Abstract—The valorization of activated carbon from stalks of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. L. obtained by chemical activation using [H₃PO₄ (PAAC) and KOH (PHAC)] followed by pyrolysis in the removal of copper from aqueous solution is reported. Samples were characterized by FTIR, XRD, SEM, Boehm method and pHZPC, macronutrient content and the iodine number determination. Batch adsorption experiments were performed to study the effects of various parameters such as solution pH, contact time, carbonization temperature, initial adsorbate concentration and adsorbent mass at 27 °C. Analysis of macronutrient content of the *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. L. stalk yielded percentages of fixed carbon, moisture content, ash content and volatile matter equal to 70.36%, 3.90%, 6.28%, 19.46% respectively. Optimal conditions from equilibrium studies for the adsorption of copper(II) ions were as follows: contact times of 30 and 40 minutes for PAAC and PHAC respectively, pH=4.5 for both samples, adsorption capacities of 81.5mg/g for PAAC and 90.5 mg/g for PHAC. Adsorption isotherms were described by Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin isotherm models. Equilibrium data were best represented by Langmuir isotherm model with monolayer adsorption capacities of 100.0 mg/g and 76.92 mg/g for PAAC and PHAC respectively. Kinetic studies revealed that adsorption rates followed pseudo-second order model. *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. L. stalks based activated carbons (HSSBAC) is shown to be a promising material for the adsorption of copper(II) ions from aqueous solutions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Today water pollution constitutes a major environmental challenge (quality of life) due to the release of toxic heavy metals, hydrocarbons, dyes, etc. from various industries. Solutions to this general problem encompass the notion of time and type of pollutants discharged. These heavy metals are not biodegradable and their presence in streams and lakes leads to bioaccumulation in living organisms causing health problems in animals, plants, and human beings [1]. Copper is essential to life and health but, like all heavy metals, it is potentially toxic as well. Its accumulation have been reported in the liver, brain, pancreas and myocardium of humans that often lead to Wilson Disease [2]. Continued inhalation of copper-containing spray is linked with an increase in lung cancer among exposed workers.

As a result of these, environmental regulatory boards often spell out limitations as to the maximum concentration of copper in natural water bodies and for wastewater discharge. Copper is used in cloth making, marine painting, electrical equipments, boilers, pipes, etc. International norms according to WHO prescribe limiting concentrations of Copper in drinking water to 1 mg/L of copper [3].

The development of several techniques such as chemical precipitation, adsorption on mineral or organic materials, emulsified liquid membranes and membrane processes (microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, reverse osmosis, ion-exchange resins), complexation, adsorption, solvent extraction, distillation [4], are geared towards greater amelioration of the quality of the environment/water quality. Amongst these methods, adsorption which is reported in this work has shown high potentials and simplicity in management in the depollution of industrial waste water, especially in the elimination of some heavy metals [5]. Nevertheless, its efficacy depends largely on the adsorbent (cost, availability, and its regeneration) put in place. The cost of preparing activated carbon from agricultural wastes is negligible when compared to the cost of commercial activated carbon [6]. Several researchers have envisaged the use of alternative materials, which, although at time less efficient, involve lower costs (see Table I): [7] prepared hazelnut shell-based activated carbon for the sorption of Cu(II) ions in aqueous solution with a high specific surface area and a maximum adsorption capacity of about 3.07mg/g of Cu(II) from a model based on the Langmuir adsorption isotherm. According to [8], maximum adsorption of Cu(II) ions by ZnCl₂ activated carbon prepared from rice husk was of the order of 112.43 mg/g of adsorbent. On their own part, [9] investigated on the adsorption performance of *Cynodon Dactylon*-based...
activated carbon for the uptake of Cu(II) and reported a monolayer adsorption capacity of 117.51 mg/g via the same model. In the same vein, this study has been to evaluate the ability of chemically activated carbon prepared from low-cost Hibiscus sabdariffa L. stalks by phosphoric acid and potassium hydroxide for the adsorption of Cu(II) from aqueous solutions.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Sources and preparation of adsorbents

All the reagents and chemicals used were of A.R grade (Merek, Labtech). The working solutions were prepared in distilled water. Stems of roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) from the sabdariffa variety were collected from a farm land at the locality of the City of Sancho in the Western Region of Cameroon and were abundantly washed with distilled water to eliminate the impurities, and then dried under open air for 7 days. The washed and dried raw material underwent coarse crushing in order to obtain homogenous materials. After sieving through standard steel sieves, only fractions with diameters ranging between 0.5 and 1.25 mm were retained.

B. Chemical Activation and Pyrolysis

In this study, chemical activation was achieved by using phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) and potassium hydroxide (KOH) as activation agents. The precursor material obtained was of diameters ranging between (0.50-1.25 mm) as indicated above. The method involved mixing the chosen precursor fraction of Hibiscus sabdariffa L. stalks with either 10% wt of H₃PO₄ (for PAAC samples) or 50% wt of KOH (for PHAC samples) before carbonization. 30.0 g of dried Hibiscus sabdariffa L. stalks were impregnated with solutions of activating agent (KOH or H₃PO₄) in a desired weight ratio of Hibiscus sabdariffa L. stalks to the activating agent of 1:1. Each mixture was left for 30 min at room temperature. The impregnated samples were placed in an oven at 110°C for 24 hours. Pyrolysis of the impregnated samples was carried out for one hour duration in a carbolite furnace in the absence of air (oxygen) which was preheated to an initial room temperature (25-27°C) and then to a final temperature of 400, 500, and 600°C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ for 1 hour duration (activation time ), which was then allowed to cool down to ambient temperature, after which a dry residue was obtained. Total elimination of phosphate ions from the PAAC samples were achieved by abundant washing with distilled water until a neutral pH was obtained. On their part, PHAC samples were initially washed abundantly with a 10% solution of hydrochloric acid followed by abundant washing with distilled water until the pH of the resulting samples ranged from 6-7 in order to eliminate all chloride ions [10]. The resultant activated carbon samples (PAAC and PHAC) were then oven-dried at 110°C for a period of 24 hours. The final material samples were ground and then sieved to obtain particles with diameters less than 71 microns.

III. CHARACTERIZATION OF ADSORBENT

A. Proximate analysis

Proximate analysis determined by the standard test method ASTM D2866-94 [11], was used to determine the macronutrient in the Hibiscus sabdariffa L. stalk waste when the sample was heated and treated under specific conditions.

B. Moisture content

Thermal drying method was used in the determination of moisture content of the samples. For this test, 5.0 g of the precursor were weighed in triplicates and placed in washed, dried and weighed crucibles. The crucibles were heated at 110 °C to constant weight for 24 hours in an oven. The weight of the samples before and after heating was determined in order to calculate the amount of moisture in the sample. The percentage moisture content was computed as follows:

\[
\text{Moisture content (\%)} = \frac{\text{Loss in weight on drying (g)}}{\text{Initial sample weight (g)}} \times 100
\]

C. Ash content

The standard test method for ash content-ASTM D2866-94 was used. To determine the ash content, dried sample of activated carbon weighed to the nearest 1.0 g was taken into the crucible (of known weight). The crucible was placed in the carbolite furnace and heated to 600 °C and ashing was considered to be completed when constant weight is achieved. The crucible was cooled to room temperature in a desiccator and the percentage weight of the remaining sample was considered as ash content. The ash content was calculated using (2):

\[
\text{Ash content (\%)} = \frac{\text{Ash weight (g)}}{\text{Oven dry weight (g)}} \times 100
\]

D. Volatile matter and fixed carbon content of samples

Approximately 1.0 g of sample was put into a crucible with lid and of known weight. The crucible with content was then placed inside a carbolite furnace regulated at 600 °C for 1 hour. After cooling the crucible to room temperature in a desiccator, its weight was recorded. The percentage weight loss was regarded as the percentage of volatile matter and calculated according to (3):

\[
\text{Volatile matter (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of volatile component (g)}}{\text{Oven dry weight (g)}} \times 100
\]

Fixed carbon content of the samples was obtained from the moisture, ash and volatile matter contents as follows:

\[
\text{Fixed carbon (\%)} = 100\% \times \text{moisture (\%)} + \text{volatile matter (\%)}
\]

E. Iodine number (Iₙ)

The iodine number was measured according to the procedure established by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM D2866-94) [11]. It is the number of milligrams of iodine adsorbed by 1.0 g of carbon when the iodine concentration of the filtrate is 0.02 N. The iodine number is accepted as the most fundamental parameter used to characterize activated carbon performance. Iodine number was employed in this study as a test for microporosity

---

**TABLE I. COMPARISON OF ADSORPTION CAPACITY OF COPPER (II) WITH OTHER ADSORBENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbents</th>
<th>Qm (mg/g)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hazelnut shells</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Husk</td>
<td>112.43</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynodon Dactylon</td>
<td>117.51</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAAC</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Present Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHAC</td>
<td>76.92</td>
<td>Present Study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)
via volumetric analysis. This fundamental test for the potentials of the prepared activated carbon determines its microporosity up to values as small as 2 nm. The iodine number is obtained from the following expression:

\[
\text{Iodine number} = \frac{(25.4 \times (30 - V_n))}{m_{AC}}
\]  

(5)

Where, \(m_{AC}\) (g) is the mass of the activated carbon and \(V_n\) (mL), the volume of the sodium thiosulphate solution at the equivalence point.

**F. Standardization of iodine solution**

10 mL of 0.02 N iodine solutions were measured into a conical flask and 2-3 drops of starch solution added to it. The pale yellow color of the iodine solution turned blue and was titrated with a 0.005 N sodium thiosulphate until it became colorless.

**G. Surface function determination by the Boehm method**

Titration of the total surface acidity and basicity of the activated carbons was carried out via the Boehm method [12]. In the determination of acidic surface functions (carboxylic, lactonic, phenolic), 40 mL each of decimolar solutions of NaHCO₃, Na₂CO₃, NaOH and HCl, were introduced into different reactors and each put in contact with 0.1 g of activated carbon sample. Titration of excess base was done by HCl solution. In the determination of the basic functions, 0.1 g of activated carbon was put in contact with 40 mL of a decimolar solution of HCl. After stirring for 48 hours, the excess acid was titrated with NaOH.

**H. Determination of pH at zero-point charge (pHzpc)**

pH of zero charge, pHzpc, corresponds to a pH at which the surface charge of carbon is null. pHzpc of the activated carbon samples were estimated according to standard procedure [13]. 50.0 mL of a decimolar solution of NaCl was introduced into reactors each containing 0.1 g of activated carbon to be analyzed. The pH of each solution was adjusted by addition of decimolar solutions of NaOH or HCl (by varying values of pH between 3 and 11). pHzpc was determined by the intersection of the representative curves pH (final) = f[pH (initial)] and the first bisector curve. Stirring was maintained at 27 °C for 48 hours with the aid of a multi-agitator system (Edmund Bühler GmbH) 150 rpm. The contents of the reactors were then filtered with Whatman N°4 filter paper after which the pH of the final solutions was measured.

**I. Analysis by Fourier-transform-IR spectrophotometer (FTIR)**

In order to determine the surface functional groups existing on the carbon samples, FTIR were carried out on samples using infrared spectrophotometer (Bruker alpha-™ spectrometer) with ethanol as solvent with a resolution of 4cm⁻¹ within the interval 400-4000 cm⁻¹.

**J. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analyses**

In a bid to study the surface morphology and to verify the porosity of the adsorbents, the prepared activated carbon samples were examined by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM™ PHILIPS XL30 S-FEG) equipped with a spectrometer having a cathode luminescence detector. These were obtained by the juxtaposition of SEM images of our precursor samples with those of the carbonized PAAC and PHAC. The precursor and the activated carbon samples from each preparation method that had high iodine number were chosen for SEM analysis.

**K. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) study**

XRD patterns of Hibiscus sabdariffa L. samples were recorded on a Philips PW1450/70 diffractometer equipped with a PW1390 channel control goniometer supply, argon filled proportional counter used as a detector was linked to a PW1373 rate meter and channel analyzer. CuKα = 0.15418 nm radiation was generated using a Phillips PW1730 X-ray generator operated at 40 kV and 30 mA. Powdered samples were pressed into pellets on a hydraulic press (25 kN) before XRD measurements.

**IV. BATCH ADSORPTION EXPERIMENTS**

**A. Preparation and determination of Cu(II) Ion solution**

The mother solution was prepared by dissolving 8.04834 g of CuCl₂.2H₂O (M=170.48 g/mol at 99% purity by mass, Merck, Germany) inside a 1-Liter volumetric flask with freshly prepared distilled water and completing to the mark after agitation for 1 hour. Successive dilution of mother solution gave rise to the working solutions.

**B. Equilibrium Studies Using Batch Method**

Kinetic and equilibrium studies of Cu(II) ion adsorption were carried out in a thermostatic batch reactor maintained at 27 °C. In each triplicate trial, 0.1 g of carbon sample preserved as described above was weighed and introduced into 20.0 mL of a Cu(II) ion solution contained in a beaker. pH of the resultant solutions was adjusted by addition of 0.1 N solution of HCl or NaOH to a pre-determined value. This procedure didn't concern those involving the influence of pH. The effect of adsorbent dose was determined at an initial metal ion concentration of 2400 ppm and at pH 4.5 and varying adsorbent dosage from 0.1 g to 0.5 g. The effect of pH on the adsorption of Cu(II) ions was investigated while varying the pH as described above between 2 and 4.5. In kinetic studies, the different mixtures obtained were stirred within a time interval of 5-60 minutes for equilibrium time determination. The residual Cu(II) ion concentration in each sample, after filtration of the residual solutions with Whatman filter paper N°4 was determined by UV-visible spectrophotometer (CORNING 259) at 830 nm wavelength. The sorption capacity of samples at equilibrium (Qₑ) and the percentage removal (% R) are given by (6) and (7) below:

\[
Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m}
\]

(6)

\[
\%R = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100
\]

(7)

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)
V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Characterization of Adsorbents

The knowledge of the characteristics of the activated carbon is necessary to contribute to the comprehension of many phenomena like adsorption, desorption, or others.

B. Proximate analysis

Fig. 1 (results of approximate chemical analysis) indicates that this material is characterized by an ash content of 6.28% which is within the norms (<10%): the lower the ash content, the better the activated carbon samples will be; a moisture content of 3.90% which is also within the norms (<5%) since the traditional value of the water content varies between 1 to 5% by mass [14]. This figure also shows a low volatile matter of 19.46% and high fixed carbon content of 70.36%. This justifies our choice of Hibiscus sabdariffa L. as an excellent low-cost precursor in the production of activated carbon.

Fig. 1. The proximate analysis giving composition of Hibiscus sabdariffa L.

C. Effect of temperature on the iodine number

Carbonization temperature is one of the most influential of the factors that govern porosity development during the activation process [15]. When phosphoric acid activated roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) is subjected to high temperature, phosphorus compounds are liberated at its surface because of its lignocellulosic nature [16]. Fig. 2 shows a reversed effect of the carbonization temperature on the iodine index of the samples between 400 °C and 600 °C. Any temperature increase results in a decrease in the microporosity of the activated carbon samples and consequently a sharp decrease in their adsorption capacity. Iodine index reached maximum values for these studies at 400 °C both for PAAC and PHAC. Similar results and conclusions were arrived at by [17].

D. Powdered X-Ray Diffraction Spectroscopy (PXRD)

Fig. 3 below shows the profile of the X-ray diffraction of the stems of the inactivated Hibiscus sabdariffa L. prepared under optimal conditions. Analyses were carried out at angles ranging between 10° and 70°. We notice on the profile that the raw material presents a peak at approximately 24°, which could be due to the presence of certain chemical substances assimilated by the Hibiscus sabdariffa L. plant from the soil during its growth. After carbonization this peak disappears and the two activated carbon samples present broader peaks with the absence of pointed peak that essentially reveals the primarily amorphous structure, which is an advantageous property indicative of the porous structure of the carbon samples, PAAC and PHAC [18]. We also notice that the lines of diffraction are badly formed or very broad, which is indicative of low crystallinity or even of an amorphous structure [19]. The broad peak found at approximately 24° for the two carbons confirm that the samples are non-graphited, and can have a high microporous structure [20].

Fig. 2. Effect of carbonization temperature on the iodine number.

Fig. 3. X-ray diffraction of the Hibiscus sabdariffa L.
E. Determination of Oxygen Containing Functional Groups

On Table 2 is presented the results of the quantification of the surface acidic and basic functions of the activated carbon samples (PAAC and PHAC) obtained via the Boehm method. Chemical analysis of the surface revealed that both samples contain more acidic (carboxylic and phenolic groups) than basic functions with a diminishing lactonic group. This observation is in conformity with the pH\textsubscript{ZPC} values which are in proportions with the acidic and basic functions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activated carbon</th>
<th>Carboxylic (meq g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Lactonic (meq g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Phenolic (meq g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Total acid (meq g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Total basic (meq g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Total (meq.g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAAC</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHAC</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F. Determination of pH at zero-point charge (pHzpc)

The pH\textsubscript{ZPC} obtained for each activated carbon (Fig. 4) is coherent with the quantification of the surface functional groups obtained via the Boehm method. These pH\textsubscript{ZPC} values permit the determination of the acidic or basic character of the activated carbon and to know, according to the pH of the solution, the net surface charge. According to Fig. 4, it can be noticed that pH\textsubscript{ZPC}=6.0 for PAAC and that pH\textsubscript{ZPC}=5.2 for PHAC. Hence, in experiments wherein pH\textsubscript{ZPC}>pH samples will have their surfaces positively charged while for those in which pH\textsubscript{ZPC}<pH will have their surfaces negatively charged. This result is of great importance on the interactions between the molecules and the adsorbent in the liquid phase.

G. Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

The analysis of the Fourier-Transform Infra-Red spectrum of the activated carbon (PAAC and PHAC) enabled us to identify the major chromophores responsible for the various signals respectively (Fig. 5 and 6; tables 3 and 4).

Fig. 4. Graph for the determination of pH\textsubscript{ZPC} for PAAC and PHAC

Fig. 5. FTIR of Hibiscus sabdariffa. L. stalks based for PAAC
**H. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)**

SEM micrographs of the precursor and the chemically activated carbons by H$_3$PO$_4$ and KOH respectively (PAAC and PHAC) are presented on Fig 7. We notice here that the precursor has a surface with no visible pores. The effect of chemical activation is observable on the micrography of PAAC and PHAC as they show well developed porosities (regarded as channels with microporous network). These adsorbents (PAAC and PHAC) have rough textures, heterogeneous surfaces and random pore size distribution. The existence of macro fractures and the presence of a multitude of fine particles attached to the activated carbon [23] is noticeable. These particles are visible on the micrographs and attributed to impurities acquired during the preparation but also a reminiscence of the vegetable origin of carbon.

**I. Effect of contact time**

Contact time is inevitably a fundamental parameter in all transfer phenomena including adsorption. The effect of contact time on Cu(II) adsorption capacity is illustrated in Fig. 8. In this experiment carried out at pH =4.5, adsorption rate initially increased rapidly and attained maximum for both samples (81.5mg/g for PAAC and 90.5mg/g for PHAC) after equilibrium times of 40 and 30 minutes for PAAC and PHAC respectively. There was no significant change in equilibrium concentration after these periods. It is evident from this study that, Cu (II) uptake is rapid at the initial stage of contact time and slowly increases at higher contact time until saturation. This is explained by the fact that initially there is available free space for adsorption and after some time, the remaining vacant surface sites become difficult to be occupied due to repulsive

**TABLE III.** Interpretation of the IR spectrum of PAAC (Fig. 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absorption bands (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Chromophores and functional groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3600-3000</td>
<td>OH of carboxylic, phenols, alcohols, water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2928</td>
<td>CH of aromatic methoxyl groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1698</td>
<td>C=O of ketones, aldehydes, lactones or of the carboxylic groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1597</td>
<td>C=C bonds in the aromatic cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1456-1420</td>
<td>CH2 and/or O-H of carboxylic and phenol groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1206 and 1032</td>
<td>C-O is characteristic of phosphorus and phosphorus-carbonated compounds of the phosphoric acid activated carbon [21].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526- 403</td>
<td>Para distribution of the aromatic cycles [22].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV.** Interpretation of the IR spectrum of PHAC (Fig. 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absorption bands (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Chromophores and functional groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3339</td>
<td>OH of carboxylic, phenols, alcohols, water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1589</td>
<td>C=C stretching variation in aromatic boxing rings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1425-1390</td>
<td>C-OH stretching vibration in carboxylic group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1314 – 1235</td>
<td>C-O stretching vibration in phenol and alcohol groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1094-1023</td>
<td>C-O-C asymmetrical stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501-402</td>
<td>Para distribution of the aromatic cycles [22].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
forces between the adsorbate molecules on the solid surface and in the bulk phase. Thus, the driving force for the mass transfer between the bulk liquid phase and the solid phase decreases with time. Furthermore, the metal ions have to traverse farther distance and move deeper into the pores encountering much larger resistance. This results in the slowing down of the adsorption during the later phase [24].

Fig. 8. Effect of contact time on copper (II) adsorption for PAAC and PHAC (adsorbent dose=0.1 g, pH=4.5, T=27 °C).

J. Effect of initial pH

pH is an important controlling parameter in aqueous adsorption process as it influences the surface charge of adsorbents and the nature of the ionic species of the adsorbates. The experiments were done under different pH values (between 2.0 and 4.5) keeping other parameters constant. The experimental results are presented in Fig. 9. By increasing pH, increase in adsorption capacity was observed and the maximum adsorption capacity of copper was obtained at pH 4.5 corresponding to 81.5 mg/g and 90.5 mg/g (PAAC et PHAC respectively). Continual increase in pH lead to a decrease in adsorption percentage attributed to the precipitation of Cu(OH)₂. This might also be due to the weakening of electrostatic force of attraction between the oppositely charged adsorbate and adsorbent that ultimately lead to the reduction in sorption capacity.

Fig. 9. Effect of initial pH on copper (II) adsorption onto PAAC and PHAC (C=2400 ppm, adsorbent dose=0.1 g, t=40 min for PAAC and t=30 min for PHAC, T=27 °C).

K. Effect of adsorbent dose

The effect of adsorbent dose was determined at an initial metal ion concentration of 2400 ppm and at pH 4.5. The results summarized in Fig. 10 indicate that, with an increase in adsorbent dose, adsorption capacity rapidly decreases within the range 0.1-0.5 g. This effect can be due to some adsorption sites remaining unsaturated during the adsorption process. Low Cu(II) removal as adsorbent dose increased may be due to the fact that the adsorption of Cu(II) is limited by monolayer adsorption. This is corroborated by the value of the correlation coefficient, R², from Langmuir isotherm [25].

Fig. 10. Effect of adsorbent dose on copper(II) adsorption for PAAC and PHAC (C=2400 ppm, pH=4.5, t=40 min for PAAC and t=30 min for PHAC, T=27 °C).

L. Effect of initial concentration of copper

Studies were performed with Cu(II) initial concentrations ranging from 0.9 to 2.4 g/L. An amount of 0.1 g of adsorbent with pH 4.5 was used for adsorption experiment. The experimental results of the effect of initial copper(II) concentration on the adsorption capacity are presented in Fig. 11. It is obvious from this figure that, Cu(II) adsorption increases with increase in initial Cu(II) concentration. This is because an increases in the initial concentration of Cu(II) ions brings about an increase in mass transfer from the aqueous phase to the solid phase. However, at higher copper concentrations, the available adsorption sites become fewer, and hence the adsorption of Cu(II) becomes more or less constant.

Fig. 11. Effect of initial concentration on copper (II) adsorption for PAAC and PHAC (adsorbent dose=0.1 g, pH=4.5, t=40 min for PAAC and t=30 min for PHAC, T=27 °C).
**M. Sorption Kinetic Models**

Several kinetic models are often used in modeling the adsorption mechanism of dissolved solutes on adsorbents. In this study, four kinetic models have been studied in describing the adsorption phenomenon of Cu (II) ions onto the two activated carbon samples studied herein: pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order, Elovich and intra-particle diffusion models.

**N. Pseudo first-order**

In order to study the adsorption kinetics of Cu(II) ions, the kinetics parameters for the adsorption process were studied for contact times ranging from 5 to 60 min by monitoring the quantity of Cu(II) adsorbed as a function of time. The data were then regressed against the Lagergren equation (8), which represents a first order kinetics equation [26].

\[
\ln(Q_e - Q_t) = \ln Q_e - k_1t
\]  
(8)

where, \(k_1\) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)); \(Q_e\) and \(Q_t\) are the adsorption capacities at equilibrium and at a given time \(t\) expressed in (mg/g).

**O. Pseudo second-order model**

Represented by (9) below, the linearized pseudo-second order chemisorption kinetic model [10, 27] has been frequently used in diverse experiments involving the adsorption of organics and heavy metals on activated carbon.

\[
\frac{t}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2Q_e^2} + \frac{t}{Q_e}
\]  
(9)

Where, \(K_2\) is the pseudo-second-order rate constant (mg.g\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\)).

**P. Elovich model**

The linearized Elovich equation is generally expressed as:

\[
Q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(t)
\]  
(10)

Where, \(\alpha\) is the initial sorption rate (mg g\(^{-1}\).min) and \(\beta\) is the desorption rate constant (g mg\(^{-1}\)) during any one experiment and by assuming that \(\alpha/\beta >> 1\)[28].

**Q. Intra-particle diffusion model**

During the batch mode of operation, there is a possibility of transport of sorbate species into the pores of the sorbent, which is often the rate controlling step. The rate constants of intra particle diffusion (\(K_{id}\)) at different copper ion concentrations were determined using the following linearized equation

\[
Q_t = K_{id}t^{1/2} + C
\]  
(11)

Where, \(Q_t\) is the amount of Cu(II) sorbed at time \(t\) and \(t^{1/2}\) is the square root of the time. \(K_{id}\) is the intra-particle diffusion constant (mg.g-1.min\(^{-1/2}\)). When intra-particle diffusion plays a significant role in controlling the kinetics of the sorption process, straight line plots through the origin are obtained and their slopes give the rate constant, \(K_{id}\).

The slopes and intercepts of all the model curves were used to determine values of the constants as well as the equilibrium capacity (\(Q_e\)). The results indicate that the adsorption process follows only the second-order kinetic rate equation after fitting the adsorption data of Cu(II) ions onto the two activated carbon samples (Fig. 12) with correlation factors \(R^2 = 0.999\) and 0.998 for PAAC and PHAC respectively. This implies that adsorption of copper (II) ions onto both adsorbents may occur through a chemical process involving the valence forces of the shared or exchanged electrons [29]. This means that chemisorption reaction or an activated process becomes more predominant in the rate-controlling step for the copper system. The straight-line plot for second order rate equation has been represented on (Fig. 12) and the values of all the model parameters and the correlation coefficients are presented in Table 5. The fact that linear plots for intraparticle diffusion model for each sample did not pass through the origin is indicative that the intraparticle diffusion was not a rate controlling step.

![Fig. 12. Linearized pseudo second order plots for Co=2400mg/L, V=20mL, pH=4.5, m=0.1g adsorbent.](image)

**R. Adsorption isotherm models**

The distribution of adsorbate between the liquid phase and the solid phase, notably, the degree of accumulation of adsorbate on the surface of the adsorbent, the solute-solution interaction, and knowledge of the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent can be described by several isotherm models such as Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin models [30].
S. Langmuir adsorption isotherm

The Langmuir model assumes that the uptake of metal ions occurs on a homogeneous surface by monolayer adsorption without any interaction between adsorbed ions, that uniform energies of adsorption are involved, and that there is no transmigration of adsorbate in the plane of the surface [31]. The linearized Langmuir isotherm equation can be expressed as:

\[
\frac{C_e}{Q_e} = \frac{K_L}{Q_m} + \frac{C_e}{Q_m}
\]

(12)

Where, \( K_L \) is the Langmuir adsorption constant (L/mg) which is related to the maximum sorption capacity and energy of adsorption, \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration (mg/L), \( Q_e \) is the amount adsorbed per amount of adsorbent at equilibrium (mg/g) and \( Q_m \) (mg/g) is an indicator of monolayer adsorption capacity. The efficiency of the Langmuir adsorption process is further assessed by (13):

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_0}
\]

(13)

Where \( R_L \) is a dimensionless constant referred to as separation factor, \( K_L \) is the Langmuir constant related to the energy of adsorption and \( C_0 \) is the initial solute concentration (mg/L). If \( R_L > 1 \) adsorption is said to be unfavorable, \( R_L = 1 \) for linear adsorption, \( 0 < R_L < 1 \) for favorable adsorption and \( R_L = 0 \) for irreversible adsorption.

T. Freundlich adsorption Isotherm

The Freundlich model assumes that the uptake of metal ions occurs on a heterogeneous surface by monolayer adsorption [32]. The linearized relation (14) is given below:

\[
\ln Q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e
\]

(14)

Where: \( Q_e \) is the quantity of solute adsorbed at equilibrium (adsorption density: mg of adsorbate per g of adsorbent), \( C_e \) is the concentration of adsorbate at equilibrium, \( 1/n \) (L/mg) is the adsorption intensity or the heterogeneity factor and \( K_F \) is the Freundlich constant related to the adsorption energy (mol²/kg³). These last two constants are dependent of temperature and the nature of sorbent and sorbate.

U. Temkin adsorption isotherm.

It is expressed in linear form by the following relationship between the amount adsorbed, \( Q_e \), and concentration in solution at equilibrium, \( C_e \) [33]:

\[
Q_e = B_T (\ln A_T + \ln C_e)
\]

(15)

Where, \( A_T \) (L/g) is the Temkin isotherm constant (equilibrium binding constant), \( B_T \) (J/mol) is a constant related to heat of sorption, \( R \) is the gas constant (8.314 Jmol⁻¹K⁻¹) and \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K). Similar to the Freundlich equation, the Temkin model takes into account the heterogeneity of the surface [33].

In order to find the most appropriate model for the copper adsorption, the data were fitted to each isotherm model. The parameters of the different models are presented on Table 5 and only the Langmuir isotherm model (\( R_L^2 = 0.995 \) and 0.997 for PAAC and PHAC respectively) represented on Fig. 13 [34] best fitted the experimental data. The homogeneous monolayer adsorption capacity of Cu(II) ions at 27 °C were found to be equal to 100.0 mg/g and 76.92 mg/g for PAAC and PHAC samples respectively. The shape of the Langmuir isotherm was investigated by the dimensionless constant separation term (\( R_L \)). In this investigation the equilibrium parameter was found to be in the range 0 < \( R_L < 1 \) as shown in Table 6. This indicated that the sorption process was very favorable and that the adsorbent employed exhibits good adsorption potentials. Temkin isotherm model predicts a uniform distribution of binding energies over the population of surface binding adsorption. The values of Temkin constants \( A_T \) and \( B_T \) as well as the correlation coefficients are listed in Table 6.

![Fig. 13. Linear transformation of Langmuir isotherm](image)

Table VI. Results of adsorption parameters for the different model isotherms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Adsorbents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir Isotherm</td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>PAAC 0.995 PHAC 0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qmax (mg/g)</td>
<td>100.0 76.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kx ( 10^2 ) (mg/l)</td>
<td>6.934 1.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R_L</td>
<td>(0.138-0.056) (0.361-0.174)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich Isotherm</td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.874 0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/n</td>
<td>0.318 0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K_T (L/g)</td>
<td>7.916 24.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temkin isotherm</td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.955 0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A_T (L/g)</td>
<td>1.193 1.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B_T (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>0.118 0.183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

This work shows that by appreciably reducing the temperature of carbonization to 400 °C one obtains higher values of iodine indices for HSSBAC (PAAC and PHAC) with interesting adsorption capacities. The chemical analysis by the Boehm method and the results obtained from FTIR analysis showed the presence of the functional groups on the surface of the activated carbon. Although kinetic data for the Cu(II)
sorption were fitted to four kinetics models, namely the pseudo-first- and pseudo-second-order, intraparticle diffusion and Elovich model, only the pseudo-second-order model, \( R^2=0.999 \) and 0.998) best described the sorption process for both adsorbents (PAAC and PHAC) respectively. The equilibrium sorption of Cu(II) ion by PAAC and PHAC was analyzed by the Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin isotherm models. The Langmuir isotherm was the most appropriate model with a monolayer sorption capacity of Cu(II) ion of 100.0 mg/g and 76.92 mg/g for PAAC and PHAC respectively working at pH=4.5 at 27°C. The present study shows that the Hibiscus sabdariffa L. stalks based activated carbons (HSSBAC) are effective adsorbents for the sorption of Cu(II) ion by PAAC and PHAC.
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