Analysis and Optimization of Machining Parameters of EN-47 in Turning by Taguchi Technique and Minitab-17 Software

J.Chandrasheker
Associate professor
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Vaageswari College of Engineering
Karimnagar (TS), India

M. Vijendhar Reddy
B. Tech Student
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Vaageswari College of Engineering
Karimnagar (TS), India

B. Vidyasagar
B. Tech Student
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Vaageswari College of Engineering
Karimnagar (TS), India

S. Sathish
B. Tech Student
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Vaageswari College of Engineering
Karimnagar (TS), India

Abstract—This experimental study presents an effective approach for the optimization of turning parameter using MINITAB 17 and Taguchi Technique in varying condition. The information about machining of difficult cutting materials is inadequate and complicated. Therefore an experimental study has to be conducted to come out with an optimum outcome. In this study, the machining parameters namely Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed, Feed Rate and cutting fluids are optimized with multiple performance characteristics, such as maximum material removal rate and maximum surface finish. The response table and response graph for each level of machining parameters are obtained from the Taguchi Method and the optimum levels of machining parameters are being selected.

Keywords: - ANOVA, surface roughness, cutting tool, feed rate

I. INTRODUCTION

Turning is a form of machining, a material removal process, which is used to create rotational parts by cutting away unwanted material as shown in Figure 1.The turning process requires a turning machine or lathe, work piece, fixture, and cutting tool. The work piece is a piece of pre-shaped material that is secured to the fixture, which itself is attached to the turning machine, and allowed to rotate at high speeds. The cutter is typically a single-point cutting tool that is also secured in the machine.


Fig.1 Diagram for Turning Process

II MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Work Piece Material

The work piece material used in this project was EN 42 Stainless Steel of length of 250mm and diameter 40mm. The work piece material is shown below

Fig.2 EN-47 work piece material

TABLE I.   CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF EN-47 STEEL MATERIALS

C	Mn	Si	Cr	P	S
0.45-0.55 %	0.50-0.80%	0.50%max	0.80-1.20%	0.06 %	0.06 %

TABLE II.   PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF EN-47 STEEL MATERIALS

Density gm/cm3	Melting Point (℃)	Thermal conductivity (W/m K)	Coefficient of thermal expansion (µm/m℃)
7700	1450-1510	25	10 x 10-6

TABLE III. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF EN-47 STEEL MATERIALS

Material	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Yield Strength (MPa)	% of Elongation
EN-47	650-880	350-550	8-25%

B. Carbide Coated Tip Cutting Tool

Coatings are frequently applied to carbide tool tips to improve tool life or to enable higher cutting speeds. Coated tips typically have lives 10 times greater than uncoated tips. Common coating materials include titanium nitride, titanium carbide and aluminium oxide, usually 2-18 micro-m thick. Often several different layers may be applied, one on the top of another, depending upon the intended application of the tip. The techniques used for applying coatings include chemical vapour deposition, plasma assisted CVD and physical vap our deposition.

Fig.3 Carbide coated tip cutting tool

C. Selections of Control Factors

Cutting experiments are conducted considering four cutting parameters: Cutting Speed (m/min), feed rate (mm/rev), Depth of Cut (mm) and cutting fluids. Overall 9 experiments were carried out. Table shows the values of various parameters used for experiments:

TABLE IV. MACHINING PARAMETERS AND LEVELS

FACTORS	LEVELS		
	1	2	3
A. CUTTING SPEED (rpm)	455	683	1025
B. FEED (mm/rev)	110	150	175
C. DEPTH OF CUT (mm)	0.3	0.9	1.2
D. CUTTING FLUIDS	Sherol B	Sherol ENF	Straight cutting oil

III EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Turning is popularly used machining process. In this project work turning is done on the lathe machine which is shown in the figure

Fig.4 Banka 40 Lathe Machine

A. Taguchi Approach
Process Steps of Taguchi Method
- ➢ Define the process objective
- ➢ Identify test conditions
- ➢ Identify the control factors and their alternative levels
- ➢ Create orthogonal arrays for the parameter design
- ➢ Conduct the experiments indicated in the completed array to collect data on the effect on the performance measure.
- ➢ Complete data analysis to determine the effect of the different parameters on the performance measure.
- ➢ Predict the performance at these levels
- ➢ Confirmation experiments.

B. Selection of Orthogonal Array

The selection of orthogonal array for experiment was done by use Minitab-17 statistical software. By putting parameter variation levels in Minitab-17 statistical software, the Minitab suggests that L9 (3*3) fractional factorial orthogonal array is most compatible for our experiment. This design reduces the number of experiments from 24 (i.e. factorial 4*3*2*1) to a designed set of 9 experiments without compromise quality of experiment. The experiment table suggested by Minitab- 17 for L9 Orthogonal array is shown in Table.

TABLE V. EXPERIMENT DESIGN BY USE OF L9 ORTHOGONAL ARRAY

Sr.No	Parameter-1	Parameter-2	Parameter-3	Parameter-4
1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2	2
3	1	3	3	3
4	2	1	2	3
5	2	2	3	1
6	2	3	1	2
7	3	1	2	2
8	3	2	3	1
9	3	3	1	3

In L_9 (3^4) orthogonal array, five columns bearing the numbers '1', '2', '3', '4', represents factors. And each set of numbers below these columns represent levels of that factors respectively. As the index in the first column depicts, each row represents an experiment.

TABLE VI. FACTOR ASSIGNMENT (EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN)

Factors EXPT NO	Cutting speed (rpm) (levels)	Feed (rev/min) (levels)	Depth of cut (mm) (levels)	Cutting fluids (levels)
1	455	110	0.3	Sherol B
2	455	150	0.6	Sherol ENF
3	455	175	0.9	Straight cutting oil
4	683	110	0.6	Straight cutting oil
5	683	150	0.9	Sherol B
6	683	175	0.3	Sherol ENF
7	1025	110	0.9	Sherol ENF
8	1025	150	0.3	Straight cutting oil
9	1025	175	0.6	Sherol B

C. Measurement of Surface Roughness

In this project stylus type surface roughness meter was used to measure the surface roughness of the specimens. There were two main reasons behind selecting stylus type surface roughness one is its easy availability and other is the ease with which it can be operated. The surface roughness measuring instrument used in this experiment is Talysurf.

Fig.5 Stylus Movement on Work piece Material

D. Procedure Followed To Measure Surface Roughness (Ra)

For each and every experiment the surface roughness of the machined work material is found out. One point on the work material is considered for each sample and each measurement is about 90 degrees apart. The stylus moves to and fro on the work material at this point. The Ra values are displayed on the digital meter and the three values of Ra are considered for that particular experiment. Similarly 9 (Ra) values are considered for 9 experiments. The S/N ratios of surface roughness are calculated.

TABLE VII. RESULT TABLE FOR SURFACE ROUGHNESS (RA) VALUES IN MM

Cutting Speed (rpm)	Feed (mm/rev)	Depth of cut (mm)	Cutting fluids	Surface Roughness (μm)
455	110	0.3	Sherol B	1.438
455	150	0.6	Sherol ENF	1.698
455	175	0.9	Straight cutting oil	1.544
683	110	0.6	Straight cutting oil	3.650
683	150	0.9	Sherol B	2.273
683	175	0.3	Sherol ENF	2.586
1025	110	0.9	Sherol ENF	1.970
1025	150	0.3	Straight cutting oil	3.593
1025	175	0.6	Sherol B	2.275

E. Optimization for Surface Roughness

1) S/N ratio calculation of surface roughness: In this the observe value of surface roughness is transform in S/N ratio values to find out the optimum combination of parameters for response variable. In surface roughness "smaller is better" is objective characteristic, since the minimization of the quality characteristic is interested.

The S/N ratios are calculated using the below mentioned formula (smaller the better type formula).

$$\text{S/N ratio } (\eta) = -10 \log_{10} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 \right]$$

Where

n: no. of tests in trial (no. of repetitions regardless of noise levels)

y_i : is the ith observation of the quality characteristic.

For example the S/N ratio is calculated for first experiment is as follows:

$\eta_1 = -10 \log_{10} [(1/1) (1.438)^2]$

$\eta_1 = -3.1551$

Similarly all the S/N ratios are calculated. These values of S/N ratio and averages will then further be analyzed to detect the most responsible factor and the percentage contribution of each factor on the surface roughness (response variable).

TABLE VIII: S/N RATIO CALCULATION OF SR

| Expt No	Surface Roughness (μm)	S/N ratio of SR (dB)
1	1.438	-3.1551
2	1.698	-4.5987
3	1.544	-3.7729
4	3.650	-11.2458
5	2.273	-7.1319
6	2.586	-8.2525
7	1.970	-5.8893
8	3.593	-11.1091
9	2.275	-7.1396
Average of S/N ratios of SR (dB) (η)		-6.9216

2) MINITAB statistical software: MINITAB statistical software has been used for the analysis of the experimental work. The Minitab software studies the experimental data and then provides the predicted equations of surface roughness for a work piece material. After analysis of data, for the surface roughness based on the factors cutting speed, feed rate, depth of cut, cutting fluid for a work piece material i.e., EN-47 stainless steel is given below.

After analysis the entire procedure and final results are given down in the form of screen shots from the mintab17 software:

Step1: Open minitab17 software and a window are displayed on the desktop as shown below.

Step 2: Firstly in order to get results from Minitab software by taguchi method we need to define the parameters considered and obtained experimental values. And by going on clicking as the procedure shown below completes the defining procedure in Minitab software.

Click on STAT >> DOE >> TAGUCHI >> DEFINE CUSTOM TAGUCHI DESIGN...

- The widow as shown in the figure below.

- Defining the custom Taguchi design

- Analyzing the taguchi design

- Now various steps involved in getting the final results are as shown in the figures below.

- Create Taguchi Design

- Selection of Available Design

Finally click on ok in the window which shows the analyses of Taguchi design. S/N ratio is generated as shown below in the form of screen shot:

3) Main effects plot of surface roughness: The main effects plot for S/N ratio of surface roughness verses cutting speed, feed rate, depth of cut and cutting fluid, which is generate form the value of S/N ratio of surface roughness as per Table in Minitabe-17 statistical software is useful to find out optimum parameter value for response variable. The graph generate by use of Minitab-17 statistical software for surface roughness is shown in graph.


Fig.6 Mean Effect Plot Of Surface Roughness Vs Cutting Speed, Feed, Depth Of Cut And Cutting Fluid

From the Figure it is conclude that the optimum combination of each process parameter for lower surface roughness is meeting at cutting speed (A1), feed rate (B3), depth of cut (C3), and cutting fluid (D1).

The S/N of the surface roughness for each level of the each machining parameters can be computed in Minitab 17 and it is summarized for finding out rank of each effective parameter for response.

The combination of factors and levels which give maximum S/N ratios give the optimum cutting parameters. That means A_1, B_3, C_3 and D_1 combination gives the optimum cutting parameter which minimizes the surface roughness i.e. these levels are applicable to the starting levels of the factors. These are:

A_1 – Cutting speed (455rpm)
B_3 – Feed (175 mm/rev)
C_3 – Depth of cut (0.9 mm)
D_1 –cutting fluid (Sherol B)

F. Selection of Optimum Set of Conditions

The objective is to maximize the S/N ratio, hence select the factor levels which have maximum S/N ratio values. The best condition for cutting speed factor is level 1 i.e. 455 rpm, for feed is level 3 i.e.175mm/rev, for depth of cut is level 3 i.e. 0.9mm, for cutting fluids is level 1 i.e. Sherol B. Thus optimum conditions chosen were: A1- B3- C3- D_1 combination.

The optimized cutting parameters are shown in table

TABLE VIII. OPTIMIZED CUTTING PARAMETERS

Control Factor	Cutting Speed (A) (rpm)	Feed (B) (mm/rev)	Depth of cut (C) (mm)	Cutting fluids
Optimum value	455 rpm	175	0.9	Sherol B

The analysed value of mean of surface roughness by use of Minitab 17 statistical software is shown in Table.

TABLE IX: RESPONSE TABLE OF S/N RATIO FOR SURFACE ROUGHNESS

Level	Cutting Speed	Feed	Depth of cut	Cutting Fluid
1	*-3.8422*	-6.7634	-7.5056	*-5.8089*
2	-8.8786	-7.6133	-7.6614	-6.2468
3	-8.0460	*-6.3883*	*-5.5980*	-8.7093
Delta	1.276	0.386	0.612	0.934
Rank	1	4	3	2

From Table, it is show that the value of delta for each parameter A, B, C and D are 1.276, 0.386, 0.612 and 0.934 for surface roughness. From delta value of each parameter it is conclude that for surface roughness the most effective parameter is cutting speed followed by cutting fluid, depth of cut and feed.

G. Prediction of Process Average for Optimum Condition

Having determined the optimum condition from the orthogonal array experiment, the next step is to predict the anticipated process average η $_{predicted}$ under chosen optimum condition. This is calculated by summing the effects of factor levels in the optimum condition (the values of maximum S/N ratios in table). S/N ratios of optimum condition were used to predict the S/N ratio of the optimum condition using the additive model.

η $_{predicted}$ = [A_1 +B_3+ C_3 + D_1] - 3η
= [(-3.4822) + (-6.3883) + (-5.5980) + (-5.8089)] – (3 x – 6.9296)
= -0.9864 dB.

Where η = average of all S/N ratios

IV CONFIRMATION TEST

Conducting a verification experiment is a crucial final step of the robust design methodology. The predicted results must be conformed to the verification test, with the optimum set of conditions. In this final step, the optimum cutting conditions of cutting speed 455 rpm, cutting feed 175 mm/rev, depth of cut 0.9 mm, cutting fluid are obtained for EN 47 work piece material.

A conformation test is performed with the obtained optimum cutting parameters (cutting speed 455rpm, feed 175 mm/rev, depth of cut 0.9 mm, and cutting fluid is Sherol B) By using these optimum conditions an experiment is conducted on a newly ground tool. The surface roughness values at a sample specimen were taken using Talysurf (surface roughness measuring instrument) and the S/N ratio is calculated by using the smaller-the-better type characteristic formula for this condition. These values are shown in Table. Hence this conformation test performed verifies the obtained results i.e. the optimized cutting parameters which minimize the surface roughness.

TABLE X: CONFORMATION TEST RESULTS

Control Factor	Cutting Speed (rpm)	Feed (mm/rev)	Depth of cut(mm)	Cutting fluids	SR (μm)	S/N ratio
Optimum value	455	175	0.9	Sherol B	0.8076	-1.856

The S/N ratio of predicted value and verification test values were compared for validity of the optimum condition. These values are shown in figure. It is found that the S/N ratio value of verification test is within the limits of the predicted value and the objective is fulfilled. As the conformation and projected improvements matched, suggested optimum conditions can be adopted. S/N ratio is calculated by using the formula given below.

TABLE XI. COMPARISON OF S/N RATIOS

$\eta_{predicted}$ (dB)	0.9864
$\eta_{confirmation\ test}$ (dB)	-1.856

The main effects plot for means vs process parameters are shown in below graph:


Fig.7 the main effects plot for means vs process parameters

V CONCLUSIONS

- Taguchi design of experiment can be very efficiently used in the optimization of machining parameters in metal cutting process.
- The optimum set of process parameters found are Cutting speed: 1025rpm, Cutting feed: 175 mm/rev, Depth of cut: 0.9mm, cutting oil (Sherol B) for EN-47 steel material. With this optimum set of control factors the surface finish on the work piece materials improved. This combination was successfully tested for its validity.
- The significant factors concluded that the effect of Cutting speed and cutting fluid are more on the quality characteristic.
- In this work, the analysis of conformation experiments has shown that Taguchi parameter design can successfully verify the optimum cutting parameters.

VI REFEANCES

[1] Alagarsamy.S. V, Raveendran. P, Arockia Vincent Sagayaraj.S, Tamilvendan. S, Optimization of Machining Parameters For Turning of Aluminium Alloy 7075 Using Taguchi Method, International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2016.
[2] Saurabh Singhvi, M. S. Khidiya, S. Jindal, M. A. Saloda, Optimization of Rake Angle and Turning Process Parameters for Cutting Force: A Review, Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, 2016.
[3] Arshad Qureshi, Prof Madhukar Sorte, Prof S.N. Teli A Literature Review on Optimization of Cutting Parameters for Surface Roughness in Turning Process, International Journal of Engineering Research and Development, 2015.
[4] Aswathy V G, Rajeev N, Vijayan K,Effect of Machining Parameters on Surface Roughness, Material Removal Rate and Roundness Error During The Wet Turning of Ti-6Al-4V Alloy, Int. Journal of Applied Sciences and Engineering Research, 2015.
[5] Narendra Kumar Verma, Ajeet Singh Sikarwar Optimizing Turning Process by Taguchi Method under Various Machining Parameters, International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2015.
[6] S. Magibalan, M. Prabu, P. Vignesh, P.Senthil Kuma,R. Experimental Study on The Cutting Surface Roughness in CNC Turning Operations by Using Taguchi Technique, International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology, 2015.
[7] Sujit Kumar Jha and Pramod K Shahabadkar, Experimental Investigation of CNC Turning of Aluminum Using Taguchi Method, International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology, 2015.
[8] S. Mohanty, A. Das, A. Khan, Optimization Of Machining Parameters Using Taguchi Approach During Hard Turning of Alloy Steel with Uncoated Carbide Under Dry Cutting Environment, International Journal of Lean Thinking, 2015.
[9] Sonowal, Thuleswar Nath, Dhrupad Sarma, a Review on Optimization of Cutting Parameters on Turning, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 2015.

[10] X.M.Antho, Analysis of Cutting Force and Chip Morphology During Hard Turning of AISI D2 Steeln, International Journal Of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, 2015.

[11] Sourabh Waychal, Anand V. Kulkarni, Investigation of The Effect of Machining Parameters on Surface Roughness and Power Consumption During The Machining of AISI 304 Stainless Steel by Doe Approach, International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2015.

[12] Taquiuddin Quazi, Pratik Gajanan, Optimization of Turning Parameters Such as Speed Rate, Feed Rate, Depth of Cut For Surface Roughness by Taguchi Method, Asian Journal of Engineering and Technology Innovation,2014.

[13] Rony Mohan, Josephkunju Paul C, George Mathew, Optimization of Surface Roughness of Bearing Steel During CNC Hard Turning Process, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 2014.

[14] M. Venkata Ramana, G. Krishna Mohan Rao, D. Hanumantha Rao, Optimization and Effect of Process Parameters on Tool Wear in Turning of Titanium Alloy Under Different Machining Conditions, International Journal of Materials, Mechanics and Manufacturing,2014.

[15] Sachin C Borse, Optimization of Turning Process Parameter in Dry Turning of Sae52100 Steel, International Journal of Engineering Science & Advanced Technology, 2014.