Published by :
https://www.ijert.org/
An International Peer-Reviewed Journal

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

Comprehensive Analysis of Policies for Commercial, Industrial, and Logistics Sectors in Developing Economies

A Policy and Systems Based Assessment of Integrated Infrastructure Planning

Saurabh Srivastava ,Varun Singh
Master In Infrastructure Planning and Management
Faculty Of Architecture and Planning, AKTU.
Lucknow, India

PL. Arundhatee Mishra
Assistant Professor
Faculty Of Architecture and Planning, AKTU.
Lucknow, India

Abstract - **This extensive research report provides a rigorous, systems-based analysis of the infrastructure policies and programs governing critical economic sectors in emerging economies, with a specific focus on the Indian context. Adopting the perspective of strategic infrastructure planning, the paper dissects the regulatory frameworks, financing mechanisms, and spatial planning norms for shopping malls and Multiplexes, Information and Technology (IT) Parks, Theme Parks, Special Economic Zones (SEZs), Free Enterprise Zones (FEZs), Dry Ports, and Free Ports. The study synthesizes data from landmark government initiatives—including the PM Gati Shakti National Master Plan, the PM Ekta Mall initiative, and the National Logistics Policy—to evaluate their efficacy in fostering multimodal connectivity, economic equity, and sustainable urban development. By applying theoretical lenses such as the PESTLE analysis and the Access-Process-Outcome (APO) equity framework, the research highlights the paradigm shift from siloed project execution to integrated, master-planned ecosystems. The findings underscore the critical role of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs), regulatory transparency acts like RERA, and the harmonization of central and state-level incentives in creating resilient infrastructure assets that attract Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) while promoting domestic industrial competitiveness.**

Keywords—Infrastructure Planning, Urban Governance, Special Economic Zones, PM GatiShakti, Public-Private Partnerships, Logistics Policy, RERA, Sustainable Development, Infrastructure Finance.

I. INTRODUCTION

The trajectory of economic development in the 21st century is inextricably linked to the quality and efficiency of physical infrastructure. In rapid-growth economies, infrastructure planning has evolved from a utilitarian function—providing basic roads and utilities—into a sophisticated strategic discipline that integrates engineering, economics, urban planning, and policy governance.[1] This research report presents an exhaustive examination of the specific policies and programs that shape the built environment across diverse, high-impact sectors: retail (malls), technology (IT parks), entertainment (theme parks), and trade logistics (SEZs and ports).

The contemporary approach to infrastructure management recognizes these assets not merely as concrete structures but as complex socio-technical systems. The "systems approach" to infrastructure planning posits that physical assets must be analyzed in the context of their connectivity, regulatory environment, and socio-economic impact.[2]For

instance, a Special Economic Zone (SEZ) is not just an industrial estate; it is a node in a global supply chain, heavily dependent on the efficiency of upstream logistics (Dry Ports) and the clarity of downstream trade policies (Free Trade Agreements). Similarly, the viability of

Large-format retail infrastructure like shopping malls is governed not only by consumer demand but by intricate state-level land use policies, fire safety codes, and foreign investment norms.

This report is structured to provide a deep-dive analysis of each sector, juxtaposing statutory frameworks against theoretical models of governance and equity. It leverages the "Infrastructure Governance Assessment Framework" to evaluate how decisions are made, how risks are allocated in Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs), and how sustainability goals are integrated into master plans. Furthermore, the analysis incorporates the PESTLE framework—examining Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, and Legal factors—to provide a holistic view of the barriers and enablers in infrastructure development.

The subsequent sections will explore the granular details of policy instruments, such as the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (RERA), the "Make in India" initiative, and the "PM Gati Shakti" digital platform. By dissecting these mechanisms, this report aims to offer actionable insights for policymakers, urban planners, and infrastructure developers, demonstrating how cohesive policy

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

formulation can bridge the gap between planning intent and operational reality.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS AND METHODOLOGY

To understand the efficacy of infrastructure policies, one must look beyond the text of government notifications and analyze the underlying theoretical structures that guide planning and management. This section establishes the academic and analytical frameworks utilized throughout this report.

A. Systems Approach to Infirastructure Planning

The traditional "structure-oriented" approach to infrastructure, which focuses on individual project components in isolation, has proven inadequate for dealing with the complexity of modern urban environments. Instead, this report adopts a "systems approach," as advocated in advanced infrastructure literature.

- **Interconnectivity:** This theory suggests that infrastructure sectors are interdependent. The success of an IT Park (discussed in Section IV) is contingent upon the reliability of the power grid (energy infrastructure) and the efficiency of the transport network (mobility infrastructure). The PM Gati Shakti National Master Plan is a practical manifestation of this theory, aiming to break down departmental silos and integrate data on trunk and utility infrastructure into a single digital platform.
- **Strategic Opportunism:** Effective planning often involves "strategic opportunism," where planners utilize portfolio approaches to manage uncertainty. In the context of SEZs or Theme Parks, this means creating flexible master plans that can adapt to changing global economic conditions or tourism trends, rather than rigid, static blueprints.

B. The PESTLE Analytical Framework

Infrastructure projects operate within a volatile macro-environment. The PESTLE framework provides a structured method to analyze these external pressures.

- **Political:** Government stability, fiscal policies (like the SASCI scheme for states), and flagship initiatives like "Make in India" drive investment priorities.
- **Economic:** Factors such as GDP growth, inflation rates, and FDI policies (e.g., 100% FDI in construction) determine the financial viability of long-gestation projects.
- **Social:** Demographic trends, urbanization rates, and the need for equitable access (e.g., PM Ekta Mall for artisans) influence the type of infrastructure required.
- **Technological:** The integration of smart grids in IT parks and digital customs clearances in Dry Ports represents the technological dimension.[3]
- **Environmental:** Climate change risks necessitate "Green Infrastructure" policies, mandating renewable energy use and sustainable waste management in industrial zones.

  Legal: Regulatory acts like RERA and the SEZ Act constitute the legal backbone, defining compliance and dispute resolution mechanisms.

C. Infirastructure Governance and Equity

The quality of governance is the strongest predictor of infrastructure outcomes. The "Infrastructure Governance Assessment Framework" highlights the need for transparent decision-making processes, particularly in the selection and procurement phases of PPP projects.
Simultaneously, the "Access, Process, and Outcome (APO)" framework is crucial for evaluating equity. Infrastructure must be accessible to underserved populations (Access), involve stakeholders in planning (Process), and lead to fair economic gains (Outcome).8 This lens is particularly relevant when analyzing policies like the "PM Ekta Mall," which seeks to democratize access to high-end retail spaces for rural craftspeople.

III. INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES FOR SHOPPING MALLS AND MULTIPLEXES

Before The retail and entertainment sector in developing economies has undergone a seismic transformation, shifting from unorganized, fragmented high-street shops to large-format, organized shopping malls and Multiplexes. This transition is not organic; it is the result of deliberate policy interventions, urban planning reforms, and the liberalization of investment norms.

A. The Central Policy Vacuum and State-Level Dominance

Unlike sectors like highways or railways, the shopping mall sector does not have a dedicated, uniform national policy. Instead, it falls largely under the purview of state-level regulations, urban development authorities, and general real estate laws.[3] This decentralized structure creates a patchwork of regulations where developers must navigate varying Floor Space Index (FSI) norms, zoning codes, and labor laws depending on the state of implementation.

However, overarching central initiatives significantly influence the investment climate.

.

B. The PM Ekta Mall (Unity Mall) Initiative: Bridging Equity and Infirastructure

In a significant move to integrate infrastructure development with cultural preservation and local economic growth, the

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

central government announced the establishment of "Unity Malls" or "PM Ekta Malls" in the Union Budget 2023-24.

- **Strategic Objective:** The primary goal is to promote and sell "One District, One Product" (ODOP), Geographical Indication (GI) products, and local handicrafts. This policy directly addresses the "Equity of Access" [8] by providing rural artisans with prime retail real estate that is typically priced out of their reach in private commercial malls.
- **Location Strategy:** The policy mandates that these malls be established in high visibility,

high-traffic zones such as state capitals, financial capitals, or major tourist centers. This strategic siting ensures that the infrastructure benefits from the existing "catchment area" of urban consumers and tourists, enhancing its economic viability.

- **Fiscal Federalism and Funding:** To incentivize states to prioritize these projects, the central government provides 50-year interest-free loans under the "Scheme for Special Assistance to States for Capital Investment" (SASCI). This financial engineering removes the immediate capital burden from state exchequers, encouraging rapid adoption. States like Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, and Karnataka have already initiated these projects.

Policy Instrument	Governing Body	Key Infrastructure Provision	Strategic Impact
PM Ekta Mall	Central Govt (Finance Ministry)	CAPEX funding via 50-year interest-free loans	Promoting local artisans (ODOP) and equitable access.
RERA, 2016	Central Act / State Authority	Mandatory registration (>500 sqm), Carpet Area pricing	Transparency, investor confidence, fair pricing for tenants.
FDI Policy	DPIIT (Commerce Ministry)	100% FDI in Construction Development	Capital infusion, global construction standards.
Retail Trade Policy	State Governments (e.g., Maharashtra)	Additional Floor Space Index (FSI)	Increased project viability in dense urban areas.
Building Codes	Urban Local Bodies (e.g., DDA)	Fire safety, Parking (ECS), Waste Management	Operational safety, traffic mitigation, sustainability.

Operational Model: The policy encourages a Public-Private Partnership (PPP) model for operation and maintenance. While the government funds the capital expenditure (CAPEX), private players are engaged to manage the facility, ensuring professional standards of facility management, marketing, and cleanliness, which are often lacking in purely government-run emporiums.

C. The Regulatory Backbone: RERA, 2016

The **Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (RERA)** is the single most transformative piece of legislation for the commercial real estate sector. While often discussed in the context of housing, its implications for shopping mall infrastructure are Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Global Standards

The liberalization of FDI Policy has been a catalyst for the modernization of retail infrastructure.

- **100% Automatic Route:** The government allows 100% FDI under the automatic route for construction development projects. This has allowed global players (e.g., Blackstone, GIC) to enter the Indian market.
- **Impact on Infrastructure Quality:** The entry of foreign capital brings with it global best practices in construction, fire safety, and facility management with it. International investors demand strict adherence to "Urban Planning and Building Codes," including National Building Code (NBC) standards for fire suppression systems, specific Equivalent Car Space (ECS) norms for parking, and accessibility features for the differently abled.

Table 1 summarizes the key policy instruments affecting the shopping mall sector.

- **Transparency and Registration:** RERA mandates that any project exceeding five hundred square meters or comprising more than eight apartments (units/shops) must be registered with the state RERA authority. This registration requires the disclosure of all project approvals, timelines, and financial details, thereby mitigating the risk of
- "fly-by-night" developers who historically plagued the sector.
- **Standardization of "Carpet Area":** Prior to RERA, developers sold space based on "Super Built-up Area," an opaque metric that included common spaces, resulting in inflated costs for retailers. RERA mandates pricing based on "Carpet Area" (the net usable floor area). For mall tenants (retailers), this ensures they pay strictly for the infrastructure they utilize, improving the unit economics of retail stores and reducing the break-even period.
- **Grievance Redressal:** The Act establishes a speedy grievance redressal mechanism. This legal infrastructure increases the confidence of institutional investors and banks, who are now more willing to lend to mall projects, knowing that a regulatory backstop exists.

D. State-Level Incentives and Urban Planning Norms

State governments utilize specific policy levers to attract retail infrastructure, primarily through land-use reforms.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

- **FSI Incentives (Maharashtra Case Study):** Maharashtra's Retail Trade Policy (2016) offers additional Floor Space Index (FSI) for retail and shopping center developments. By allowing developers to build more floor area on a given plot of land, the policy reduces the per-square-foot land cost, making malls viable even in expensive urban centers. However, this is conditional: the land must be explicitly earmarked for retail/entertainment in the city development plan, ensuring alignment with broader urban zoning.[3]
- **Multiplex "Mega Project" Status (Punjab Case Study):** The Jalandhar Development Authority Guidelines illustrate how states use "Mega Project" status to drive large-scale investment. Specific conditions apply: a minimum investment threshold, a minimum land area (e.g., restricted to three acres for concessions), and a minimum number of screens. Projects meeting these criteria receive benefits like exemption from entertainment tax or electricity duty for a fixed period. This policy is designed to discourage small, inefficient standalone cinemas and encourage modern, integrated multiplexes.

IV. FOR INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY (IT) PARKS

IT Parks represent the physical manifestation of the knowledge economy. Unlike traditional industrial estates, these parks require high-grade "soft" infrastructure (bandwidth, data security) alongside robust "hard" infrastructure (power, transport). The policy ecosystem for IT parks is designed to create self-contained, high efficiency enclaves.

B. Integrated National Master Planning: PM Gati Shakti

The **PM Gati Shakti National Master Plan** is the overarching framework influencing the location and connectivity of IT parks.

- **Multimodal Integration:** Gati Shakti integrates various infrastructure schemes to improve connectivity. For an IT park, this means ensuring that the site is well-connected to airports (for client visits) and mass transit systems (for the workforce).
- **Digital Coordination:** The plan provides a digital platform with spatial data on trunk and utility infrastructure. Developers can use this to identify where optical fiber cables and power transmission lines are located, facilitating coordinated development and reducing the cost of bringing utilities to the site.

C. The Role ofi Software Technology Parks ofi India (STPI)

STPI is a nodal agency that provides the critical "technological infrastructure" required for IT operations.

- **Data Communication:** STPI establishes centers that provide High-Speed Data Communication (HSDC) services, which are the lifeline of IT firms. This centralized infrastructure lowers the entry barrier for smaller IT companies that cannot afford independent satellite links.
- **Incubation Facilities:** STPI centers often include "plug-and-play" incubation infrastructure for start-ups, offering ready-to-use office space with pre-installed connectivity and power backup.
  Regulatory Shielding: STPI units benefit from a simplified regulatory regime, particularly for 100% Export Oriented Units (EOUs), insulating them from some of the bureaucratic friction of the domestic economy.

D. State-Level Infrastructure Obligations: The "Trunk" Concept

While the central government manages connectivity and exports, state governments are responsible for the physical land and utilities.

- **Trunk Infrastructure:** Most state IT policies mandate that the government is responsible for providing "trunk infrastructure"—roads, power, water, and sewerage—up to the boundary of the IT park. This "last mile" connectivity is a critical incentive, as it significantly reduces the development risk for private investors.
- **Land and Zoning:** States often provide land at subsidized rates or offer stamp duty exemptions for IT park land acquisition. Zoning regulations are strictly enforced to ensure that IT parks are in non-polluting zones, maintaining an environment conducive to intellectual work.
- **FSI and Vertical Growth:** To accommodate the high density of employees (IT sectors often have densities of 100 sq. ft. per person), states grant higher FSI limits (often
  50-100% higher than standard commercial zones). This allows for vertical growth, maximizing the utilization of expensive urban land.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

E. Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in IT Infrastructure

The development of IT parks increasingly follows the **Build-Operate-Transfer (DBFOT)** model.

- **Mechanism:** The government provides the land (as equity or lease), while the private partner brings the capital to design and build the Grade-A office space. The private partner operates the park for a concession period (e.g., 30-60 years), collecting rentals, before transferring the assets back to the government.
  Selection Process: Partners are selected through a transparent competitive bidding process, ensuring that the most capable developers with the strongest balance sheets are chosen.

F. Incentive Structures and Sustainability

- **Fiscal Incentives:** To attract anchor tenants, states offer employment-linked benefits (e.g., reimbursement of EPF contributions for local hires) and capital subsidies on fixed assets. Support for patent filing and quality certifications (ISO, CMMI) is also common.
- **Green Infrastructure:** Modern IT Park policies emphasize "Sustainable Infrastructure." This includes mandates for LEED/GRIHA certification, on-site solar power generation, and Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) water treatment systems. These features not only reduce the environmental footprint but also appeal to global MNC tenants with strict ESG commitments.
- **Core vs. Non-Core Ratio:** A critical policy nuance is the regulation of land use within the park. Policies define a strict ratio (e.g., 80:20) for Core IT activities versus non-core support facilities (residential, retail, recreation). This prevents the misuse of subsidized IT land for general real estate speculation.

V. INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES FOR THEME PARKS

Theme parks are large-scale tourism infrastructure projects that require massive land parcels, specialized safety engineering, and high-capacity utility connections. The policy framework focuses on safety, visitor experience, and tourism promotion.

G. Master Planning and Visitor Flow Dynamics

Infrastructure policy for theme parks dictates a comprehensive approach to Master Planning that goes beyond mere construction.

- **Visitor Flow and Zoning:** The master plan must be designed to optimize visitor movement. Policies often require traffic impact assessments to ensure that the influx of thousands of visitors does not paralyze local road networks. Internally, the park must be zoned to separate "On-Stage" (guest areas) from "Back-Stage" (logistics, maintenance, staff) areas to preserve the immersive experience.
- **Thematic Integration:** Unlike standard construction, theme park infrastructure must support a narrative. The engineering of buildings, queues, and utilities must be disguised or integrated into the theme, requiring specialized architectural standards.

H. Safety, Security, and Surveillance Infrastructure

Given the substantial risk associated with mechanical rides and large crowds, safety policies are stringent.

- **Access Control:** Robust physical infrastructure—turnstiles, perimeter fencing, and vehicle crash barriers—is mandatory to control access and protect against external threats.[3]
- **Surveillance Systems:** Policies typically mandate the installation of extensive video surveillance and metal detector systems. This "digital overlay" is essential for monitoring crowd density and responding to emergencies.
  Emergency Preparedness: The infrastructure must include designated evacuation routes, emergency communication networks, and on-site medical response centers. Regular structural audits of riders by certified engineers are a statutory requirement.

I. Fiscal Incentives and Tourism Policy

After Governments recognize theme parks as anchor assets for tourism and extend numerous benefits.

- **Infrastructure Status:** Granting "Infrastructure Status" to theme park projects allows developers to access cheaper, longer-term bank loans, improving financial viability.
- **Subsidies and Tax Waivers:** States may offer capital subsidies (a percentage of fixed capital investment) and exemptions from stamp duty or entertainment tax for a specific period (e.g., 5-10 years). This is crucial for offs flying the high initial CAPEX.

  Skill Development: To ensure a steady supply of trained workforce, policies often support the establishment of hospitality and park management training centers, sometimes funding these through skill development grants.

VI. INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES FOR SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES (SEZ)

Special Economic Zones are demarcated geographical areas where business and trade laws differ from the rest of the country (Domestic Tariff Area - DTA). They are designed to be export hubs, passing the infrastructural and regulatory bottlenecks of the domestic economy.

A. The Enclave Model: Processing vs. Non-Processing Areas

The spatial planning of an SEZ is legally defined by the **SEZ Act, 2005**, which mandates a separation of activities.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

- **Processing Area:** This is the bonded zone dedicated to manufacturing or service exports. Infrastructure here includes factory sheds, custom offices, and warehouses. Strict access control is enforced to prevent duty-free goods from leaking into the domestic market.
- **Non-Processing Area:** Policies mandate the development of supporting infrastructure—residential townships, schools, hospitals, and recreation centers—within the non-processing area. This requirement aims to create self-sufficient "integrated townships," reducing the commute burden on employees and minimizing strain on external municipal infrastructure.

B. *Governance: Single Window Clearance*

The defining feature of SEZ policy is the **Single Window Clearance** mechanism, designed to eliminate bureaucratic hurdles.

- **Board of Approval (BoA):** A central body that provides a single point of approval for the establishment of the SEZ.
- **Unit Approval Committee (UAC):** Located at the zonal level, the UAC grants clearances for sefling up individual units, importing raw materials, and other operational permits. This decentralized decision-making structure significantly reduces project gestation periods.

C. *Infirastructure and Connectivity*

- **Utility Independence:** SEZ developers are often required to be utility-independent. This includes sefling up captive power plants (with grid connectivity for surplus sale) and independent water supply/treatment systems. Policies encourage the use of desalination plants or recycled water to ensure water security without depleting local resources.
- **Last-Mile Connectivity:** A major focus of current policy is linking SEZs to industrial corridors and ports. The lack of robust rail/road links was a failure point for early SEZs; new guidelines emphasize proximity to National Highways and rail sidings.

D. *Policy Evolution: From SEZ to DESH*

The government is considering replacing the SEZ Act with the **Development of Enterprise and Services Hub (DESH)** Bill.
Shift in Philosophy: The SEZ Act focused strictly on "Net Foreign Exchange" earnings. The proposed DESH framework aims to be more flexible, focusing on broad economic activity and employment generation. It may allow for easier sales into the domestic market (DTA) and simpler denotification processes, making the infrastructure more adaptable to market shifts.

VII. INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES FOR FREE ENTERPRISE ZONES (FEZ)

Free Enterprise Zones (often synonymous with large-scale FEZs or Free Trade Zones globally) focus on affecting global supply chains through world-class infrastructure and fiscal incentives.

A. *"Infirastructure Status" and Financial Structuring*

Access to Capital: A critical policy enabler is the granting of "Infrastructure Status" to FEZ development. This allows developers to access **External Commercial Borrowings (ECB)** and long-term loans from insurance and pension funds, which are essential for funding the massive initial outlays (roads, power plants, water treatment).

Fiscal Incentives: Developers are typically exempt from customs/excise duties on goods imported for the *development* of the zone (e.g., construction machinery, steel, cement). Additionally, they often enjoy a 10-year income tax holiday on profits derived from the business of developing and maintaining the zone.

B. *Integrated Master Planning*

Plug-and-Play Model: Policies promote the development of "Plug-and-Play" infrastructure, where the developer provides all necessary utilities (power, water, gas, data) at the plot boundary. This allows tenants to start operations immediately upon leasing, reducing their setup time.

Sustainability Requirements: Modern FEZ policies adhere to international environmental standards. This includes requirements for "Eco-Industrial Park" features like shared waste-to-energy plants, rainwater harvesting, and green belts, aligning with the sustainability goals of global MNCs.

VIII. INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES FOR DRY PORTS AND FREE PORTS

Logistics infrastructure is the circulatory system of the economy. Policies for Dry Ports (Inland Container Depots - ICDs) and Free Ports focus on reducing logistics costs (which are often high in developing economies, ~13-14% of GDP) and enhancing multimodal connectivity.

A. *Dry Ports: The Inland Extension*

Dry ports function as inland intermodal terminals that provide the services of a seaport in the hinterland.

Regulatory Framework: The selfing up of ICDs/CFSs (Container Freight Stations) is governed by specific customs policies. These require the facility to have rail sidings, warehousing, and customs offices.

Intergovernmental Agreements: Policies often align with the **UN ESCAP Intergovernmental Agreement on Dry Ports**, which standardizes the definition and operation of dry ports to facilitate seamless cross-border trade.

Customs Modernization: The key policy innovation is the "Single Window" concept and "Pre-arrival Cargo Clearance."

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

By allowing customs formalities to be completed at the Dry Port, the policy decongests the maritime ports and reduces dwell time. IT systems for risk assessment allow for faster clearance of compliant cargo.

B. *Free Ports (Free Trade Zones)*

- Free Ports are designated areas near seaports where goods can be imported, processed, and re-exported without paying customs duties.
- **Duty Exemptions:** The primary incentive is the exemption of customs and excise duties on goods entering the zone. Duties are payable only if the goods enter the domestic economy. This encourages the development of trans-shipment hubs and value-added manufacturing (e.g., packaging, labeling).
- **Sagar Mala Program:** In India, the **Sagar Mala Program** is the flagship policy for port-led development. It focuses on:
- **Port Modernization:** Upgrading existing port infrastructure to handle larger vessels.
- **Port Connectivity:** Building heavy-haul rail and road links to evacuate cargo efficiently.
- **Port-Linked Industrialization:** Developing Coastal Economic Zones (CEZs) that utilize the port for importing raw materials and exporting finished goods.

C. *Multimodal Logistics and Gati Shakti*

The **National Logistics Policy** aims to integrate Dry Ports and Free Ports into a seamless network. It promotes the shift of cargo from road to rail (which is more energy-efficient) by developing Dedicated Freight Corridors (DFCs). The Gati Shakti platform helps in planning these corridors to ensure they effectively link the hinterland production centers (SEZs/IT Parks) with the maritime gateways.

IX. SYNTHESIS: CROSS-CUTTING THEMES AND INSIGHTS

A comparative analysis of the policies across these diverse sectors reveals several converging themes and strategic shifts in infrastructure planning.

A. *The Pivot firom "Public" to "Public-Private"*

Across all sectors—from Malls to Dry Ports—there is a decisive shift away from the state as the sole provider of infrastructure. The state is repositioning itself as a "facilitator" and "regulator" (via RERA, SEZ Boards), while the private sector is tasked with capital investment and operations. This leverages private efficiency but requires robust regulatory oversight to prevent monopolistic practices and ensure equitable access.

B. *Digitalization as Infirastructure*

Digital platforms are no longer just support tools; they are core infrastructure. The PM Gati Shakti portal, RERA websites, and SEZ Single Window systems are essential for the functioning of the physical assets. This "Digital Twin" approach allows for data-driven planning, real-time monitoring, and reduced corruption through transparency.

C. *Equity and Sustainability*

Equity: Policies are increasingly incorporating equity dimensions. The PM Ekta Mall's focus on artisans and the "Access" component of the APO framework demonstrate an intent to make infrastructure inclusive. However, challenges remain in ensuring that the benefits of SEZs and IT Parks trickle down to the local communities beyond just low-skill employment.[8]

Sustainability: Climate resilience is becoming a non-negotiable aspect of planning. Whether it is the green building mandates for IT parks or the eco-friendly designs of theme parks, the policy framework is aligning with global climate goals. This is driven not just by regulation but by the economic necessity of aflracting global investors who mandate ESG compliance.

Table 2 presents a comparative synthesis of the key planning paradigms.

Sector	Planning Paradigm	Key Policy Driver	Equity Dimension
Retail (Malls)	Urban Consumption Hubs	RERA, FDI, PM Ekta Mall	ODOP integration for artisans.
IT Parks	Knowledge Campuses	PM GatiShakti, STPI	Regional employment generation.
Theme Parks	Experience Destinations	Tourism Policy, Safety Codes	Skill development for local youth.
SEZs/FEZs	Export Enclaves	SEZ Act -> DESH, Single Window	Spillover infrastructure for regions.
Logistics	Network Nodes	National Logistics Policy	Lowering costs for small businesses.

X. CONCLUSION.

The infrastructure policies and programs reviewed in this report demonstrate a sophisticated evolution in the governance of the built environment in developing economies. The era of ad-hoc, siloed construction is being replaced by a paradigm of **integrated, multimodal, and sustainable development**.

The strategic alignment of central flagship initiatives—such as **PM Gati Shakti** and **Make in India**—with state-level land

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14 Issue 12 , December - 2025

and industrial policies is creating a cohesive ecosystem for infrastructure delivery. For shopping malls, the focus has shifted towards transparency (RERA) and cultural integration (Unity Malls). IT Parks and SEZs are being reimagined as holistic, self-sufficient townships supported by "plug-and-play" utility models. Theme Parks are being professionalized through safety codes and tourism incentives, while logistics infrastructure (Dry Ports) is being optimized to reduce the fundamental economic friction of trade.

However, the success of these policies hinges on effective implementation. Key challenges remain, particularly in the areas of land acquisition, center-state coordination, and the genuine execution of single-window clearances. Furthermore, as the focus shifts to "Infrastructure as a System," policymakers must ensure that the drive for economic efficiency does not compromise social equity or environmental sustainability. The transition from the rigid SEZ Act to the proposed flexible DESH framework indicates a responsiveness to these evolving dynamics. The robust planning and management of these diverse infrastructure assets are pivotal for the transition of developing economies into resilient, global economic powerhouses.

REFERENCES

[1] **Aguilar, F. J.** (1967). *Scanning the business environment*. Macmillan. (Original seminal book for PESTLE analysis).

[2] **Belsare, H. V.** (2025). PESTLE analysis. *International Journal of Advanced Research, 13*(02), 608–612.

[3] **Ejigu, A. G.** (2007). *A systems approach to infrastructure planning: Understanding complexity and uncertainty* [Master's thesis, KTH Royal Institute of Technology]. DiVA Portal.

[4] **Guibert, G., Doktycz, C., Edgemon, L., Savitt, A., Turner, A., Lewandowski, J., & Hyde, I.** (2023). *Introduction to the access, process, and outcome framework for equity in economic development* (Quarterly Research Brief). National Economic Research and Resilience Center (NERRC).

[5] **MDPI.** (2025). A PESTEL analysis of problems associated with the adoption of offsite construction: A systematic literature review. *Buildings, 15*(13), 2146.

[6] **National Economic and Social Council (NESC).** (2017). *Reflections on infrastructure policy and institutional developments in the UK* (Secretariat Paper No. 12). Government of Ireland.

[7] **Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).** (2017). *Infrastructure management: Current practices and future trends*. RICS.

[8] **Thorpe, D. S.** (2000). A systems approach for the development and management of physical infrastructure. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Systems Thinking in Management*. CEUR Workshop Proceedings.

[9] **World Bank.** (2020). *Infrastructure governance assessment framework*. International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)