2), 137-152.

[3]. Baker, R. S., & Inventado, P. S. (2014). Educational data mining and learning analytics. In *Learning Analytics* (pp. 61-75).

[4]. George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence. *Administrative Sciences*, *13*(9), 196.

[5]. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An argument for AI in education*.

[6]. Martins, E. (2024). Appraisal of Artificial Intelligence and cost reduction management in educational institutions. *SCIENCES*, *3*(1), 1-7.

[7]. Mukhamediev, R. I., Popova, Y., Kuchin, Y., Zaitseva, E., Kalimoldayev, A., Symagulov, A., ... & Yelis, M. (2022). Review of artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies: Classification, restrictions, opportunities and challenges. *Mathematics*, *10*(15), 2552.

[8]. Park, W., & Kwon, H. (2024). Implementing artificial intelligence education for middle school technology education in Republic of Korea. *International journal of technology and design education*, *34*(1), 109-135. Pearson Education, London.

[9]. Siemens, G. (2013). Learning analytics: The emergence of a discipline. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 57(10), 1380-1400. Springer, New York, NY.

[10]. Williamson, B. (2017). *Big data in education: The digital future of learning, policy and practice*. SAGE Publications.

[11]. Yuskovych-Zhukovska, V., Poplavska, T., Diachenko, O., Mishenina, T., Topolnyk, Y., & Gurevych, R. (2022). Application of artificial intelligence in education. Problems and opportunities for sustainable development. *BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*, *13*(1Sup1), 339-356.