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Abstract
A multi level inverter is a power electronic device built to synthesize a desired Ac voltage from several levels of DC voltages. Multi level inverters have been an important development in recent years, owing to their capability to increase the voltage and power delivered to the motor with semi conductors which are available today. This paper presents a comparison of APOD and POD in both unipolar & bipolar multi carrier pulse width modulation techniques for the cascaded H-bridge multi level inverter. The effective results have been demonstrated by simulation results. The results indicate that the Cascaded(MLI) Triggered by the developed by the sinusoidal PWM strategy exhibits reduced THD. The THD analysis has been done for different Modulation indices

1 INTRODUCTION
Multilevel inverters have gained more attention in high power applications because it has got many advantages. It can realize high voltage and high power output by using semiconductor switches without the use of transformer and dynamic voltage balance circuits. When the number of output levels increases, harmonics of the output voltage and current as well as electro magnetic minterference (EMI) decrease.

The basic concept of a multilevel inverter is, to achieve higher power by using a series of power semiconductor switches with several lower voltage dc sources, to perform the power conversion by synthesizing a staircase voltage waveform. To obtain a low distortion output voltage nearly sinusoidal, a triggering signal should be generated to control the switching frequency of each power semiconductor switch. In this paper the triggering signals of multi level inverter are designed by using the sinusoidal PWM scheme. In this paper a three phase cascade H-bridge multi level inverter has been taken to prove the simulation results for the APOD and POD control techniques.

The Fig1 shows a 3-phase 5-level cascaded multilevel inverter. It requires a total of 6-D.C voltage sources for each phase two D.C voltage sources arranged in a cascaded manner.

![Fig.1 Conventional 3-phase 5-level Cascaded MLI](image)

2. CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR MULTILEVEL INVERTER
There are different control techniques for a cascaded H-bridge MLI. In this PWM control technique is the most preferable one. In this open loop and closed loop control techniques are there out of these open loop control technique has been chosen. In this paper, in the open loop control techniques sinusoidal, space vector, sigma delta control techniques are most preferable. Out of these sinusoidal technique has been taken. In the sinusoidal PWM technique we are having the modulated signal and the carrier signal if the carrier signal is to be of single then it is called single carrier based pwm technique. If the carrier signal is to be of multiple in order then it is called multi carrier based PWM control technique. In the Modulating signal we have pure sinusoidal, third harmonic injection and dead
band signals are there out of these sinusoidal signals has been taken. In the carrier signal we have taken a multi carrier signal which is of Triangular in shape. Here we have taken a 3-phase five level cascaded H-bridge MLI for this 5 level inverter the carrier signals are 4 in order. Out of these carrier signal generation APOD and POD control techniques has been taken. The THD analysis for the given APOD and POD control techniques in both Bipolar, unipolar mode of operation for different modulation indecies has been presented.

Fig. 2 Control techniques For a cascaded H-bridge MLI

3. SINUSOIDAL PWM

The multilevel sinusoidal PWM can be classified according to carrier and modulating signals as shown in Fig.3.

Fig 3. Classification of Sinusoidal PWM Multicarrier PWM Techniques

Multi carrier PWM techniques having the single modulating signal or reference wave form typically of sinusoidal waveform. The carrier signal is of triangular which is 4 in number.

1) Alternative phase opposition Disposition (APOD)

This technique requires each of the m-1 carrier waveforms, for an m-level phase waveform, to be phase disposed from each other by 180 degree alternatively as shown in fig4. Here for the desired control technique there are four carrier signals have been taken. In the upper half the two signals are 180 degrees out of phase each other and the same case will repeat for lower half also.

Fig4. APOD carrier technique for Bipolar Mode
2) **Phase opposition Dispositions (POD)**

This technique requires each of the m-1 carrier waveforms, for an m-level phase waveform, to be phase disposed from each other by 180 degree as shown in Fig.5. Here for the desired control technique there are four carrier signals have been taken. In the upper half the two signals they are in same phase and the lower half will be 180 degree out of phase with the upper half.

**Fig.6.** POD carrier technique for bipolar mode

**Fig.7.** POD carrier technique for unipolar Mode

---

**4. MATLAB / SIMULINK MODEL**

**Fig.8.** Simulation circuit for the 3-phase cascaded H-bridge MLI fed with R-L load

The Fig.9. shows the multi carrier sinusoidal PWM signal generation for the APOD and POD control technique in bipolar mode of operation.

**Fig.10.** Simulink model for carrier signal generation for unipolar mode
5. SIMULATION RESULTS

Fig.11 Modulation index 0.8 frequency spectrum for APOD technique in Bipolar mode

Fig.12 Modulation index 1.2 phase voltage spectrum for APOD technique in unipolar mode

Fig.13 Modulation index 1.2 frequency spectrum for APOD technique in unipolar mode

Fig.14 Modulation index 0.8 phase voltage spectrum for POD technique in Bipolar mode

Fig.15 Modulation index 0.8 frequency spectrum for POD technique in Bipolar mode

Fig.16 Modulation index 0.8 phase voltage spectrum for POD technique in Unipolar mode

Fig.17 Modulation index 0.8 frequency spectrum for POD technique in unipolar mode.

Fig.18. M.I.Vs T.H.D graph for POD control technique
Fig.19.M.I.Vs T.H.D graph for APOD control technique

### Table 1: THD Values for APOD Control technique for different M.I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation index</th>
<th>APOD(bipolar)</th>
<th>APOD(unipolar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.71</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>13.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27.23</td>
<td>27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>21.19</td>
<td>21.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: THD Values for POD Control technique for different M.I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation index</th>
<th>POD(bipolar)</th>
<th>POD(unipolar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.71</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>17.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper the simulation of 3-phase 5-level cascaded Multi level inverter has been simulated by using the APOD & POD control techniques. The THD analysis has been done for different Modulation indices. From the THD analysis we can say that the THD for POD techniques less when compared with APOD technique. For 0 to 1 modulation indices the THD is increasing and then after THD is decreasing for both the techniques. So finally from the above analysis we can conclude that POD is going to be the better technique when compared with APOD.
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