Abstract - The nonlinear inverted pendulum system is a classical control problem in control theory and robotics. It is used as a benchmark control problem to test various control techniques. In this paper a controller is proposed based on proportional integral derivative control and discrete sliding mode (DSM) controller based on fast output sampling (FOS) to control the nonlinear inverted pendulum system. FOS is kind of Multirate output feedback (MROF), which samples the control input and sensor output of the system at different rates. Here the controller aim is to move the cart to a desired position and balancing the pendulum in upright position. Here the modeling and simulation of the controller are carried out using MATLAB-SIMULINK and simulation results are compared with two PID and linear quadratic regulator control schemes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The inverted pendulum is a highly nonlinear unstable system, which is termed as a benchmark control problem by the international federation of automatic control theory committee. The simplest case of this system is cart-single inverted pendulum system. It has very good practical applications like missile launchers and segways, human walking etc. Here the system dynamics resembles the missile launcher or rocket launcher dynamics hence it is an area of interest for the control engineers. Since the system is unstable and nonlinear the controller design is challenging.

Here the aim of this paper is to design a controller such that the controller moves the cart to a desired position and pendulum stabilizes in upright equilibrium position [1-4]. There are so many control techniques available in the literature to control the inverted pendulum based on Fuzzy logic, Artificial Neural Networks, PSO and Genetic algorithm and artificial intelligence [5-7]. This paper investigates a controller based on PID control and FOS based DSMC. PID control is the simplest yet very efficient controller used to control the cart position. FOS is a kind of multirate output feedback (MROF) technique in which control input and system outputs are samples at different rates. In this approach system output is sampled at a faster rate hence it is called as fast output sampling. In recent years DSMC based on FOS gained much attention DSMC is a counter part of continuous sliding mode control. In this approach control law is designed based on FOS used to stabilize the pendulum position. Here DSMC ensure a sliding behavior if there is a motion confined to a sliding manifold which is reached in finite time.

This paper is organized in 5 sections. Section 1 about introduction of the paper. Section 2 deals with the mathematical model of the inverted cart-pendulum system. In section 3 the control method of PID and DSM based on FOS has been discussed briefly. Section 4 deals with modeling of system with MATLAB-SIMULINK, and simulation results. In section 5 conclusions . Brief list of references is given at last.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

2.1 Inverted pendulum system equations

The free body diagram of an inverted pendulum system is shown in Fig. 1. Here the pendulum is mounted on the dc motor driven cart [1-4]. The system dynamics of this nonlinear system can be derived as follows [1,2]. Since the pendulum rod has negligible mass it is assumed mass less, and is assumed as the hinge is friction free. The cart mass denoted as M and the ball point mass at the upper end of the inverted pendulum is denoted as m. Here an external force \( u(t) \) acted in \( x \)-direction on the cart, and a gravity force acts on the point mass in downwards. A coordinate
system considered as shown in Fig. 1, with $x(t)$ as the cart position and $\theta(t)$ as the pendulum tilt angle referenced to the vertically upward direction. By Newton’s law a force balance equation in the $x$-direction can be written as

$$M \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + m \frac{d^2 x_G}{dt^2} = u$$  

(1)

Here the center of gravity (COG) of the point mass is time-dependent and given by the coordinates, $(x_G, y_G)$. For pendulum, the location of the center of gravity of the point mass is written as

$$x_G = x + l \sin \theta \text{ and } y_G = l \cos \theta$$  

(2)

Where $l$ is the pendulum rod length

The resultant torque balance can be written as

$$(F_x \cos \theta)l - (F_y \sin \theta)l = (mg \sin \theta)l$$  

(4)

Where, $F_x = m \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} x_G$, and $F_y = m \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} y_G$ are the force components in $x$ and $y$ directions respectively.

After manipulation (4) is written as

$$m \ddot{x} \cos \theta + ml \ddot{\theta} = mg \sin \theta$$  

(5)

Equations (3) and (5) are the defining equations for this system. By manipulating these two equations to have only a single second derivative term in each equation. We get the cart position dynamics and the pendulum angle dynamics respectively as

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{u + m \sin \theta \dot{\theta}^2 - mg \cos \theta \sin \theta}{M + m - mx \cos^2 \theta}$$  

(6)

$$\ddot{\theta} = \frac{u \cos \theta - (M + m) g \sin \theta + ml (\cos \theta \sin \theta) \ddot{\theta}^2}{ml \cos^2 \theta - (M + m) l}$$  

(7)

The above equations (6) and (7) represent a nonlinear inverted pendulum system in mathematical form.

2.2 Nonlinear system state space equations of inverted pendulum

To find the numerical solution of the nonlinear inverted pendulum model, we need to represent the nonlinear equations (6) and (7) into standard state space form as

$$\frac{d}{dt}X = f(x, u, t)$$  

(8)

The state variables are considered as

$$x_1 = x, x_2 = \dot{x}, x_3 = \theta, x_4 = \dot{\theta} = \dot{x}_3$$  

(9)

Then, the inverted pendulum system in state space form can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt}X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \\ \dot{x}_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \end{bmatrix}$$  

(10)

Where

$$f_1 = x_2$$  

(11)

$$f_2 = \frac{u \cos x_1 - (M + m) g \sin x_1 + ml (\cos x_1 \sin x_1) x_2^2}{ml \cos^2 x_1 - (M + m) l}$$  

(12)

$$f_3 = x_4$$  

(13)

$$f_4 = \frac{u + m \sin x_1 x_2^2 - mg \cos x_1 \sin x_1}{M + m - mx \cos^2 x_1}$$  

(14)

Here both the pendulum angle $\theta$ and the cart position $x$ are the variables of interest, hence the output equation can be written as
\[ y = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \]  
(15)

Equations (10) and (15) give a complete state space representation of the nonlinear inverted pendulum-cart dynamic system.

2.3 Linear system state space equations of Inverted pendulum

Since our goal is to maintain the inverted pendulum at zero angle (\( \theta = 0 \)) or upright equilibrium position, hence the linearization is considered about this upright equilibrium point and the linear model for the system is derived by simply linearization of the nonlinear system given in (10). Since the system matrices (A, B) are zero for this case; and so every term is put into the nonlinear vector function, \( f(x, u, t) \), then the linearized form for the system becomes

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \delta X = J_x(x_0, u_0) \delta x + J_u(x_0, u_0) \delta u
$$

(16)

Where, the reference state is defined with the Pendulum stationary and upright with no input force. Then the initial conditions are, \( x_0 = 0 \) and \( u_0 = 0 \). Since the nonlinear vector function is rather complicated, the components of the Jacobian Matrices are determined systemically, term by term. The elements of the first second, third, and fourth columns of \( J_x(x_0, u_0) \) are given by

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_4} \\
\hline
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_4} \\
\end{array}
\]

respectively.

Thus, combining all these separate terms gives

\[
J_x(x_0, u_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{mg}{M} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(M+m)g}{ml} & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

(17)

For the derivative of the nonlinear terms with respect to \( u \), we have

\[
J_u(x_0, u_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_4} \end{bmatrix}
\]

(18)

Finally, after all these manipulations (16) may be written explicitly as

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \delta X = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \delta u
$$

(19)

This is the open loop linearized model for the Inverted pendulum with a cart force, \( \delta u(t) \). Thus, LTI system is in standard state space form. The equation (19) may be written in general as

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \delta X = A\delta X + B\delta u
$$

(20)

Equation (20) along with the output equation (15) represents the final linear model of the inverted Pendulum-cart system. This is the simplified model which is used to study the system behavior in general and to design DSMC.

3.1 PID and Fast Output Sampling Feedback Based Discrete-Time Sliding Mode Control

3.1.1 PID control

To stabilize the inverted pendulum in upright Position and to control the cart at desired position using PID and FOS based Discrete-Time Sliding Mode Control, a single PID controller is used.

The control loop of the system and the equation of PID control are given as

$$
u_c = K_p e_s(t) + K_i \int e_s(t) \ dt + K_d \frac{de_s(t)}{dt}
$$

(21)

Where \( e_s(t) \) is cart position error. Since the pendulum angle dynamics and cart position dynamics are coupled to each other so the change in any controller parameters affects both the pendulum angle and cart position hence makes the tuning tedious. Here tuning of controller parameters is carried using trial & error method by observing the responses of SIMULINK model to be the optimal.

3.1.2 Fast Output Sampling

In FOS, the state information of the system is computed from the output of the system by multirate observation of the output signal. The control signal is held constant during each sampling interval \( \{17-18\} \). As illustrated in Fig.3. Consider the system

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} &= Ax + B \\
y &= cx
\end{aligned}
\]

(22)

(23)

Linear time invariant, controllable and observable continuous system. Where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is state \( y \in \mathbb{R}^p \) is
output and \( u \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is control. Matrices A, B, C are system matrices.

When the system (22)-(23) is sampled at the rate of \( 1/\tau \), the equivalent discrete-time system is

\[
x_{k+1} = \Phi_\tau x_k + \Gamma_\tau u_k
\]

(24)

\[
y_k = Cx_k
\]

(25)

Where \( \Phi_\tau = e^{\Delta \tau} \) and \( \Gamma_\tau = \int_0^\tau e^{\Delta s} Bds \), also let

\[
x_{k+1} = \Phi_\tau x_k + \Gamma_\tau u_k
\]

(26)

be the discrete time system corresponding to the system (22) sampled at the rate of \( 1/\Delta \), where \( \Delta = T/N \). Let \( \nu \) denotes the observability index [27] of \((\Phi, C)\) and \( N > \nu \) in FOS output measurements are taken at time instants \( t = l\Delta, l = 0,1, \ldots, N - 1 \). The control signal which is applied during the interval \( k\tau \leq t < (k + 1)\tau \) is then constructed as a linear combination of the last output observations, then the fictitious lifted system can be constructed as

\[
x_{k+1} = \Phi_\nu x_k + \Gamma_\nu u_k
\]

(27)

\[
y_{k+1} = C_0 x_k + D_0 u_k
\]

(28)

Where \( C_0 \) and \( D_0 \) are given by

\[
C_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
    C \\
    C\Phi_\nu \\
    \vdots \\
    C\Phi_\nu^{N-1}
\end{bmatrix},
D_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
    0 \\
    C\Gamma_\nu \\
    \vdots \\
    C\Gamma_\nu^{N-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(29)

Let F be an initial state feedback gain such that the closed loop system \((\Phi_\tau + \Gamma_\tau F)\) has no eigen values at the origin. Then for this state feedback one can define a fictitious measurement matrix

\[
C = (C_0 + D_0 F)(\Phi_\tau + \Gamma_\tau F)^{-1}
\]

(30)

Which satisfies the fictitious measurement equation

\[
y_k = Cx_k
\]

(31)

The control law is of the form

\[
u_k = Ly_k \quad k\tau \leq t < (k + 1)\tau
\]

(32)

For \( L \) to realize the effect of \( F \) it must satisfy

\[
x_{k+1} = (\Phi_\tau + \Gamma_\tau F)x_k = (\Phi_\tau + \Gamma_\tau L C)x_k
\]

(33)

That is \( LC = F \)

(34)

For \( N \geq \nu \), the matrix \( C \) has full rank and that for \( N = \nu \), \( L \) is uniquely determined from (34). However, if \( N > \nu \), \( L \) thus obtained is not unique. Whatever is the case, \( L \) obtained from (34) realizes the state feedback gain \( \Gamma[19] \) at time \( t=0 \), the control signal \( u_k = u_0 \) for \( 0 \leq t < \tau \). Cannot be computed from (32) as the output measurements are not available for \( t=0 \). However, \( u_0 \) can be arbitrarily selected if the eigen values of \((L_\Delta - F\Gamma)\), are in unit circle in \( Z \) plane since under this condition, the initial error in input will slowly vanish.

3.1.3 A Modified Approach for Fast Output Sampling Feedback

Consider the lifted system in (27) and (28), since the system is assumed to be observable the lifted output matrix \( C_0 \) is of rank \( n \) if the value of \( N \) is chosen as greater than the observability index [27] of the system then for a particular output matrix necessarily \( N_p \geq n \) and \( C_0 \) would be of dimensions \( N_p \times u \). Thus from (27) and (28) the state vector \( x_k \) can be obtained in terms of \( y_k \) and \( u_{k-1} \) as

\[
x_k = L_y y_k + L_u u_{k-1}
\]

(35)

Where \( L_y = \Phi_\nu (C_0^T C_0)\) and \( \nu \)

(36)

\[
L_u = \Gamma_\nu - \Phi_\nu (C_0^T C_0)\)

(37)

It is evident from (35) that the state of the system (22) can be determined exactly from the past measurements of the output and input. Now state feedback control, designed in 3.2.1 can be converted into an output feedback based control by simply substituting for \( x_k \) as

\[
u_k = FL_y y_k + FL_u u_{k-1}
\]

(38)

3.1.4 Discrete sliding mode control

A. Overview of Discrete-Time Sliding Mode Control

Discrete-time sliding mode control (DSMC) is discrete-time counterpart of continuous-time sliding mode control (SMC). For DSMC the structures of the control are similar to that of continuous-time SMC.
1) Design of sliding surface: If $M \times n$, there exist a transformation $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for system (24) such that

$$TT^T = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Under this transformation, the system (24) is transformed into regular form given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{x}_{1k+1} \\ \overline{x}_{2k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{x}_{1k} \\ \overline{x}_{2k} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix} u_k$$

(39)

Where $\begin{bmatrix} \overline{x}_{1k} \overline{x}_{2k} \end{bmatrix}^T = \overline{x}_k = TX_k$

(40)

Where $\overline{x}_{1k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ and $\overline{x}_{2k} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let us define a sliding function [24] for the system (39) of the form

$$\overline{s}_k = c^T \overline{x}_k$$

With the sliding function parameter $c= [K,E_m]$.

(41)

Where $k$ is mx (n-m) matrix and $E_m$ is identity matrix of order $m$. The system dynamics during sliding mode is characterized by the sliding surface. Then the sliding surface is given by

$$\overline{x}_{2k} = -K\overline{x}_{1k}$$

(42)

Where $\overline{x}_{2k}$ constitutes the last $m$ states of $\overline{x}_k$. Then, the sliding mode dynamics of $\overline{x}_{1k}$ can be represented as

$$\overline{x}_{1k+1} = \phi_{11} \overline{x}_{1k} + \phi_{12} K \overline{x}_{1k}$$

$$= (\phi_{11} - \phi_{12} K)\overline{x}_{1k}$$

(43)

From (43) one can observe that if $K$ is so designed that the eigenvalues of $\phi_{11} - \phi_{12} K$ are assigned within the unit circle then $\overline{x}_{1k}$ is stabilized during sliding phase. Consequently from (42) $\overline{x}_{2k}$ is also stable to the sliding surface. Thus, the stability property of the sliding surface is achieved. Now the sliding surface for original system (27) can be expressed as

$$s_k = c^T TX_k = c^T x_k = 0$$

(44)

2) Sliding mode control law design: The discrete-time sliding mode control law can be obtained by satisfying the reaction law [23]

$$s_{k+1} = 0$$

(45)

Now from (27), (44) and (45) one can get

$$s_{k+1} = c^T \phi_T x_k + c^T \Gamma_T u_k = 0$$

(46)

Resulting in equivalent DSMC law as

$$u_k = F_s x_k$$

(47)

Where

$$F_s = -(c^T \Gamma_T)^{-1} c^T \phi_T$$

(48)

B. Fast output Sampling Based Discrete-Time Sliding Mode Control

The above discrete-time sliding mode control law (47) is combined with fast output sampling feedback control using as

$$u_k = F_s L_y y_k + F_s L_u u_{k-1}$$

(49)

Where $F_s$ is determined using (48). Similarly sliding surface (44) can be expressed in terms of multirate output observations and past input as

$$s_k = c^T L_y y_k + c^T L_u u_{k-1}$$

(50)

4 SIMULATION & RESULTS

The MATLAB-SIMULINK models for the simulation of modeling, analysis, and control of nonlinear inverted pendulum-cart dynamical system has been developed. The parameters of the cart inverted pendulum system setup are selected as [1,2,3]: the cart mass (M): 2.4 kg, and mass of the pendulum (m): 0.23 kg, the pendulum length (l): 0.36 m, the cart track length (L): $\pm$ 0.5 m, the cart & pole rotation friction coefficient is assumed negligible.

After linearization the system matrices are computed as below:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.9401 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 29.8615 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.4167 \\ 0 \\ -1.1574 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

And for FOS based discrete sliding mode controller the eigenvalues of A are (0, 0, 5.4646, -5.4646). Two eigenvalues are at origin and one is in the right half of s-plane. Hence, the system is fully controllable and observable, the observability index being 4.

Assume the sampling period $\tau = 0.068$ s. Thus, $\Delta = 0.017$ s. The system (51)-(52) is discretized with sampling period $\tau$ and state feedback gain matrix $F$ is calculated using $Q=0.1E$ and $R=1$ as

$$F = [0.3162 1.4440 56.5926 10.4016]$$

Using this state feedback gain matrix, closed loop eigenvalue of the discrete $\tau$ system are observed to be (0.6810, 0.6980, 0.9828, 0.2976) i.e. within the unit circle in Z-plane. Now $C_0$ and $D_0$ are determined using (29) for computing $C$ from (30) and hence $L$ from (34). Which is found to be

$$L = [-4.5953 -111.6394 -5.00771 -21.1767 -0.2141 53.6600 9.9734 114.4196]$$

(53)

Since the system has only one input, it is verified that the eigenvalue of $(L_0D_0 - F_0^T)$ is in unit circle. Hence, $u_0$ is selected as zero for $\tau > 0.068$ s, this condition is violated and for $\tau < 0.068$ s, magnitude of fast output sampling gain increases. Hence, $\tau$ is taken as 0.068 s. Further, for a modified approach of FOS, $L_u$ and $L_y$ are found from (36) and (37) as

$$L_y = [-17.5231 -176.8662 -5.8085 -49.0201 5.9197 78.4026 17.6265 206.5024]$$

(54)
\[ L_u = -0.4483. \]  
\[ \text{(55)} \]

And control law given by (38), based on output observations and past input is applied to IP system.

For FOS feedback based DSMC, discrete-time system is transformed into regular form and sliding surface parameters are determined using same values of \( Q \) and \( R \) as, \( e^T = [1.2224 \ 1.8016 \ 6.8178 \ 1.4815] \). \[ \text{(56)} \]

Finally, control law (49) and sliding surface (50) are formulated and the controller based on PID and FOS based DSMC is implemented and the tuned PID controller parameters of the control scheme is given as in Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 PID controller parameters of control scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID+SLIDING MODE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SIMULINK model for control of nonlinear inverted pendulum system for PID and FOS based DSMC controller shown on Fig.4 and result in Fig.5 and comparison of results shown in Fig 6.

![Figure 4: PID AND FOS based DSM controller](image)

![Figure 5: PID AND DSMC RESULT](image)

![Figure 6: Comparision of Results](image)

The SIMULATION model for control of nonlinear inverted pendulum system based on PID and FOS based DSMC is shown in Fig.4 and. In this approach output along with the input are considered for sampling for FOS feedback to DSMC. The simulation results are shown in Fig.5 and observed that pendulum and cart stabilizes very quickly and cart reaches the desired position quickly compared to both cases of two PID [26] and two PID and LQR Methods [26] shown in Fig 6.
5. CONCLUSION
In this paper control technique based on PID and fast output sampling feedback based Discrete sliding mode controller is investigated using non-linear model of inverted pendulum system. The control laws are designed and simulated and results are obtained. The PID and modified FOS feedback based DSM controller simulation shows better result compared with two PID controller[26] and two PID and LQR[26] controller.
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