Development of Detection, Counting and Yield Estimation Algorithm for Agricultural Products
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Abstract— Computer vision methods are helpful for automatic counting of fruits on trees. In this paper we present automatic detection, counting and yield estimation algorithm for fruits on the basis of color and shape analysis in the field. Firstly, preprocessing was applied on input fruit tree images and then it was converted from RGB to L*a*b color space to detect the fruit region from its background. Otsu’s method was used to create the image segmentation. Morphological operation was applied on binary images to remove noise. Then fruits were extracted by region labeling. Edge detection technique was applied on the labeled images to detect the edges of fruit region. On this edge detected image, circular fitting algorithm was applied for automatic counting of fruits. We used different types of fruits (apple, Tangerine/orange, pomegranate, lemon, peach, plum) for automatic counting. The simulation outputs show that new counting algorithm is found to be suitable and gives better accuracy than Newton’s method [17] for yield estimation. It gives 88.73% accuracy for apple, 93.93% for Tangerine/Orange, 81.36% for pomegranate, 84.43% for Lemon, 83.14% for peach, 70.18% for plum fruits and gives average yield measurement error is 16.3%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Yield prediction of fruit/vegetable through automatic counting in practical environment is one of the hardest and significant tasks to obtain better results in crop management system to achieve more productivity with regard to moderate cost. Yield estimates can provide valuable information for forecasting yields and generating prescription maps for tree-specific application. In the present work yield estimation of fruits is a process to find the total number of fruits in a tree automatically. For successful application of effective control methods, the yield information about individual tree is a prerequisite in real time.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Yield estimation of fruits/vegetable is a significant task to obtain better results in agriculture field. Many researchers have developed the algorithm for fruit detection and counting. The author in [3] conducted a preliminary step for developing real time spectral based system to identify green citrus fruits in an image. It was determined that reflectance ratio at wavelength of 815 nm and 1190 nm could correctly distinguish green citrus fruits from leaves. An automatic machine vision system is present with two charge coupled device (CCD) cameras, ultrasonic sensors, an encoder and differential Global positioning System (GPS) receiver to estimate citrus yield. An alternative computer vision algorithm was proposed to recognize visible and partially occluded citrus fruit from trees. The average fruit size was determined from image using ultrasonic sensors measuring a distance between the cameras and the fruit laden tree. Finally, a citrus yield map was created to show yield variability for site-specific crop management in [4]. Machine vision based citrus yield mapping and fruit quality inspection system was developed by the authors in [6]. The use of color and texture image processing together to detect the wheat ears, before to propose and compare different texture image segmentation techniques based on feature extraction by first and higher order statistical methods. The extracted features are used for unsupervised pixel classification to obtain the different classes in the image, before to use the k means algorithm. Three methods tested with very heterogeneous results, except the run length technique for which the results are close to the manual counting (66% error) in [8]. The author in [17] has worked on efficient locating the fruit on the tree is one of the major requirements for the fruit harvesting system. The average yield measurement error was found as 31.4%. The developed method gives better valid output for tangerine flower detection in natural outdoor lighting, with different lighting condition without any alternative lighting source to control the luminance. The simulation result reveals that the method is reliable, feasible and efficient compared to other existing methods in [19]. The use of mathematical morphological in the CIE L*a*b color space. The weighting functions assign a lower weight to color vectors near the colors with maximum chroma and higher weight to color vectors near the lightness axis in [2]. A novel framework for combining all the three i.e. color, texture and shape information, and achieve higher retrieval efficiency using dominant color feature. The combination of the color, shape and texture features between image and its complement in conjunction with the shape features provide a robust feature.
set for image retrieval. The experimental results demonstrate the efficiency of the method in [20]. The study of segmentation image techniques by using five threshold methods as Mean method, P-tile method, (HDT), (EMT) and visual Technique and they are compared with one another so as to choose the best technique for threshold segmentation techniques image in [11]. Edges characterize boundaries and are therefore a problem of fundamental importance in image processing. Image Edge detection significantly reduces the amount of data and filters out useless information, while preserving the important structural properties in an image. It has been observed that Canny’s edge detection algorithm is computationally more expensive compared to LOG (Laplacian of Gaussian), Sobel, Prewitt and Robert’s operator in [9]. Application of image processing in determination of apple quality and automatic algorithm is proposed in order to determine apples skin color defects. First, this image is converted from RGB to color space L*a*b*. Then fruit shape is extracted by ACM algorithm. Finally, the image has segmented using SHEM algorithm. Experimental results on the acquired images show that both EM and SHEM spend the same iterations to accomplish the segmentation process and same results are obtained. However, the proposed SHEM algorithm consumes less time than the standard EM algorithm in [16]. An automated method that uses computer vision to detect and count grape berries. The method could potentially be deployed across large vineyards taking measurements at every vine in a non-destructive manner. Berry detection uses both shape and visual texture and demonstrates detection of green berries against a green leaf background. Berry detections are counted results and comparison has also been made to show the efficiency of the numerical integration algorithms. It is found that the RK-Embedded Heronian Mean outperforms well in comparison with the RK-Embedded Centroidal Mean, Harmonic Mean and Contra-Harmonic Mean by author in [13]. In Agriculture the automatic methods for counting the number of fruits play a critical role in crop management. The various computer vision and optimization techniques are presented to automate the process of counting fruits by author in [21]. The author in [18] understands the fundamental concepts of various gradient filters apply in identifying a shark fish type which is taken as a case study. In this paper the edge detection techniques are taken for consideration. The author in [8] was investigates the use of digital image analysis techniques for developing an automated kiwifruit counting system. Three simple counting methods followed by a minimum distance classifier based segmentation technique in L*a*b* color space were studied. Tree canopy mapping with an automated ultrasonic system is inexpensive, fairly straightforward and could be used to estimate fruit yield within a grove to plan site-specific management practices. In specific, a detailed discussion on detecting edges for any kind of source images based on single layer / raster scheme and time-multiplexing under cellular neural network paradigm using new fourth order four stage numerical concepts, strategies, theory, and formulations in [5].

After study of above papers we develop an idea of detection, counting and yield estimation algorithm which shown in a

Fig 1: We had taken tree’s sectional images of different kinds of fruits from different camera distances then filtering these images by Gaussian filter[12]. Filtered image converted into L*a*b* color space. Using new circle fitting method we developed automatic counting and yield estimation algorithm.

### III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The image processing and analysis is performed using MATLAB R2013a software with image processing toolbox. MATLAB is a high-level technical computing language and provide interactive environment for algorithm development, data visualization, data analysis, and numerical computation. MATLAB code can be integrated with other languages and applications, and distribute the MATLAB algorithms and applications.
1.1 Image Capturing and Preprocessing

We had taken some field images of different fruit tree from internet. Gaussian filter use for noise removes from input image. Then new counting algorithm is applied on these images and compare between manually and algorithmically counting. Test image of plum tree shown in Fig 2.

1.2 RGB to L*a*b Conversion

Filtered input image is converted in L*a*b color space for detect fruits from its background. By use of L*a*b color space segment the fruit regions with its perceptually uniform property. Fruits detected image is shown in Fig 4.

1.3 Generate binary image

Otsu’s method used for generate the binary image after L*a*b conversion, which chooses the threshold to minimize the intra class variance of the black and white pixels where, nonzero pixels belong to an object and 0 pixels constitute the background. Binary image shown in Fig5. Then morphological operation is applied to remove noise from binary image. Noise removed binary image shown in Fig 6.

1.4 Region labeling

Labeling is used for extract the fruit region and finds the connected components on Black and white images. Labeled image is shown in Fig7.

1.5 Edge detection

Edge detection technique is detecting the edges of labeled fruit region. Sobel and canny operator [18] is best for the edge detection but canny is expensive then sobel, so we use sobel operator for the edge detection. This operator calculates the gradient of the image intensity at each point. Edge detected image is shown in Fig8.

1.6 Circle fitting

Edge detected images are used for circle fitting which is implemented using the following step:

i. Find the size of edge detected image and labeled each edge pixels.

ii. Detect the boundary points of the fruits. Each boundary point has two values (x co-ordinate and y co-ordinate).

iii. Make a vector XY which stores co-ordinates of the edge points.

iv. Find the number of data points (n).

v. Calculate centroid of the data set by calculating mean of XY vector, which is a two column vector. First column stores the y-co-ordinates and second column stores the x co-ordinates of all the boundary points. XY=[y x].

vi. Fix the distance (a) of each edge points from the centroid.

\[ a = \sqrt{\left(x_1-x\right)^2 + \left(y_1-y\right)^2} \]
x1, y1 are centroid and x, y are coordinates of each edge points.

vii. Find radius(R) by calculating the mean of distance a.
viii. Then by angle theta (0 to 360 degree) and radius R, get new points (x_{new}, y_{new}) and plot them. These points are superimposed on the fruit region and get the image with circle fitted on fruits of input image.

1.7 Yield estimation and Quality parameters

The proposed method is use the shape analysis for the yield measurement. Yield measurement is a process to estimate the total fruits in a tree in few seconds. Yield estimation is done by help of tree’s sectional images and new counting algorithm. The average yield measurement error is calculated by the quality parameters. Percentage Accuracy and Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) are quality parameters of this method which are defined as:

\[
\% \text{ Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Algorithmically counting}}{\text{Manual counting}} \times 100
\]

The mean absolute percentage error

\[
\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|M_i - A_i|}{M_i} \times 100\%
\]

Here, N=total no. of fruits, i=1to N
M=Manual counted value
A=algorithmically counted value

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We had taken six types of fruit tree images. These images are tree’s sectional images for yield estimation by new counting algorithm. After implementation of proposed method we get final resultant circle fit image which shown in Fig 9.

![Fig 9: circle fit image](image)

Calculated values of accuracy and mean absolute percentage error are individually mentioned for each kind of fruit tree in table1. Accuracy comparison between proposed method and Newton’s method [17] has shown in Fig10. This chart shows that accuracy of proposed algorithm better than Newton’s method [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. N</th>
<th>Fruit Trees</th>
<th>Mean absolute % error (MAPE) (proposed method)</th>
<th>Mean absolute % error (MAPE) Newton’s method [17]</th>
<th>Overall accuracy of proposed method (%)</th>
<th>Overall accuracy of Newton’s method [17]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>11.25%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>88.73%</td>
<td>43.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Tangerine/orange</td>
<td>6.05%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>93.93%</td>
<td>86.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Pomegranate</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>81.36%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Lemon</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>84.43%</td>
<td>39.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>83.14%</td>
<td>81.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Plum</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>70.18%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 10 : Comparison of proposed method and Newton’s method[17]
Table 2: Comparison between Manual and Algorithm counting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree’s sectional images</th>
<th>Manual counting</th>
<th>Counting by Proposed Method</th>
<th>Counting by Newton’s method[17]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apple 1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(Newton-Pratt will not coverage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(Newton-Pratt will not coverage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple 4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple 5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple 6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(Newton-Pratt will not coverage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangerine 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangerine 2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangerine 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangerine 4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomegranate 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomegranate 2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomegranate 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(Newton-Pratt will not coverage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomegranate 4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomegranate 5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomegranate 6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(Newton-Pratt will not coverage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon 3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon 4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>(Newton-Pratt will not coverage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach 1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach 2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach 4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach 5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum 2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum 3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(Newton-Pratt will not coverage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum 4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum 5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 11: Comparison between Manual and proposed algorithm counting

Table 2 shows number of fruits counted by observer, Proposed algorithm and counted by Newton’s method [17]. Graphical comparison between manually, proposed algorithm counting and counting by Newton’s method [17] is shown in Fig 11.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have developed the new counting algorithm for yield estimation of fruits. Table 1 shows that the proposed method gives better accuracy than Newton’s method [17]. It gives less mean absolute percentage error and high accuracy for all the mentioned fruits. The proposed method is accurate 88.73% for apple, 93.93% for Tangerine/orange, 81.36% for pomegranate, 84.43% for lemon, 83.14% for peach and 70.18% for plum fruit. From the proposed method we found average yield measurement error is 16.3%.
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