International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 12, UCBO - 2026

An Analytical Study of Procurement Coordination, Vendor Compliance and Inventory Management in Manufacturing Operations

Asmita Thorat
Student, MBA- Operations and Supply Chain Management,
School of Business,
Indira University,
Pune, India 41103
asmitathorat100503@gmail.com

Dr. Nagesh Tarte
Associate Professor - MBA, School of Business,
Indira University,
Pune, India 411033
nagesh.tarte@indirauniversity.edu.in

Dr. Manisha Maddel
Associate Professor & Guide, School of Business,
Indira University,
Pune, India-411033
manisha.maddel@indiraisbs.edu.in
ORCID: 0009-0008-1720-2959

Abstract **- Manufacturing organizations depend on coordinated procurement, reliable suppliers and disciplined inventory control to maintain uninterrupted production and control operating cost. This analytical research study examines the relationship between procurement coordination, vendor compliance and inventory management in manufacturing operations. The study adopts a descriptive and analytical approach and combines procurement-process mapping with vendor-performance indicators and inventory-control techniques. The framework evaluates purchase requisition and purchase-order flow, supplier delivery reliability, quality acceptance, quantity compliance, documentation compliance, responsiveness and lead-time variability. Quantitative tools including ABC analysis, Economic Order Quantity (EOQ), safety stock, reorder point, inventory turnover and correlation analysis are incorporated to convert operational observations into measurable indicators. An illustrative dataset is used in the analytical section where organization-specific transactional data are not available; such values are explicitly identified as illustrative and should be replaced by actual records. The study proposes a structured vendor scorecard, digital procurement tracking, exception-based follow-up, lead-time monitoring and item-level inventory policies.**

Keywords - ***Procurement Coordination, Vendor Compliance, Supplier Performance, Inventory Management, ABC Analysis, EOQ, Safety Stock, Reorder Point, Manufacturing Operations***

I. INTRODUCTION

Procurement, vendor management and inventory control are closely connected functions in a manufacturing organisation. Production continuity depends on the timely availability of the right material, in the required quantity and specification, from an appropriate supplier. The purchase function therefore acts as a link between internal requirements and the external supply base. In most manufacturing organisations, direct material purchases account for a substantial share of total product cost, so even modest improvements in procurement coordination, supplier compliance and inventory accuracy can translate into meaningful gains in cost efficiency, working-capital utilisation and customer service levels. This makes procurement not merely a transactional back-office function but a strategic lever that directly influences an organisation's competitiveness, profitability and ability to respond to changing market demand.

This study focuses on procurement coordination, vendor compliance and inventory management in manufacturing operations. The study was structured around practical exposure to purchase-related activities, including purchase requisitions, vendor communication, documentation follow-up, material availability and basic SAP/ERP-supported process visibility.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORITICAL BACKGROUND

A. Literature Review

Dickson's foundational supplier-selection work identified multiple criteria for supplier evaluation, establishing that selection should not be based only on purchase price. Weber, Current and Benton subsequently reviewed supplier-selection criteria and methods and emphasized the multi-criteria nature of the decision. [5], [6]

Kannan and Tan examined supplier selection and assessment in manufacturing and reported relationships between supplier-management criteria and buying-firm performance. Carr and Pearson examined strategic purchasing and supplier relationships, supporting the role of purchasing in organizational performance. [1], [7]

Narasimhan and Das examined purchasing integration and manufacturing performance, while Chen, Paulraj and Lado connected strategic purchasing and supply management capabilities with competitive outcomes. Prajogo and colleagues further examined supplier-management practices and performance. [8]–[10]

Inventory research provides the second foundation. EOQ balances ordering and holding costs under its assumptions. Modern inventory studies combine order quantity with safety stock and reorder-point logic. A systematic review of safety-stock research shows that uncertainty in demand and supply is central to inventory-protection decisions. [3]

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 12, UCBO - 2026

Integrated procurement-production inventory research has reviewed 102 studies and highlighted the interdependence of procurement, production and inventory decisions. [4] This study builds on that literature by connecting vendor compliance indicators with inventory-control decisions at the operational level

B. Theoritical Background

Procurement coordination is viewed as the alignment of material requirements, purchase orders, supplier commitments, delivery schedules, quality requirements and receipt confirmation. Vendor compliance refers to the degree to which suppliers meet agreed delivery, quality, quantity and documentation requirements.

ISO guidance on external providers emphasizes appropriate controls, approved-provider information and performance monitoring. ISO 9001 also emphasizes controlled operations, performance evaluation and continual improvement. [13], [14] Inventory management is represented through ABC classification, EOQ, safety stock, reorder point and inventory turnover. ABC analysis prioritizes inventory according to annual consumption value. EOQ provides a reference order quantity, safety stock protects against uncertainty, and reorder point identifies when replenishment should be initiated.

III. MARETIALS AND METHODS

A. Research Objectives

1) Analyse procurement coordination practices from material requirement identification to receipt and inventory entry. 2) Evaluate vendor compliance using delivery, quality, quantity, documentation and responsiveness indicators. 3) Analyse inventory performance using ABC analysis, EOQ, safety stock, reorder point and inventory turnover. 4) Examine the relationship between vendor compliance and inventory performance. 5) Identify major causes of procurement delays, material shortages and excess inventory. 6) Recommend practical procurement and inventory-control improvements.

B. Research Design and Data Collection

The study uses a descriptive and analytical research design. Primary information may be collected through structured discussions with procurement, stores, production planning, quality and supplier-management personnel. Secondary records may include purchase requisitions, purchase orders, goods-receipt records, inspection reports, supplier delivery logs, rejection records, inventory statements, consumption records and lead-time histories.

Where organization-specific data are unavailable, the numerical values in this paper are illustrative examples and must not be interpreted as actual company findings.

C. Analytical Framework and Quality Evaluation

Stage	Input	Measure / Tool	Output
Requirement	Production/material plan	Requirement-date accuracy	Purchase requirement
Procurement	PR, RFQ, quotation	PO cycle time	Purchase order
Supplier execution	PO and commitment	OTD %, lead-time variance	Supplier delivery
Receipt & quality	GRN, inspection	Acceptance %, quantity variance	Usable material
Inventory	Consumption & stock	ABC, EOQ, SS, ROP	Inventory policy
Performance	Vendor + inventory data	Correlation / KPI analysis	Improvement priorities

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

A. PROCUREMENT COORDINATION PROCESS ANALYSIS

TABLE I.

A PROCUREMENT COORDINATION PROCESS ANALYSIS

TABLE I.

Process Stage	Expected Control	Failure Point	Operational Effect
Material requirement	Approved requirement/date	Late or incorrect requirement	Urgent procurement
Purchase requisition	Complete specification	Missing specification	Clarification delay
Quotation	Comparable supplier offers	Incomplete quotation	Longer sourcing cycle
PO release	Correct price/qty/date	Approval delay	Late commitment
Supplier confirmation	Confirmed quantity/date	Unrecorded commitment	Planning uncertainty
Material dispatch	Shipment information	Poor communication	Receiving disruption
GRN & inspection	Quantity/quality verification	Document mismatch	Inventory not released
Inventory update	Timely system entry	Manual delay	Incorrect available stock

The process shows that procurement performance depends on cross-functional handoffs. A purchase order may be issued on time but still fail to support production if supplier confirmation is not recorded, delivery dates are not monitored, or received material remains blocked during quality inspection.

B. VENDOR COMPLIANCE SCORECARD

A weighted scorecard is proposed for illustrative analysis. The weights are study-specific and should be validated by management

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 12, UCBO - 2026

TABLE II.

VENDOR COMPLIANCE SCORECARD

Vendor	OTD %	Quality %	Quantity %	Documentation %	Response %	Weighted Score
Vendor A	95	98	97	100	92	96.0
Vendor B	88	94	96	95	90	91.2
Vendor C	78	97	91	90	82	87.4
Vendor D	92	91	98	96	95	93.1

Illustrative formula: Vendor Score = Delivery×0.30 + Quality×0.30 + Quantity×0.15 + Documentation×0.10 + Responsiveness×0.15. The scorecard identifies whether a supplier's weakness is primarily delivery-related, quality-related or administrative.

C. VENDOR PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Indicator	Formula	Illustrative Result	Interpretation
On-time delivery	On-time deliveries / total deliveries ×100	88%	12% deliveries require follow-up
Quality acceptance	Accepted qty / received qty ×100	95%	5% affected by rejection/rework
Quantity compliance	Within-tolerance receipts / total ×100	96%	Generally controlled
Documentation compliance	Compliant deliveries / total ×100	94%	Some document gaps
Responsiveness	Cases within target / total ×100	90%	Exception follow-up required

D. ABC INVENTORY ANALYSIS

ABC analysis classifies items according to annual consumption value. Annual consumption value = annual demand × unit cost. The following dataset is illustrative.

Code	Item	Annual Demand	Unit Cost	Annual Value	Cumulative %	Class
RM-01	Aluminium Sheet	12000	850	₹10,200,000	48.7%	A
RM-02	Steel Sheet	9000	700	₹6,300,000	78.8%	B
RM-03	Handle Assembly	18000	120	₹2,160,000	89.1%	B
RM-04	Valve	10000	75	₹750,000	92.7%	C
RM-07	Packaging Carton	30000	18	₹540,000	95.3%	C
RM-05	Rubber Gasket	40000	12	₹480,000	97.6%	C
RM-06	Rivet	90000	3	₹270,000	98.9%	C
RM-08	Fastener	60000	4	₹240,000	100.0%	C

Illustrative total annual consumption value is ₹20,940,000. Class A items require the strongest control because they represent the largest share of annual consumption value. Exact cut-offs should follow organizational policy.

E. ECONOMIC ORDER QUANTITY (EOQ) ANALYSIS

EOQ = $\sqrt{(2DS/H)}$, where D is annual demand, S is ordering cost per order and H is annual holding cost per unit. The model provides a reference for balancing ordering and holding costs.

Parameter	Illustrative Value
Annual demand (D)	12,000 units
Ordering cost (S)	₹1,500/order
Holding cost (H)	₹85/unit/year
EOQ	651 units
Orders/year	18.4
Approx. order cycle	19.8 days

Under these illustrative assumptions, EOQ is approximately 651 units. Actual EOQ should use verified demand, ordering cost and holding cost.

F. SAFETY STOCK ANALYSIS

Parameter	Illustrative Value
Weekly demand standard deviation	55 units
Service factor	1.65
Formula	$Z \times \sigma$
Safety stock	91 units

Safety stock protects against uncertainty in demand and/or lead time. A stronger model should incorporate both demand and lead-time variability when sufficient historical data are available. The literature identifies safety-stock determination as a major inventory-control problem under uncertainty.

G. REORDER POINT ANALYSIS

Parameter	Illustrative Value
Annual demand	12,000 units
Operating days/year	300
Average daily demand	40.0 units

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 12, UCBO - 2026

Lead time	8 days
Safety stock	91 units
ROP	411 units

Under the illustrative assumptions, replenishment would be initiated at approximately 411 units. Changes in supplier lead time should trigger a review of the ROP.

H. INVENTORY TURNOVER ANALYSIS

Measure	Illustrative Value
COGS	₹12,000,000
Opening inventory	₹1,500,000
Closing inventory	₹1,800,000
Average inventory	₹1,650,000
Inventory turnover	7.27 times

Inventory turnover = COGS / average inventory. The ratio should be interpreted together with service level, product characteristics and stock-out risk rather than treated as a standalone performance target.

I. VENDOR LEAD-TIME VARIABILITY AND INVENTORY IMPACT

Vendor	Avg. Lead Time	Observed Range	Compliance	Inventory Implication
A	6 days	5–7	Stable	Lower protective buffer
B	8 days	6–11	Moderate	Moderate safety stock
C	12 days	8–18	High variability	Higher shortage exposure
D	7 days	6–8	Stable	Lower variability risk

A supplier with longer and more variable lead time can require higher protective stock even when purchase price is competitive. Supplier decisions should therefore consider operational and total-cost effects rather than unit price alone.

J. ROOT-CAUSE ANALYSIS

Problem	Likely Root Cause	KPI / Evidence	Impact	Recommended Control
Late receipt	Weak supplier follow-up	OTD %	Production uncertainty	Commitment tracker
Quantity mismatch	PO/dispatch verification gap	Quantity variance	Reconciliation effort	Pre-dispatch confirmation
Quality rejection	Weak supplier QC	Rejection %	Rework/shortage	Supplier CAPA
Document mismatch	Manual documentation	Compliance %	GRN/payment delay	Digital checklist
Excess inventory	Large/irregular orders	Inventory days	Working-capital lock	ABC + EOQ review
Stock-out	Low buffer / long lead time	Stock-out frequency	Line interruption	ROP + safety stock
Slow response	Unstructured communication	Response time	Escalation delay	Escalation matrix

K. CORRELATION ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK

Actual monthly or supplier-level observations can be analysed using Pearson correlation where assumptions are appropriate. The study should compare vendor compliance with material availability, stock-out frequency and service level.

Variable X	Variable Y	Expected Direction	Purpose
Vendor compliance score	Material availability %	Positive	Test whether stronger compliance aligns with availability
Lead-time variability	Safety-stock requirement	Positive	Test inventory-protection effect
OTD %	Stock-out frequency	Negative	Test delivery reliability effect
Documentation compliance	GRN processing time	Negative	Test administrative effect

Actual correlation coefficients, significance values and regression results must be calculated from real observations. They should not be fabricated.

L. INTEGRATED ANALYSIS

Area	KPI	Management Use
Procurement coordination	PO cycle time	Identify process delays
Supplier delivery	OTD %	Monitor schedule reliability
Supplier quality	Acceptance/rejection %	Drive corrective action
Vendor compliance	Weighted score	Prioritize supplier development
Inventory classification	ABC class	Set control intensity
Order quantity	EOQ	Guide replenishment
Protection stock	Safety stock	Manage uncertainty

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 12, UCBO - 2026

Replenishment trigger	ROP	Prevent avoidable shortages
Inventory efficiency	Turnover	Monitor stock movement

The integrated framework demonstrates that procurement, supplier performance and inventory should be managed together. Delivery reliability affects material availability; lead-time variability affects safety stock; quality rejection reduces usable inventory; and documentation errors can delay inventory release.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPROVEMENT ROADMAP

Priority	**Initiative**	**Implementation**	**Expected Benefit**
High	Vendor scorecard	Monthly OTD, quality, quantity, documentation and response review	Early supplier-risk visibility
High	PO commitment tracker	Record committed date, revised date and reason	Better delivery visibility
High	ABC inventory policy	Review frequency by A/B/C class	Focused inventory control
High	ROP and safety-stock policy	Set item-level parameters	Lower shortage exposure
Medium	Supplier CAPA	Formal corrective-action closure	Reduced recurring failures
Medium	Digital documentation	Validate PO, invoice, GRN and quality documents	Faster receipt/reconciliation
Medium	Cross-functional review	Weekly exception meeting	Faster issue resolution
Medium	Inventory dashboard	Stock, ageing, shortages, open POs and OTD	Decision visibility
Long-term	Supplier development	Joint audits and improvement projects	Sustainable performance

VI. FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

A. . FINDINGS

Procurement performance depends on coordination across planning, purchase, suppliers, stores and quality.

Vendor compliance should be measured across delivery, quality, quantity, documentation and responsiveness.

ABC analysis prioritizes inventory-control effort according to annual consumption value.

EOQ provides a structured reference for order quantity when its assumptions are reasonably satisfied.

Safety stock and reorder point should reflect demand and supply uncertainty.

Lead-time variability creates an inventory-management challenge because greater uncertainty can require more protective stock.

Vendor and inventory KPIs can be integrated into one exception-based dashboard.

B. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that procurement coordination, vendor compliance and inventory management form an interconnected operating system within manufacturing organizations. Procurement creates the supplier commitment, vendor compliance determines the reliability of that commitment, and inventory management absorbs the uncertainty between supply and production requirements. Managing these functions independently can hide the causes of shortages, excess inventory and delayed production.

A structured approach combining vendor scorecards, lead-time monitoring, ABC classification, EOQ, safety stock and reorder point can improve decision discipline. The most important requirement is the use of actual transactional data so supplier performance and inventory parameters are based on observed operating conditions.

C. SUGGESTIONS

Maintain a centralized supplier-performance database with monthly KPI history. Evaluate suppliers on multiple criteria rather than price alone.

Review A-class inventory more frequently and simplify controls for C-class items. Recalculate safety stock and reorder points when demand or lead-time patterns change.

Use exception dashboards for late POs, quality rejections, open corrective actions and shortage risks.

Link procurement, stores and production-planning reviews. Use actual observations for statistical hypothesis testing.

D. LIMITATIONS

llustrative numerical values are examples and are not company-verified findings.

Supplier-score weights should be validated for the organization.

EOQ assumptions may not hold under highly stochastic demand, quantity discounts or supply constraints.

Correlation alone does not establish causation.

The study does not model the full financial cost of production downtime.

E. FUTURE SCOPE

Future research can extend the framework through supplier-risk scoring, total-cost-of-ownership modelling, stochastic inventory optimization, demand forecasting, supplier-disruption scenarios and Power BI-based real-time dashboards. Recent research also explores integrated supplier selection and order allocation using forecasting and machine-learning methods.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 12, UCBO - 2026

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I express my sincere gratitude to the management, procurement, stores, quality and production teams whose operational knowledge supports the understanding of manufacturing supply-chain processes. I am also thankful to my academic faculty and mentors for their guidance and encouragement throughout this research work.

VIII. REFERENCES

[1] Kannan, V. R., & Tan, K. C. (2002). Supplier selection and assessment: Their impact on business performance. Journal of Supply Chain Management. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2002.tb00139.x

[2] Choi, T. Y., & Hartley, J. L. (1996). An exploration of supplier selection practices across the supply chain. Journal of Operations Management. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(96)00007-7

[3] Gonçalves, J. N. C., Carvalho, M. S., & Cortez, P. (2020). Operations research models and methods for safety stock determination: A review. Operations Research Perspectives, 7, 100164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp.2020.100164

[4] Integrated procurement-production inventory model in supply chain: A systematic review. (2022). Operations Research Perspectives, 9, 100221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp.2022.100221

[5] Dickson, G. W. (1966). An analysis of vendor selection systems and decisions. Journal of Purchasing, 2(1), 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.1966.tb00818.x

[6] Weber, C. A., Current, J. R., & Benton, W. C. (1991). Vendor selection criteria and methods. European Journal of Operational Research, 50(1), 2–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(91)90033-R

[7] Carr, A. S., & Pearson, J. N. (1999). Strategically managed buyer–supplier relationships and performance outcomes. Journal of Operations Management. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(99)00007-8

[8] Narasimhan, R., & Das, A. (2001). The impact of purchasing integration and practices on manufacturing performance. Journal of Operations Management. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(01)00055-9

[9] Chen, I. J., Paulraj, A., & Lado, A. A. (2004). Strategic purchasing, supply management, and firm performance. Journal of Operations Management. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2004.06.002

[10] A. C. L., & Cheng, T. C. E. (2012). The relationship between supplier management and firm performance. International Journal of Production Economics. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.09.022

[11] Saputra, D. P., Wardhani, D. K., & Passon, M. F. (2025). Optimization of inventory control using Economic Order Quantity and safety stock approach. RESWARA. https://doi.org/10.70716/reswara.v2i3.378

[12] Shadkam, E., & Bijari, M. (2017). Multi-objective simulation optimization for supplier selection and order quantity under uncertainty and quality criteria. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 93, 161–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-7986-1

[13] International Organization for Standardization. (2016). ISO 9001:2015—What does it mean in the supply chain? https://www.iso.org/publication/PUB100304.html

[14] ISO 9001 Auditing Practices Group. (2016). Guidance on external providers. https://committee.iso.org/files/live/sites/tc176/files/PDF%20APG%20New%20Disclaimer%2012-2023/ISO-TC%20176-TF_APG-ExternalProviders.pdf

[15] Degraeve, Z., Labro, E., & Roodhooft, F. (1999). Effectively selecting suppliers using total cost of ownership. Journal of Supply Chain Management. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.1999.tb00050.x

[16] Machine learning techniques and multi-objective programming to select the best suppliers and determine the orders. (2025). Machine Learning with Applications, 19, 100623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mlwa.2025.100623

[17] Beamon, B. M. (1999). Measuring supply chain performance. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 19(3), 275–292. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443579910249714

[18] Gunasekaran, A., Patel, C., & McGaughey, R. E. (2004). A framework for supply chain performance measurement. International Journal of Production Economics, 87(3), 333–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2003.08.003

[19] Lee, H. L., Padmanabhan, V., & Whang, S. (1997). Information distortion in a supply chain: The bullwhip effect. Management Science, 43(4), 546–558. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.43.4.546

[20] Mentzer, J. T., et al. (2001). Defining supply chain management. Journal of Business Logistics, 22(2), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2001.tb00001.x

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)