Coverage and network lifetime enhancement for wireless sensor networks using clustering and truncated greedy algorithm (TGA) in rising and falling landscape

M. BALASARASWATHI, Research Scholar, APEC, Melmaruvathur. balameau2005@gmail.com

N. SHILPA, M.E/ECE, TCET, Vandavasi. shilpa.m.e13@gmail.com

Abstract—The foremost crisis in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) is to achieve the maximum lifetime and region coverage for randomly deployed sensors. Recent works focuses on two-dimensional (2D) plane coverage and this plane is assumed to be an ideal one, where the sensors are deployed. But in many real time applications, sensors may also be deployed in three dimensional (3D) rising and falling landscapes. Depending on the landscape features, we face two sort of landscape coverage problem: one is the regular landscape coverage problem and irregular landscape coverage problem. In 2D plane coverage, Cone and Cos-Revolution models were used to manipulate the network lifetime in regular rising and falling landscapes. We intended to improve the coverage and lifetime of the sensor networks using Clustering and Truncated Greedy Algorithm (TGA) along with Digital Elevation Model (DEM), by scheduling and truncating the sleeping nodes in irregular rising and falling landscapes. However the packet losses occur. To overcome the problem of packet loss, clustering algorithm is utilized.
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I. INTRODUCTION


The WSNs is built of “nodes” and it has several parts: a radio transceiver, an antenna, a microcontroller and a battery. The basic crisis in communication network is to achieve a prescribed message throughput and Quality of Service (QoS). It can be defined in terms of packet loss, message delay, transmission clout and cost of transmission. Depending on application, one of numerous basic network topologies can be used.

The coverage and network lifetime is a major crisis in WSNs. In several real applications such as Terrain monitors [1] and volcano monitors [2], the interested pastures are mountainous regions. However, observing the number of randomly deployed sensors on the mountainous area to attain expected coverage and lifetime becomes a crucial chore for these applications.

Some related works describing the network lifetime problem and coverage problems are briefly discussed here [1] [2] and [3]. In these papers, there were no frontiers for finding the weight of sub modular set. This complexity creates minimum network lifetime this in turn induces the cost. Thus the network lifetime cannot be achieved greatly by exists work. Besides from [1] and [2], we monitor that few mountainous territory are more or less regular and others are irregular. Then we segregate the coverage issues into two kinds: the regular terrain coverage issues and the irregular terrain coverage issues. This paper focuses on coverage enhancement and network lifetime improvement for WSNs using clustering algorithm and Truncated Greedy Algorithm in falling and rising landscapes. Also, we have our main focus on irregular rising and falling landscapes. A Truncated Greedy Algorithm is utilized to improve the network lifetime and to minimize the economic cost. Added to this, we propose a clustering algorithm to improve the coverage of WSNs by alerting the packet loss.

The major aids of this paper are prescribed as follows:
a) We intensively scrutinize the relationship between the network lifetime and expected coverage enhancement for falling and rising landscapes. Our scrutiny gives underlying imminent for formative the suitable number of sensors to deploy that attains a necessary network lifetime and coverage enhancement in falling and rising landscapes. b) For irregular falling and rising landscapes, we design a truncated greedy algorithm along with Digital Elevation Model (DEM) to
achieve the maximum network lifetime and also low economic cost. c) We further propose a clustering algorithm in order to get maximum coverage enhancement by alerting the packet loss. To our finest knowledge, we are the first to study the irregular falling and rising landscapes network lifetime and coverage enhancement problem that how to evaluate the projected coverage enhancement by using clustering algorithm and the maximum network lifetime by using truncated greedy algorithm.

The remaining of this paper is planned as follows: Section-II highlights the allied works. Section-III nears sensor deployment models. Section-IV deals the model of irregular rising and falling landscapes. Section-V a) Studies the irregular rising and falling landscapes problem and gives appropriate algorithm for maximum network lifetime and minimum cost. b) Studies irregular rising and falling landscapes crisis and gives expected coverage enhancement. Section VI deals simulation authenticates and estimate our proposed algorithms. Section-VII ends with the conclusion.

II. ALLIED WORKS

a) 2D Plane Coverage

The computational geometry and Voronoi Diagrams are applied to study coverage for wireless sensor networks in author[4].The related and adequate conditions for grid-deployed sensor networks to wrap a bit square region in author[5].The rapport amongst the probability of a development area being K-covered, the number of sensor and sensing radius studied by author[6].The author [7],[8] achieved K-coverage under a dynamic topology and distributed algorithm was proposed for which the sensor nodes to choose the states(sleep or work) of themselves.

Though this work studies the network lifetime and coverage enhancement problem for the rising and falling landscapes and the surface of rising and falling landscapes is three-dimensional. So transition from 2D to 3D is not effortless. Besides, the 2D coverage results can be applied to the 3D surface would reason the coverage hole problem in author [9]. Hence 2D plane coverage results cannot be suitable for rising and falling landscapes.

b) 3D Space Coverage

In [10], [11], [12], sensors can be located liberally within a 3D volume for some real applications. The 2D solutions in [8] to 3D can be expanded by author [14], and each point is covered by at least k-sensor was checked. The coverage and connectivity issues in 3D networks were examined in paper [14]. Their target is to find a node placement strategy with cent percent coverage of a 3D space, when reducing the number of nodes required for observation. The full-coverage 3D systems with multiple connectivity construction crisis were studied by author [15]. In 3D volume, sensors can be located freely with in the whole 3D space in above works. In oceans, sensors can be seen almost everywhere in the space and it was free deployment in 3D space but deploy only on exposed surface. So the space coverage results cannot be used for rising and falling landscapes.

c) 3D Surface Coverage

In 3D surface, sensors can be deployed only on the surface was studied by some researchers lately. The surface coverage problem on a 3D terrain model by simulating sensor deployment in the author of [16] was studied. They did not give expected coverage theoretically. The authors of [9], proposed the surface coverage model and simplified expected coverage ratio can be derivated.In this work, triangulation model can be used which is related to DEM and for every sensor the variations in the surface are not substantial within the sensing region. This inference will reason the loss of precision.

In [1], the author proposed the Digital Elevation Model along with approximation algorithm (O (n^2)) for irregular terrain coverage problem. This work results in minimum network lifetime with high cost and minimum coverage ratio because of packet loss. In our proposed paper, different algorithm can be utilize along with DEM to improve coverage enhancement for rising and falling landscapes without the assumption utilized in [9] and with slight modification in [1].

III SENSOR DEPLOYMENT MODEL

In the design of wireless sensor networks (WSNs), the node deployment acts as a vital role. A various properties such as coverage and network lifetime are intended to maximize by the way of nodes positioned in the particular region. In this work, we think about the stochastic or random deployment of sensors. Here, the sensors can be throwing like a seed in irregular rising and falling landscapes. The most important reasons are a) the random deployment is used in both real applications as well as theoretical studies [17] of WSNs and b) It is hard to deploy hundreds of sensor nodes in complicated hilly regions [1].

In the random or stochastic deployment approach, sensor nodes are deployed on the rising and falling landscapes. In the equivalent region their projections are equally and autonomously dispensed.

IV. MODEL OF RISING AND FALLING LANDSCAPES

The model which used in this work is Digital Terrain Model, is a digital model and the representation of three dimensional terrain’s surface and exposed terrain surface without any objects like vegetation and construction. A DTM can be represented as a grid of squares or triangular irregular network (TIN) has already analyzed in [1] paper.
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V. PROPOSED ALGORITHMS FOR IRREGULAR RISING AND FALLING LANDSCAPES

In previous work [1] they were used O (m^2n^2) time algorithm. The difficulty of this algorithm is within polynomial time, it consumes much time, reduces battery life and increase economic cost by the usage of more number of nodes. Obviously our algorithm gives minimum usage of nodes by scheduling the nodes (active and sleep) and it truncate the sleep node information. This minimizes the cost, time and improves the batter life. Even though packet loss is happening that can be alerted by clustering algorithm.

a) Truncated Greedy Algorithm (TGA)

TGA is centralized architecture and explicit. It prohibits the sleep node information. In this truncated octahedron placement strategy, the transmission range must be at least 1.7889 times the sensing range in order to maintain connectivity among nodes. If the transmission range is between 1.4142 and 1.7889 times the sensing range, then an O (m^2n^2) should be used. Although the required number of nodes in the O (m^2n^2) time placement strategies is the same, this number is 43.25% higher than the number of nodes required by the truncated octahedron placement strategy. We verify by simulation that our placement strategies indeed guarantee limited usage of nodes. We believe that our approach and our results presented in this paper could be used for improving network lifetime.

Steps

1: Function RESOURCEAWARE (Integer k, Sensor m, List R)
2: Peer List fmg
// Step 1: The broadcast step
3: Send a message with m’s identity m: ID, sensing area
m: Area, and object count m:Count to m’s neighbor Peers
4: If Receive a message from a peer p, i.e., (p: ID, p: Area, p: count) then
5: Add the message to Peer List
6: If m has found an adequate number of objects, then
7: Send a notification message to m’s neighbors
8: end if
9: If some m’s neighbor has not found an adequate number of objects, then
10: Forward the message to m’s neighbors
11: end if
12: end if
// Step 2: The cloaked area step
13: Compute a score for each peer in Peer List
14: Repeatedly select the peer with the highest score from Peer List to S until the total number of objects in S is at least k
15: Area a minimum bounding rectangle of the sensor nodes in S
16: N the total number of objects in S
// Step 3: The validation step
17: If No containment relationship with Area and R 2 R then
18: Send area; NP to the peers within Area and the server
19: else if m’s sensing area is contained by some R 2 R then
20: Randomly select a R0 2 R such that R0: Area contains m’s sensing area
21: Send R0 to the peers within R0: Area and the server
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organized network. In his is time versus energy graph, y-axis clearly shows that when the energy of battery usage decreases which tends to increase period of system life in x-axis.

Steps

I. Initialize
   1. $S_{abr} \leftarrow \{ \square : \square \text{ lies within the cluster range}\}$
   2. Broadcast $S_{abr}$
   3. $\text{is_final_CH} \leftarrow \text{FALSE}$
   4. Get the node ID of the packet loss node among its neighbors
   5. Send alert to sender node

II. Repeat
   UNTIL $\text{CH}_{\text{previous}} = 1$

III. Finalize
   1. If $(\text{is_final_CH}=\text{FALSE})$
   2. If $((S_{\text{CH}} \leftarrow \square : \square \text{ is a final CH}) \neq \emptyset)$
   3. Our $\text{cluster_head} \leftarrow \text{packet_loss} (S_{\text{CH}})$
   4. Alert_cluster (cluster_head_ID, Node ID)
   5. Else

6. Find the packet loss node among its neighbors as CH
7. If (cluster_head_ID = Node ID)
8. Cluster_head_msg (Node ID, final_CH, packet)
9. Else
10. Alert_cluster (cluster_head_ID, Node ID)
11. Else
12. Cluster_head_msg (Node ID, final_CH, packet)

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS OF IRREGULAR RISING AND FALLING LANDSCAPES

A. Simulation Results of Truncated Greedy Algorithm

I) NAM

The below result shows some nodes are red color for indicating the nodes are active and some nodes are green for indicating the nodes are inactive and the black circles for indicating the sensing process. Generally in WSNs all the nodes in active state. But here after applying our Truncated Greedy Algorithm (TGA), we make some nodes in active mode and some nodes in sleep mode and also this algorithm excludes the sleep node value and takes only the active node value. In this algorithm, we can schedule the nodes according to our needs. Here by usage of limited number of nodes, the network life time is increased and economic cost is minimized.

II) X-Graph

This is time versus energy graph, y-axis clearly shows that
B. Simulation Results of Clustering Algorithm

I) NAM

The below result shows some sensors are deployed randomly. In that only one node acts as a head which indicated in green. It supervises the neighboring node whether a packet reaching the receiver node which is in blue. If not it alerts the sender which is in red to redo again for packet lost node. So the packet lost has greatly reduced by this algorithm. Hence the coverage has also enhanced greatly.

II) X-Graph

This is packet loss versus coverage graph; y-axis clearly shows that when the packet loss reduces which tends to maximum coverage period in x-axis.

The both algorithm which we used here is centralized architecture. All the nodes depend on one main node. If one node fails, sometimes it collapses the entire system. This problem can be overcome by the algorithm which has distributed nature.

VII CONCLUSION

This work studied the irregular rising and falling landscape coverage and network lifetime problem. As to the improvement of network lifetime, we implemented Truncated Greedy Algorithm and as to the improvement of coverage, we implemented clustering algorithm. The results of this paper are on the basis of centralized architecture. But we think our implemenets can be extended to the distributed architecture.
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