

A Related Fixed Point Theorem of Integral Type on Two Fuzzy 2-Metric Spaces

Pheiroijam Suranjoy Singh

Sagolband Takyel Kolom Leikai
Imphal West, Manipur - 795001, India.

Abstract

In this paper, a related fixed point theorem is obtained. It extends a result proved by R.K. Namdeo, N.K. Tiwari, B. Fisher and K. Tas [9]. The notion of fuzzy 2-metric spaces satisfying integral type inequalities is used.

Keywords : Fuzzy 2-metric space, fixed point, related fixed point, integral type inequality.

2000 AMS Subject Classification : 47H10, 54H25.

Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by L. Zadeh [14] in 1965. Fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [7] in 1975. Then, it was modified by George and Veeramani [4] in 1994. Fuzzy has been studied and developed by many mathematicians for many years. Introduction of fuzzy 2-metric space is one of such developments. Gahler [10, 11] investigated 2-metric spaces in a series of his papers. Fuzzy 2-metric space is studied in [6, 8, 12, 13] and many others. Related fixed point is studied in [1, 2, 3, 5, 9] and many more.

Some definitions are stated as follows:

Definition 1.1 : A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a t -norm in $([0, 1], *)$ if following conditions are satisfied:

For all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$,

- i. $a * 1 = a$,
- ii. $a * b = b * a$,
- iii. $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$,
- iv. $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$.

Definition 1.2. The 3-tuple $(X, \mu, *)$ is called a fuzzy 2- metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t - norm and μ is a fuzzy set in $X^3 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

For all $x, y, z, u \in X$ and $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$,

- i. $\mu(x, y, z, 0) = 0$,
- ii. $\mu(x, y, z, t) = 1, t > 0$ and when at least two of the three points are equal,
- iii. $\mu(x, y, z, t) = \mu(y, x, z, t) = \mu(z, x, y, t)$ (symmetry about three variables),
- iv. $\mu(x, y, z, t_1+t_2+t_3) \geq \mu(x, y, u, t_1) * \mu(x, u, z, t_2) * \mu(u, y, z, t_3)$
- v. $\mu(x, y, z, \cdot): [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous,

vi. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x, y, z, t) = 1$.

Definition 1.3 : Let $(X, \mu, *)$ be a fuzzy 2-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to:

- converge to x in X if and only if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x, a, t) = 1 \quad \forall a \in X$ and $t > 0$.
- be a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, t) = 1 \quad \forall a \in X, p > 0$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 1.4 : A fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, \mu, *)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

The following was proved in [9].

Theorem 1.1 : Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces. Let T be a mapping of X into Y and S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$d(Sy, Sy') d(STx, STx') \leq c \max\{d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx), \\ d(x, x') d(Sy, Sy'), d(Sy, STx) d(Sy', STx')\}$$

$$\rho(Tx, Tx') \rho(TSy, TSy') \leq c \max\{d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx), \\ \rho(y, y') \rho(Tx, Tx'), \rho(Tx, TSy) \rho(Tx', TSy')\}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $0 \leq c < 1$. If either S or T is continuous, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Now, theorem 1.1 is extended to two pairs of mappings in integral and fuzzy 2-metric space settings as follows.

Main result

Theorem 2.1 : Let (X, μ, a, t) and (Y, ν, a, t) be two complete fuzzy 2-metric spaces. Let A, B be mappings of X into Y and S, T be mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$\int_1^k \mu(Sy, Ty', a, t) \mu(SAx, TBx', a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Sy, Ty', a, t) \nu(Ax, Bx', a, t), \mu(x', Sy, a, t) \nu(y', Ax, a, t), \\ \mu(x, x', a, t) \mu(Sy, Ty', a, t), \mu(Sy, SAx, a, t) \mu(Ty', TBx', a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \quad (1)$$

$$\int_1^k \nu(Ax, Bx', a, t) \nu(BSy, ATy', a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Sy, Ty', a, t) \nu(Ax, Bx', a, t), \mu(x', Sy, a, t) \nu(y', Ax, a, t), \\ \nu(y, y', a, t) \nu(Ax, Bx', a, t), \nu(Ax, BSy, a, t) \nu(Bx', ATy', a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \quad (2)$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $k \in (0, 1)$. If A and S or B and T are continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z in X and BS and AT have unique common fixed point w in Y . Further, $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

Proof : Let x be any arbitrary point in X . We define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y respectively as:

$$S y_{2n-1} = x_{2n-1}, \quad Bx_{2n-1} = y_{2n}, \quad Ty_{2n} = x_{2n}, \quad Ax_{2n} = y_{2n-1}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Applying inequality (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_1 k\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, a, t) \mu(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \\
 &= \int_1 k\mu^2(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \\
 & \quad \min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, a, t) \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, t), \\
 & \quad \mu(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a, t) \mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, a, t), \mu(Sy_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n}, a, t) \mu(Ty_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, a, t)\} \\
 & \geq \int_1 \varphi(s) ds \\
 & \quad \min\{\mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, a, t), \\
 & \quad \mu(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a, t) \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, a, t) \mu(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, a, t)\} \\
 &= \int_1 \varphi(s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_1 k\mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1 \min\{\nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t)\} \varphi(s) ds \quad (3)$$

Applying inequality (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_1 k\nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t) \nu(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \\
 &= \int_1 k\nu^2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \\
 & \quad \min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, a, t) \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, t), \\
 & \quad \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t) \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t), \nu(Ax_{2n}, BSy_{2n-1}, a, t) \nu(Bx_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, a, t)\} \\
 & \geq \int_1 \varphi(s) ds \\
 & \quad \max\{\mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, a, t), \\
 & \quad \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t), \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t) \nu(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, a, t)\} \\
 &= \int_1 \varphi(s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_1 k\nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1 \min\{\nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t)\} \varphi(s) ds \quad (4)$$

(3) and (4) can be written as

$$\int_1 k\mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1 \min\{\nu(y_{n-1}, y_n, a, t), \mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, a, t)\} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\int_1^{k\nu(y_{n-1}, y_n, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{n-1}, y_n, a, t), \mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds$$

which can be again written as

$$\int_1^{k\mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, a, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \quad (5)$$

$$\int_1^{k\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, a, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6), by induction, we get

$$\int_1^{\mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n} \min\{\nu(y_1, y_2, a, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\int_1^{\nu(y_{n+1}, y_n, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n} \min\{\nu(y_1, y_2, a, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds$$

Let $t_1 = \frac{t}{p}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds &= \int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, a, t_1 + t_1 + \dots + p \text{ times})} \varphi(s) ds \\ &\geq \int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, a, t_1)} \varphi(s) ds * \int_1^{\mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a, t_1)} \varphi(s) ds * \dots * \int_1^{\mu(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, a, t_1)} \varphi(s) ds \\ &\geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n} \min\{\nu(y_1, y_2, a, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds * \dots * \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^{n+p-1}} \min\{\nu(y_1, y_2, a, t), \mu(x_1, x_2, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lim \int_1^{\mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, a, t) \geq 1$$

$\Rightarrow \{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with a limit z in X .

Similarly, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with a limit w in Y .

Now, on using the continuity of A and S respectively, we get

$$w = \lim y_{2n-1} = \lim Ax_{2n} = Az \quad \text{and} \quad z = \lim x_{2n} = \lim Sy_{2n} = Sw$$

so that we get

$$Az = w \tag{7}$$

$$Sw = z \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8), we get

$$SAz = z \tag{9}$$

Again applying inequality (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^{k\mu(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \\ & \geq \int_1^{\min\{\nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t), \nu(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_1^{k\mu(Sw, TBz, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\nu(Az, w, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds$$

By (7), we have

$$\int_1^{k\mu(Sw, TBz, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k\mu(Sw, TBz, a, t) \geq 1$$

which implies that

$$Sw = TBz$$

and from (8), we get

$$z = TBz \tag{11}$$

From (9) and (11), we get

$$SAz = z = TBz \tag{12}$$

Now, (10) gives

$$\int_1^{k\mu(x_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t), \nu(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a, t)\} \\ & \geq \int_1 \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1 k\mu(z, Tw, a, t) \varphi(t) dt \geq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow k\mu(z, Tw, a, t) \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$z = Tw \quad (13)$$

Again, applying inequality (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1 k\nu(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \\ & \geq \int_1 \min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, a, t), \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t), \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t)\} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1 k\nu(BSw, ATw, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow k\nu(BSw, ATw, a, t) \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$BSw = ATw \quad (15)$$

Now, (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1 k\nu(y_{2n}, ATy_{2n}, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \\ & \geq \int_1 \min\{\mu(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}, a, t), \mu(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1}, a, t), \nu(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a, t), \nu(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, a, t)\} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\int_1 k\nu(w, ATw, a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k\nu(w, ATw, a, t) \geq 1$$

which implies that

$$w = ATw \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16), we get

$$BSw = w = ATw \quad (17)$$

From (8) and (17), we get

$$Bz = w \quad (18)$$

From (7) and (18), we get

$$Az = Bz = w \quad (19)$$

From (8) and (13), we get

$$Sw = Tw = z \quad (20)$$

Similarly, on using the continuity of B and T , the above results hold.

To prove the uniqueness, let SA and TB have a second distinct common fixed point z' in X and BS and AT have a second distinct common fixed point w' in Y .

Applying inequality (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1 k\mu^2(z, z', a, t) \varphi(s) ds \\ & \geq \int_1 \min\{\mu(z, z', a, t)\nu(Az, Bz', a, t), \mu(z', z', a, t)\nu(Bz', Az, a, t), \\ & \mu(z, z', a, t)\mu(z, z', a, t), \mu(z', z', a, t)\mu(z, z, a, t)\} \varphi(s) ds \\ \Rightarrow & \int_1 k\mu(z, z', a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1 \min\{\nu(Az, Bz', a, t), \nu(Bz', Az, a, t)\} \varphi(s) ds \\ \Rightarrow & \int_1 k\mu(z, z', a, t) \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1 \nu(Az, Bz', a, t) \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Applying inequality (2), we get

$$\int_1 k\nu^2(Az, Bz', a, t) \varphi(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\{\mu(z, z', a, t) \nu(Az, Bz', a, t), \mu(z', z', a, t) \nu(Bz', Az, a, t), \\
& \geq \int_1^{\nu(Az, Bz', a, t) \nu(Az, Bz', a, t), \nu(Az, Bz', a, t) \nu(Bz', Az, a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \\
& \Rightarrow \int_1^{k\nu(Az, Bz', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

From (21) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_1^{k^2\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \\
& \Rightarrow \int_1^{\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^2}\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \\
& \Rightarrow \int_1^{\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^2}\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \dots \geq \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n}\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \\
& \Rightarrow \int_1^{\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^{\frac{1}{k^n}\mu(z, z', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq 1 \\
& \Rightarrow \mu(z, z', a, t) \geq 1
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$z = z'.$$

This proves the uniqueness of z . Similarly, the uniqueness of w can be proved.

The following corollary is a fuzzy 2-metric space version of theorem 1.1 in integral setting.

Corollary 2.2 : Let (X, μ, a, t) and (Y, ν, a, t) be two complete fuzzy 2-metric spaces. Let S be mappings of X into Y and T be mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_1^{k\mu(Ty, Ty', a, t) \mu(TSx, TSx', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Ty, Ty', a, t) \nu(Sx, Sx', a, t), \mu(x', Ty, a, t) \nu(y', Sx, a, t), \\
& \mu(x, x', a, t) \mu(Ty, Ty', a, t), \mu(Ty, TSx, a, t) \mu(Ty', TSx', a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds \\
& \int_1^{k\nu(Sx, Sx', a, t) \nu(STy, STy', a, t)} \varphi(s) ds \geq \int_1^{\min\{\mu(Ty, Ty', a, t) \nu(Sx, Sx', a, t), \mu(x', Ty, a, t) \nu(y', Sx, a, t), \\
& \nu(y, y', a, t) \nu(Sx, Sx', a, t), \nu(Sx, STy, a, t) \nu(Sx', STy', a, t)\}} \varphi(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $k \in (0, 1)$. If either S or T is continuous, then TS has a unique fixed point z in X and ST has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Sz = w$ and $Tw = z$.

Proof : By putting $A = B = S$ and $S = T = T$ in theorem 2.1, the result easily follows.

References

- [1] Aliouche A. and Fisher B., *A related fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings on two complete metric spaces*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 34 (2005), 39 - 45.
- [2] Cho Y.J., Kang S.M. and Kim S.S., *Fixed points in two metric spaces*, Novi Sad Journal Math., 29 (1) (1999), 47- 53.
- [3] Fisher B. and Rao K.P.R, *A related fixed point theorem on three metric space*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 36 (2) (2007), 143 - 146.
- [4] George A. and Veeramani P., *On some result in fuzzy metric space*, Fuzzy Set and Systems, Vol. 64, (1994) 395-399.
- [5] Jain S. and Fisher B., *A related fixed point theorem for three metric spaces*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 31 (2002), 19 - 24.
- [6] Jinkyu Han, *A common fixed point theorem on fuzzy 2- metric spaces*, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, Volume 23, No. 4, December 2010.
- [7] Kramosil O. and Michalek J., *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika Vol. 11 (1975) 326 - 334.
- [8] N.Thillaigovindan, S.Anita Shanthi and S.Vijayabalaji, *Semigroup actions on intuitionistic fuzzy 2- metric spaces*, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., Vol. 3, No. 1, March 2010.
- [9] R.K. Namdeo, N.K. Tiwari, B.Fisher and K. Tas, *Related fixed point theorems on two complete and compact metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. , Vol. 21, No. 3 (1998) , 559 – 564.
- [10] S. Gahler, (1965), *Uber die uniromisierbarkeit 2-metric Raume*, Math.Nachr. 28, 235 - 244.
- [11] S. Gahler, (1963), *2-metric Raume and ihre topologische strucktur*, Math. Nachr., 26,115 - 148.
- [12] Surjeet Singh Chauhan and Kiran Utreja, *Fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2- metric space using absorbing maps*, Research Journal of Pure Algebra, 2 (2) (2012), 77 - 81.
- [13] Surjeet Singh Chauhan (Gonder) and Kiran Utreja, *A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2- metric space*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 8, 2013, no. 2, 85 – 91, Hikari Ltd, www.m-hikari.com
- [14] Zadeh, L.A., *Fuzzy sets*, Information and control, 8 (1965), 338 - 353.