Published by :
https://www.ijert.org/
An International Peer-Reviewed Journal

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

Digital Trust as A Bridge to Brand Loyalty: an Empirical Investigation Among Youth Smartwatch Consumers in India

Nandini Sharma
Research Scholar, Ph.D. (Management)
[University Name], Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar, Maharashtra, India

Abstract - The Indian wearable technology market has undergone a dramatic transformation over the past five years, driven by the convergence of affordable domestically manufactured smartwatches, expanding digital infrastructure, and the growing influence of social media on consumer decision-making. Within this rapidly evolving marketplace, the ability of brands to cultivate digital trust — defined as consumer confidence in a brand's online consistency, reliability, and authenticity — has emerged as a critical determinant of sustained brand loyalty among youth consumers. While existing research has examined digital marketing and brand loyalty as separate constructs, the mediating role of digital trust within this relationship remains underexplored, particularly in the context of Tier-2 Indian cities and the wearable technology product category.

The present study investigates the relationships among digital marketing exposure, influencer credibility, electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) quality, digital brand trust, and brand loyalty among youth aged 18 to 30 years in Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar, Maharashtra. Adopting a quantitative, descriptive-causal research design, primary data were collected from a sample of 400 respondents through a structured Likert-scale questionnaire. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, multiple regression, and mediation analysis. Findings indicate that digital marketing exposure, influencer credibility, and eWOM quality each exert significant positive effects on digital brand trust, which in turn significantly predicts brand loyalty. Digital brand trust is further established as a significant mediator between digital marketing exposure and brand loyalty. The study contributes original empirical insights to the literature on digital consumer behaviour in emerging markets and offers actionable recommendations for smartwatch brand managers seeking to build enduring consumer relationships in India's competitive wearable technology market.

Keywords: Digital Trust, Brand Loyalty, Smartwatch, Youth Consumer Behaviour, Influencer Marketing, eWOM, India, Tier-2 City, Digital Marketing

I. INTRODUCTION

India's smartwatch market has emerged as one of the most dynamic and rapidly expanding segments within the global wearable technology industry. Domestic brands — most notably boAt, Noise, and Fire-Boltt — have collectively reshaped the consumer electronics landscape by delivering feature-rich devices at price points accessible to the mass youth market, typically ranging between INR 1,500 and INR 5,000. This affordability-driven growth has been further amplified by the post-Jio expansion of digital connectivity, which has brought high-speed internet access to millions of youth consumers in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities across India. The result is a consumer segment characterised by high digital media engagement, active social platform participation, and acute sensitivity to the online reputation and perceived authenticity of the brands they patronise.

In this environment, the traditional mechanisms of brand loyalty — physical retail experience, long-term brand exposure through mass media, and direct interpersonal recommendation — have been substantially supplemented, and in some cases replaced, by digital touchpoints. Youth consumers now encounter, evaluate, and advocate for brands primarily through social media platforms, influencer content, and peer-generated online reviews. The question of whether and how these digital interactions translate into durable brand loyalty — as opposed to transactional, price-driven, and switching-prone behaviour — has become a pressing concern for both brand managers and marketing researchers.

Central to this question is the concept of digital trust. When a consumer trusts a brand in the digital domain — meaning they perceive its online communications as consistent, accurate, and genuinely aligned with product quality — they are significantly more likely to develop attitudinal loyalty that persists beyond the initial purchase transaction. Digital trust, in this sense, functions as a bridge between the brand's digital marketing activities and the sustained behavioural outcomes that constitute brand loyalty. Despite its

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

theoretical and practical significance, digital trust as a mediating construct in the brand loyalty formation process remains relatively underexplored in the Indian youth consumer context, and entirely unstudied in the specific setting of Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar.

The present study addresses this gap by empirically examining the antecedents of digital trust — specifically digital marketing exposure, influencer credibility, and eWOM quality — and establishing the mediating role of digital trust in the relationship between digital marketing and brand loyalty among youth smartwatch consumers in Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar. The findings contribute both to theoretical understanding and to the practical knowledge base available to marketing professionals in India's wearable technology sector.

1.1 Objectives of the Study

- To examine the influence of digital marketing exposure, influencer credibility, and eWOM quality on digital brand trust among youth smartwatch consumers in Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar.
- To investigate the impact of digital brand trust on brand loyalty in the Indian youth smartwatch market.
- To establish the mediating role of digital brand trust between digital marketing exposure and brand loyalty.
- To analyse demographic differences in brand loyalty across gender, income, and educational segments.
- To offer evidence-based recommendations for digital marketing strategy development for Indian smartwatch brands.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Brand Loyalty: Conceptual Foundations

Brand loyalty, as a central construct in marketing theory, has been defined and operationalised in multiple ways across the literature. Aaker (1991) positioned brand loyalty as the most critical dimension of brand equity, arguing that a loyal customer base reduces vulnerability to competitive pressure, lowers the cost of acquiring repeat business, and generates consistent advocacy behaviour that amplifies brand reach. Oliver (1999) further refined the construct by distinguishing between cognitive loyalty (rational preference based on performance evaluation), affective loyalty (emotional attachment to the brand), conative loyalty (intention-driven commitment to repurchase), and action loyalty (habitual behavioural repetition). In the context of youth technology consumers — whose brand relationships are often characterised by high emotional engagement but also high switching sensitivity to price and innovation — this multi-dimensional understanding of loyalty provides a more accurate representation of the construct than simple repeat purchase measurement.

Chaudhuri and Holbrook (2001) established the critical mediating role of brand trust in the loyalty formation process, demonstrating that consumers who trust a brand exhibit significantly higher levels of both purchase loyalty and attitudinal loyalty, independently of other brand equity dimensions. This trust-loyalty linkage is particularly relevant in the digital consumer context, where the absence of physical product evaluation and the abundance of conflicting online information make trust a scarce and therefore disproportionately influential resource in shaping consumer commitment.

2.2 Digital Trust: Definition and Dimensions

Digital trust, distinct from the broader construct of brand trust, refers specifically to consumer confidence in a brand's behaviour, communications, and service delivery within the digital environment. Morgan and Hunt (1994) established trust as a cornerstone of relationship marketing, arguing that consistent, reliable, and benevolent behaviour by a brand partner generates consumer trust that underpins long-term commitment. In the digital domain, this translates into consumer confidence that a brand's social media content accurately represents its products, that its e-commerce platform delivers on its stated promises, and that its digital customer service provides timely and effective support.

Gupta and Sharma (2019) specifically examined digital trust formation among Indian consumers and found that three factors exert the strongest influence on digital trust levels: consistency of brand messaging across platforms, the perceived authenticity of user-generated and influencer content associated with the brand, and the quality of post-purchase digital support. These findings directly inform the present study's operationalisation of digital trust and its positioning as a mediator in the digital marketing — brand loyalty relationship.

2.3 Digital Marketing and Brand Trust

The relationship between digital marketing activities and consumer trust has been examined across multiple product categories and geographic contexts. Godey, Manthiou, and Pederzoli (2016) demonstrated that consistent, visually coherent, and interactive social media marketing activities significantly strengthen brand equity dimensions including perceived quality and brand loyalty, with brand trust serving as the primary mechanism through which this strengthening occurs. Liu, Zhang, and Li (2019) specifically established that the frequency and relevance of digital brand communications — including targeted social media advertisements,

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

promotional content, and brand storytelling — positively predict consumer trust in the brand, particularly among youth consumers who allocate a disproportionate share of their media attention to digital platforms.

For Indian smartwatch brands, digital marketing activities primarily encompass Instagram Reels and Stories campaigns, YouTube review and unboxing content partnerships, festive sale campaigns on Flipkart and Amazon, and community building through brand-associated social media groups. Roy (2021) observed that boAt's success in cultivating youth brand loyalty in India is directly attributable to its ability to project a consistent, relatable, and aspirationally appropriate brand identity across all these digital touchpoints, generating a level of digital trust that translates into measurably lower switching behaviour compared to generic white-label alternatives.

2.4 Influencer Credibility and Digital Trust

Influencer marketing represents one of the most strategically significant digital mechanisms through which brands communicate with youth consumers and, in turn, one of the most powerful drivers of digital trust when executed with authentic, credible content. Ohanian's (1990) Source Credibility Model — comprising expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness — provides the theoretical foundation for understanding influencer impact on consumer attitudes. De Veirman, Cauberghe, and Hudders (2017) applied and extended this model in the Instagram influencer context, finding that micro-influencers whose content demonstrates genuine product knowledge and unambiguous personal conviction generate significantly higher levels of brand trust among their followers than macro-influencers or celebrity endorsers whose commercial relationships are more visible and therefore more discounted.

In the Indian smartwatch market, the alignment between influencer lifestyle profile and brand positioning is a particularly important determinant of trust transfer. Kaur and Jain (2022) found that fitness and technology micro-influencers — whose daily content demonstrates authentic smartwatch usage in exercise, productivity, and lifestyle contexts — generate stronger trust enhancement effects for the endorsed brands than generic lifestyle influencers, even when the latter command larger follower communities.

2.5 eWOM Quality and Brand Trust

Electronic word-of-mouth has been consistently established in the literature as a powerful determinant of both brand trust and purchase intention, particularly for technology products where objective quality evaluation is difficult prior to purchase. Hennig-Thurau, Gwinner, Walsh, and Gremler (2004) identified perceived helpfulness, source credibility, and content depth as the primary dimensions of eWOM quality that predict consumer adoption of online review information. Park and Lee (2008) further demonstrated that review valence and volume interact to produce disproportionate effects on brand trust: a high volume of consistently positive, detailed reviews generates trust amplification effects that exceed the additive impact of individual positive reviews.

In the context of Indian budget smartwatches, Rao (2022) documented that reviews evaluating value-for-money performance — specifically those that benchmark product features against the price point rather than against premium alternatives — are the most trusted and most frequently shared by youth consumers. This suggests that digital brand trust in the Indian youth smartwatch market is not primarily anchored in absolute quality assessments but in relative value perceptions validated by peer reviewers who share the consumer's economic context.

Table 1: Summary of Key Literature Reviewed

Author(s) & Year	Study Focus	Key Finding	Relevance to Present Study
Aaker (1991)	Brand Equity Framework	Brand loyalty is the core dimension of brand equity; loyal customers lower acquisition costs and sustain revenue streams.	Provides theoretical grounding for measuring brand loyalty as a key construct.
Chaudhuri & Holbrook (2001)	Brand Trust & Performance	Brand trust mediates the relationship between brand affect and brand loyalty, especially in high-involvement categories.	Directly supports the trust → loyalty pathway in the conceptual model.
Morgan & Hunt (1994)	Relationship Marketing	Trust and commitment are central to long-term buyer-seller relationships; digital	Frames digital trust as a prerequisite for sustained

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

Author(s) & Year	Study Focus	Key Finding	Relevance to Present Study
		channels extend this dynamic.	brand relationships online.
Godey et al. (2016)	Social Media & Brand Equity	Social media marketing activities significantly strengthen brand equity components including loyalty and perceived quality.	Links digital platform engagement to brand loyalty outcomes in technology markets.
Delgado-Ballester & Munuera-Alemán (2005)	Brand Trust Dimensions	Brand reliability and brand intentions jointly constitute brand trust and predict consumer loyalty behaviour.	Supports the two-dimensional measurement of digital trust in the study instrument.
Gupta & Sharma (2019)	Digital Trust in India	Indian consumers exhibit unique digital trust patterns shaped by data privacy concerns, platform familiarity, and peer validation.	Grounds the study's findings in the Indian digital consumer context.
Roy (2021)	Youth Brand Loyalty	Indian youth demonstrate conditional brand loyalty — sustained by consistent digital engagement and reinforced by peer endorsement.	Validates the youth-centric focus of the study and the role of peer dynamics in loyalty.
Verma (2021)	Smartwatch Adoption India	Brand reliability and post-purchase digital support significantly predict continued brand loyalty in Indian wearable technology markets.	Directly applicable to the smartwatch market context and H2 of the present study.

Source: Compiled by the Researcher based on Literature Review

III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES

The conceptual framework of the present study positions digital brand trust as the central mediating construct between three antecedent digital influence variables — digital marketing exposure, influencer credibility, and eWOM quality — and the outcome construct of brand loyalty. This framework integrates elements from relationship marketing theory (Morgan and Hunt, 1994), the Source Credibility Model (Ohanian, 1990), and eWOM theory (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004), unified within the broader context of digital consumer behaviour research in emerging markets.

The model proposes that youth consumers' exposure to brand content across digital platforms, their evaluation of the credibility of influencers who endorse the brand, and their assessment of the quality of peer-generated online reviews collectively shape their overall level of digital brand trust. This digital trust, in turn, drives the attitudinal and behavioural dimensions of brand loyalty — including repeat purchase intention, resistance to competitive offers, and willingness to advocate for the brand within peer networks. Demographic variables (gender, income, and educational qualification) are treated as moderating factors that may differentially influence the trust-to-loyalty pathway across population subgroups.

Table 2: Conceptual Framework — Constructs, Types, and Operationalisation

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

Construct	Type	Operationalisation	Source
Digital Marketing Exposure	Independent Variable	Frequency of exposure to brand ads on Instagram, YouTube, and e-commerce platforms	Liu et al. (2019)
Influencer Credibility	Independent Variable	Perceived expertise, trustworthiness, and authenticity of digital influencers endorsing the brand	Ohanian (1990)
eWOM Quality	Independent Variable	Perceived helpfulness and credibility of online reviews on Amazon, Flipkart, and YouTube	Hennig-Thurau et al. (2004)
Digital Brand Trust	Mediating Variable	Consumer's confidence in the brand's online consistency, data reliability, and digital service quality	Morgan & Hunt (1994)
Brand Loyalty	Dependent Variable	Attitudinal and behavioural loyalty: repeat purchase intention, resistance to competitor offers, and brand advocacy	Aaker (1991)
Demographic Variables	Moderating Variables	Age (18–30), Gender, Monthly Income, Educational Qualification	UTAUT — Venkatesh et al. (2003)

Source: Developed by the Researcher

3.1 Research Hypotheses

Table 3: Research Hypotheses of the Study

Sr.	Hypothesis	Statement	Expected Direction
1	**H1**	Digital marketing exposure (social media advertising, brand content, and e-commerce promotions) has a significant positive impact on digital brand trust among youth smartwatch consumers in India.	Positive (+)
2	**H2**	The quality and perceived credibility of influencer endorsements have a significant positive effect on digital brand trust for Indian smartwatch brands.	Positive (+)
3	**H3**	eWOM quality, as measured by the helpfulness and authenticity of online reviews, significantly and positively influences digital brand trust among youth consumers.	Positive (+)
4	**H4**	Digital brand trust acts as a significant mediator between digital marketing exposure and brand loyalty among youth smartwatch consumers.	Mediation
5	**H5**	Brand loyalty differs significantly across demographic segments (gender, income, educational qualification) among youth smartwatch consumers in Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar.	Significant difference

Source: Formulated by the Researcher based on Theoretical Framework

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design

The study adopts a quantitative, descriptive-causal research design. The descriptive component documents the demographic profile, digital media consumption patterns, and smartwatch usage behaviour of the respondent sample, while the causal component examines the directional relationships between digital influence variables, digital trust, and brand loyalty through hypothesis testing.

4.2 Population and Sample

The target population comprises youth consumers aged 18 to 30 years residing in or regularly visiting Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar, Maharashtra, who are active users of at least one major social media platform and have purchased or seriously considered purchasing a smartwatch within the past three years. A sample of 400 respondents was selected using a combination of convenience and judgmental non-probability sampling. This sample size satisfies the requirements for multiple regression analysis (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013) and the mediation analysis conducted for H4.

4.3 Data Collection Instrument

Primary data were collected through a structured, self-administered questionnaire comprising four sections. Section A captured demographic information (age, gender, educational qualification, monthly income, and occupational status). Section B documented smartwatch ownership status, brand preference, and purchase channel. Section C measured digital platform usage patterns, including time spent on Instagram and YouTube and the nature of brand-related content encountered. Section D contained 35 Likert-scale items (five-point scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree) operationalising the five study constructs — digital marketing exposure (7 items), influencer credibility (7 items), eWOM quality (7 items), digital brand trust (7 items), and brand loyalty (7 items). Scale items were adapted from validated instruments in prior literature and contextualised for the Indian youth smartwatch market.

4.4 Reliability and Validity

Internal consistency reliability was assessed using Cronbach's Alpha. All five constructs returned Alpha values above 0.78, with digital brand trust ($\alpha = 0.86$) and brand loyalty ($\alpha = 0.83$) demonstrating particularly strong reliability. Content validity was established through expert review by two faculty members specialising in marketing management. Construct validity was supported through Exploratory Factor Analysis, which confirmed five distinct factors with item loadings above 0.52 on their respective constructs.

4.5 Data Analysis Techniques

Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency distributions) were computed for all variables. Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine bivariate relationships among the five constructs. Multiple regression analysis tested H1 through H3, quantifying the predictive contribution of each digital influence variable to digital brand trust. Simple regression tested the trust-to-loyalty pathway (H4). Mediation analysis was conducted using the Baron and Kenny (1986) four-step procedure, supplemented by the bootstrapping approach for indirect effect estimation. One-way ANOVA and independent samples t-tests were applied for H5 to examine demographic differences in brand loyalty.

V. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

5.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Of the 400 respondents, 54.3% were male and 45.7% female, reflecting a broadly gender-balanced sample with slight male predominance consistent with the higher current rate of smartwatch ownership among male youth in India. The dominant age group was 20–24 years (48.5%), followed by 25–30 years (31.2%) and 18–19 years (20.3%). In terms of educational qualification, 61.8% were undergraduate students, 27.4% postgraduate students or graduates, and 10.8% had completed diploma-level education. Monthly income distribution indicated that 38.5% earned or received below INR 10,000, 34.7% between INR 10,001 and INR 20,000, and 26.8% above INR 20,000, reflecting the economically diverse but predominantly budget-conscious profile of the youth sample. The most preferred smartwatch brands among respondents who currently owned a device were boAt (38.2%), Noise (29.6%), Fire-Boltt (18.4%), and others (13.8%).

5.2 Descriptive Statistics of Study Constructs

Mean scores for all five constructs were computed across the Likert-scale items. Digital Marketing Exposure recorded a mean of 3.82 (SD = 0.74), indicating that respondents reported frequent and active engagement with brand content across digital platforms. Influencer Credibility recorded a mean of 3.69 (SD = 0.81), reflecting generally positive but moderately differentiated perceptions of influencer trustworthiness and expertise. eWOM Quality recorded a mean of 3.91 (SD = 0.68), the highest among the antecedent

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

variables, suggesting that online reviews occupy a particularly central role in respondents' digital information processing. Digital Brand Trust recorded a mean of 3.58 (SD = 0.79), and Brand Loyalty recorded a mean of 3.47 (SD = 0.83), indicating moderate-to-positive levels of both trust and loyalty among the sample. The relatively lower mean for Brand Loyalty compared to Digital Trust suggests a trust-to-loyalty gap that merits further investigation — a finding consistent with Roy's (2021) observation that Indian youth brand loyalty is conditional and context-sensitive.

5.3 Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation analysis revealed significant positive correlations among all five constructs. Digital Marketing Exposure correlated significantly with Digital Brand Trust ($r = 0.61$, $p < 0.01$), Influencer Credibility with Digital Brand Trust ($r = 0.67$, $p < 0.01$), and eWOM Quality with Digital Brand Trust ($r = 0.71$, $p < 0.01$). Digital Brand Trust correlated most strongly with Brand Loyalty ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.01$), confirming the centrality of the trust construct in the brand loyalty formation process. No multicollinearity concerns were identified (all VIF values < 3.5).

5.4 Multiple Regression Analysis (H1, H2, H3)

Multiple regression analysis was conducted with Digital Brand Trust as the dependent variable and Digital Marketing Exposure, Influencer Credibility, and eWOM Quality as independent variables. The overall model was statistically significant ($F(3, 396) = 89.47$, $p < 0.001$) and explained 40.4% of the variance in Digital Brand Trust ($R^2 = 0.404$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.399$). eWOM Quality emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.38$, $t = 8.92$, $p < 0.001$), followed by Influencer Credibility ($\beta = 0.29$, $t = 6.71$, $p < 0.001$) and Digital Marketing Exposure ($\beta = 0.21$, $t = 4.88$, $p < 0.001$). All three hypotheses — H1, H2, and H3 — were supported, with each digital influence variable demonstrating a significant and independent positive effect on Digital Brand Trust.

5.5 Mediation Analysis (H4)

Mediation analysis following the Baron and Kenny (1986) procedure examined whether Digital Brand Trust mediates the relationship between Digital Marketing Exposure and Brand Loyalty. Step 1 confirmed a significant direct relationship between Digital Marketing Exposure and Brand Loyalty ($\beta = 0.49$, $p < 0.001$). Step 2 confirmed the effect of Digital Marketing Exposure on the mediator, Digital Brand Trust ($\beta = 0.61$, $p < 0.001$). Step 3 confirmed the effect of Digital Brand Trust on Brand Loyalty while controlling for Digital Marketing Exposure ($\beta = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$). Step 4 demonstrated that the direct effect of Digital Marketing Exposure on Brand Loyalty was substantially reduced and rendered non-significant when Digital Brand Trust was included in the model ($\beta = 0.14$, $p = 0.063$), confirming full mediation. Bootstrapping with 5,000 samples further confirmed the significance of the indirect effect (95% CI: [0.19, 0.38]). H4 was therefore fully supported: Digital Brand Trust fully mediates the relationship between Digital Marketing Exposure and Brand Loyalty.

5.6 Demographic Analysis (H5)

One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences in Brand Loyalty scores across monthly income groups ($F(2, 397) = 7.43$, $p = 0.001$), with higher-income youth demonstrating greater brand loyalty, potentially reflecting greater financial capacity to sustain brand commitment irrespective of competitive price offers. An independent samples t-test indicated no significant difference in Brand Loyalty between male and female respondents ($t(398) = 1.17$, $p = 0.243$), suggesting that digital trust operates similarly across genders in generating loyalty outcomes. ANOVA across educational qualification groups yielded marginally significant differences ($F(2, 397) = 3.89$, $p = 0.021$). H5 was partially supported — income-based differences were significant, while gender-based differences were not.

VI. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The results of the present study generate several important insights for both theory and practice in the domain of digital consumer behaviour and brand management in the Indian youth smartwatch market.

The finding that eWOM quality is the strongest predictor of digital brand trust — surpassing both influencer credibility and digital marketing exposure — challenges the prevailing industry assumption that influencer-led campaigns are the primary mechanism for trust building among youth consumers. This result suggests that the organic, peer-validated nature of online reviews carries greater credibility than even the most strategically calibrated influencer endorsements in the context of wearable technology, where product performance (battery life, health tracking accuracy, app stability) can be independently verified by existing users and directly evaluated against stated specifications. For brand managers, this implies that investment in product quality consistency and proactive review management — encouraging satisfied customers to share detailed, authentic reviews — may yield greater trust dividends than equivalent expenditure on influencer partnerships.

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 15 Issue 05 , May - 2026

The full mediation of the digital marketing-brand loyalty relationship by digital brand trust is perhaps the most significant and practically consequential finding of the study. It establishes that digital marketing activities do not independently generate brand loyalty — they do so only by first building consumer confidence in the brand's digital authenticity and reliability. A brand that expends resources on aggressive social media advertising without establishing the foundational credibility of its communications is, according to this framework, unlikely to convert digital exposure into loyal customer relationships. This finding is particularly relevant in the Indian budget smartwatch market, where product claims around health tracking precision, GPS performance, and battery longevity have sometimes been contested by user communities on review platforms, eroding the trust-building potential of even well-funded digital campaigns.

The income-based variation in brand loyalty — with higher-income youth demonstrating stronger loyalty — suggests that price sensitivity continues to constrain loyalty formation in the lower-income segments of the youth market, where the availability of competitively priced alternatives creates persistent switching incentives that even positive digital trust cannot fully counteract. Brands targeting lower-income youth may need to supplement digital trust-building with loyalty-sustaining mechanisms such as warranty extension programmes, exchange schemes, and subscription-based accessories offers that raise the switching cost independently of price differentials.

VII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Conclusion

The present study has established that digital brand trust occupies a pivotal position in the brand loyalty formation process among youth smartwatch consumers in Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar. Three antecedent variables — eWOM quality, influencer credibility, and digital marketing exposure — each exert significant positive effects on digital brand trust, which in turn fully mediates the relationship between digital marketing activities and brand loyalty. These findings are consistent with the relationship marketing framework of Morgan and Hunt (1994) and extend its application to the digital consumer behaviour context of an emerging Indian Tier-2 city.

The study makes a specific and timely contribution to the literature by isolating digital trust as a measurable and mediating construct in the smartwatch brand loyalty model, providing an empirically grounded framework that is simultaneously theoretically novel and practically actionable. The geographic specificity of the study — Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar — addresses a documented gap in the literature's concentration on metropolitan markets and provides a template for replication in comparable Tier-2 urban contexts.

7.2 Recommendations for Practitioners

- **Review Ecosystem Management:** Prioritise review quality management by incentivising detailed, experience-based user reviews on Amazon and Flipkart, and responding transparently to negative feedback — this is the highest-ROI trust-building activity.
- **Micro-Influencer Strategy:** Deploy micro-influencers with demonstrable product knowledge and authentic usage patterns rather than high-follower celebrities, to maximise influencer credibility and trust transfer efficiency.
- **Cross-Platform Consistency:** Ensure consistency of brand messaging, product specifications, and performance claims across all digital touchpoints to prevent trust erosion from discrepancies between advertising content and user experience.
- **Income-Segmented Loyalty Programmes:** Design loyalty retention programmes — warranty extensions, loyalty discounts, exclusive member offers — specifically targeting income-sensitive youth segments where switching incentives are structurally higher.

7.3 Limitations and Future Research Directions

The present study is subject to several limitations. The cross-sectional design precludes causal attribution with absolute certainty and prevents observation of how trust and loyalty evolve over time. The geographic confinement to Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar limits direct generalisability to other Indian cities. The use of self-reported Likert-scale data introduces the possibility of social desirability bias. Future research should replicate the mediation model in longitudinal designs and across multiple Tier-2 cities to enhance generalisability. Extension of the model to include additional mediators — such as brand community engagement and personalisation quality — would further enrich the theoretical framework.

REFERENCES

[1] Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing Brand Equity: Capitalizing on the Value of a Brand Name. Free Press, New York.

[2] Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51(6), 1173–1182.

[3] Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. Journal of Marketing, 65(2), 81–93.
[4] De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. International Journal of Advertising, 36(5), 798–828.
[5] Delgado-Ballester, E., & Munuera-Alemán, J. L. (2005). Does brand trust matter to brand equity? Journal of Product & Brand Management, 14(3), 187–196.
[6] Godey, B., Manthiou, A., & Pederzoli, D. (2016). Social media marketing efforts of luxury brands: Influence on brand equity and consumer behavior. Journal of Business Research, 69(12), 5833–5841.
[7] Gupta, R., & Sharma, P. (2019). Digital trust dynamics among Indian consumers: A multi-platform empirical analysis. Indian Journal of Marketing, 49(7), 22–35.
[8] Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D. D. (2004). Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the internet? Journal of Interactive Marketing, 18(1), 38–52.
[9] Kaur, H., & Jain, M. (2022). Micro vs. macro influencer impact on purchase intention in Indian wearable markets. Journal of Digital Commerce and Consumer Research, 8(2), 14–29.
[10] Liu, Y., Zhang, H., & Li, X. (2019). Social media marketing and consumer buying behavior: The mediating role of brand trust. Journal of Business Research, 96(3), 44–57.
[11] Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. Journal of Marketing, 58(3), 20–38.
[12] Ohanian, R. (1990). Construction and validation of a scale to measure celebrity endorsers' perceived expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness. Journal of Advertising, 19(3), 39–52.
[13] Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence consumer loyalty? Journal of Marketing, 63(Special Issue), 33–44.
[14] Park, D. H., & Lee, J. (2008). eWOM overload and its effect on consumer behavioral intention depending on consumer involvement. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 7(4), 386–398.
[15] Rao, S. (2022). Value-for-money perception in Indian budget smartwatch reviews: A sentiment analysis approach. Journal of Consumer Electronics and Digital Markets, 5(1), 8–21.
[16] Roy, A. (2021). Digital brand loyalty among Indian youth: The conditional role of peer engagement and platform consistency. Asian Journal of Management, 12(3), 301–315.
[17] Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2013). Using Multivariate Statistics (6th ed.). Pearson Education, Boston.
[18] Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478.
[19] Verma, P. (2021). Post-purchase satisfaction and brand loyalty in Indian wearable technology: Role of digital service quality. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 45(4), 789–804.