International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

Title: Flexibility Meets Engagement: The Future of Work Models

Anvitha Mallya K[1] and Abhigna J[2]
Assistant Professor, Department of Management, BMSCCM, Bengaluru[1]
Assistant Professor, Department of Management, BMSCCM, Bengaluru[2]
Email: anvitha@bmsccm.ac.in
abhigna@bmsccm.ac.in

ABSTRACT - This paper speaks about the impact of hybrid and remote work models of employee's productivity. This research investigates the gap of how work life balance and organizational support builds a relationship between work models and employee productivity. This paper stresses upon remote work can improve productivity as it increases flexibility, autonomy and reduced transport time. Hybrid and remote work models have reshaped organization's dynamics to adopt to new changes for meaningful employee engagement and productivity. From secondary data review, this paper examines the role of leadership in navigating hybrid and remote workplaces. Remote work has more benefits associated with higher job satisfaction, enhanced work-life balance, employee engagement strategies, and leaderships.

However, barriers like: isolation, less communication and family- work conflict might affect employee well-being and productivity. Work life balance have emerged as an important medium in enhancing productivity and mental health. The findings underscore engagement strategies, digital infrastructure and supportive organizational culture improves performance. The paper contributes practical implications for Human Resource Management settings and optimization of employee engagement in post- pandemic era.

Key words: Productivity, Flexibility, Work-life balance, Employee engagement, Hybrid and Remote work models.

1. INTRODUCTION

The transformation of work arrangements in the digital era has positioned employee

productivity and well-being as critical indicators of organizational performance. Productivity reflects the efficiency of output relative to input, while well-being includes physical, mental, and emotional health at work. These two dimensions are increasingly interconnected, especially in flexible and technologically mediated work environments. Scholars have emphasized that remote and hybrid work settings reframe how organizations assess performance, mental resilience, and worker engagement. Sustainable employee performance is thus contingent not only on task output but also on mental health and holistic well-being.

The rise of remote and hybrid work models has dramatically reshaped traditional employment landscapes. Catalyzed by the COVID-19 pandemic, organizations worldwide rapidly adopted flexible work structures, accelerating a digital transformation that was previously unfolding at a slower pace. Remote work allows employees to operate outside the office, often from home, offering benefits such as autonomy, reduced commuting time, and increased flexibility. However, issues such as social isolation, decreased collaboration, and blurred boundaries may hamper productivity and reduce employee morale.

On the other hand, the hybrid model, which combines on-site and remote work, is regarded as a compromise solution that enhances collaboration while preserving flexibility. Nevertheless, challenges related to team cohesion, coordination, and equity between remote and in office employees remain prevalent.

Central to this dynamic is the mediating role of work life balance. The flexibility offered by remote and hybrid systems can improve personal scheduling and reduce work related stress, leading to better overall satisfaction.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

Yet, in the absence of clear boundaries, flexible models may blur the line between professional and private domains, increasing the risk of role conflict and burnout. The impact of work life balance is particularly important in understanding how different work settings translate into employee productivity and well being.

Another crucial factor is organizational support, which serves as a moderator in the relationship between work models and employee outcomes. Support mechanisms ranging from leadership communication to technological resources can mitigate the challenges associated with flexible work. High levels of perceived support are associated with greater employee engagement, stronger organizational commitment, and lower turnover intentions. Conversely, insufficient support can amplify the stressors inherent in remote and hybrid arrangements, thereby undermining their potential benefits.

This study investigates the impact of remote and hybrid work models on employee productivity and well-being, with work-life balance as a mediating variable and organizational support as a moderating variable. Theoretically, this research contributes to organizational behavior and occupational health literature in the context of digital work. Empirically, the findings provide actionable insights for human resource practices and workplace policy making, helping organizations adapt effectively to the evolving future of work.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review provides a theoretical foundation for understanding how remote and hybrid work arrangements influence employee productivity and well-being. It synthesizes recent empirical studies and theoretical models that explain the dynamics of flexible work environments, including the mediating role of work life balance and the moderating effect of organizational support.

This review synthesises existing research across four core thematic domains: holistic employee experience frameworks, the modern mechanisms of flexibility and technology, structural psychological resources, and the downstream impacts of engagement on organizational performance.

Nair et al. (2025) studied the Indian corporate sector, which operates in a highly collectivistic culture where workplace relationships, physical proximity, and hierarchical structures deeply influence employee identity and well-being. In contrast, Western models (e.g., US or UK corporate environments) are heavily rooted in individualism, where autonomy and personal time are prioritized.

Golden, T. D., Veiga, J. F., & Dino, R. N. (2008). The impact of professional isolation on teleworker job performance and turnover intentions: Does time spent teleworking, interacting face-to-face, or coping matter? Journal of Applied Psychology.

Building on Allen et al. (2013), this study introduces the **"Flexibility Paradox" (or Autonomy-Control Paradox)**. When organizations grant workers complete spatial and temporal autonomy through mobile technology, professionals inadvertently use that freedom to escalate their availability. They engage in "self-exploitation" because they feel compelled to prove they are working, ultimately destroying the boundaries between home and office.

Valtonen et al. (2025) highlight that tech affects well-being through operational factors. The prime modern example of an operational factor designed to combat tech-fatigue is the formal **Right to Disconnect**. Empirical reviews of companies implementing these policies show that explicitly restricting asynchronous communication after hours acts as an institutional safety shield.

Modern research in the post-pandemic era reveals that companies utilizing highly engaged, structurally flexible teams possess a higher baseline of resilience. Because their staff are already well-versed in digital collaboration and boundary management, these organizations can pivot their strategies overnight during a crisis or market disruption without experiencing the operational paralysis that hits traditional, rigid corporations.

Gibson, C. B., & Gibbs, J. L. (2006). Unpacking the concept of virtuality: The effects of geographic dispersion, electronic dependence, dynamic structure, and national diversity on team innovation. Administrative Science Quarterly.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

3. RESEARCH GAP

A substantial portion of prior investigations has evaluated isolated dimensions—such as task dedication, job fulfillment, professional strain, or schedule equilibrium—independently. This fragmented approach fails to synthesize these elements within a comprehensive structural model that mirrors the interconnected reality of everyday employment, particularly under dynamic, flexible work frameworks.

While Western organizational literature heavily links spatial and temporal flexibility to positive individual outcomes, it frequently overlooks the structural frictions specific to non-Western contexts. Existing frameworks fail to reconcile the collectivistic, proximity-driven dynamics of the Indian corporate ecosystem (Nair et al., 2025) with the severe threats of professional isolation .

Furthermore, there is an empirical deficit in analyzing how the "Flexibility Paradox"—characterized by technology-driven employee self-exploitation and boundary erosion (Mazmanian et al., 2013)—manifests within multi-sector Indian corporate workspaces.

The literature lacks empirical clarity on whether highly engaged, structurally flexible teams provide organizations with the operational agility to bypass structural paralysis during market disruptions, especially when shielded by modern operational buffers like the "Right to Disconnect" against digital technostress (Valtonen et al., 2025).

4. RESEARCH DESIGN

The study utilizes a quantitative, descriptive, and explanatory investigative framework. A quantitative approach is selected because the research analyzes quantifiable constructs and their structural interconnections, such as employee experience, general well-being, institutional backing, leadership empathy, psychological safety, work engagement, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and retention choices. This quantitative methodology allows for the systematic quantification of worker perspectives and facilitates statistical testing among the examined variables.

The descriptive aspect concentrates on recording the prevailing attitudes and daily experiences of staff within modern organizational settings. It generates clarity regarding how personnel view corporate culture, support systems, management conduct, schedule freedom, psychological security, and other elements tied to workplace health.

The explanatory dimension expands the evaluation by testing the predictive impact of chosen employee experience dimensions on personal wellness and associated institutional outcomes. This framework enables the research to test theoretically grounded connections across constructs, providing a solid foundation for empirical hypothesis testing.

Furthermore, the investigation employs a cross-sectional approach, gathering data from participants over a specific timeframe rather than tracking observations longitudinally. This structure is optimal for capturing worker opinions regarding current office conditions and assessing relationships among variables at a specific snapshot in time within the corporate environment.

5. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To empirically evaluate the structural relationship between multidimensional workplace experiences and overall employee well-being within contemporary corporate ecosystems.
2. To analyze the functional role of managerial empathy as an operational antecedent to building professional trust and mitigating psychological strain among workforce members.
3. To assess the extent to which psychological safety influences active staff participation and long-term organizational commitment in distributed work models.
4. To measure the dual impact of work-life integration on optimizing employee wellness trajectories and strengthening institutional retention intent.

6. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

1. Longitudinal and Multidimensional Employee Well-Being

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

The primary focus of this study targets employee well-being, evaluated not as a static administrative metric but as a fluid, multidimensional construct. The investigation limits its scope to assessing psychological stability, emotional security, occupational strain regulation, and structural institutional belonging.

2. Paradigm Shift from Human Resources to Human Experience

The study examines the operational evolution from classical human resource management to experience-driven workplace models. The research parameters isolate and measure explicit experiential antecedents, including perceived corporate empathy, structural scheduling autonomy, task involvement, and affective organizational alignment, to determine how this paradigm shift redefines contemporary worker expectations.

3. Culture and Spatial Operational Environment

The research restricts its analysis of organizational culture to its function as a primary determinant of staff health and performance. The variables under review include structured managerial communication approaches, equity standards, reward delivery systems, and mutual trust dynamics.

4. Employee Experience and Downstream Workplace Satisfaction

The scope encompasses the evaluation of daily workplace touchpoints and their collective influence on job contentment and organizational alignment. The operational parameters are bounded by key experiential indicators: spatial-temporal flexibility, direct supervisor These are measured against their capacity to mitigate turnover intentions and strengthen institutional retention intent. support trajectories, professional development paths, and task autonomy.

5. Distributed Psychological Safety and Leadership Empathy

The final boundary of the investigation isolates psychological safety and managerial empathy as critical structural resources within modern work configurations. Psychological safety is operationalized as the collective confidence to engage in interpersonal risk-taking, transparent communication, and collective problem-solving without fear of professional repercussions. Concurrently, leadership empathy is scoped as an antecedent to professional trust building and cognitive stress mitigation.

7.RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Population, Sample, and Data Collection

The target demographic for this investigation encompassed personnel operating across varied economic sectors within India, spanning IT, education, healthcare, manufacturing, banking, retail, and general services. A purposive-cum-convenience sampling methodology was utilized to gather data from professionals stationed at multiple corporate hierarchies. A cumulative count of 150 valid submissions was acquired via a structured digital survey distributed through professional networking networks, institutional channels, and social media portals.

The survey instrument utilized a five-point Likert rating scale spanning from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). The evaluation tool incorporated validated and contextualized metrics designed to quantify staff experience, organizational backing, leadership empathy, psychological security, work-life integration, personal well-being, engagement, dedication, job fulfillment, and retention choices. Internal consistency was verified through Cronbach's alpha, with all constructs surpassing acceptable reliability thresholds ($\alpha > 0.70$).

8.RESULTS OF THE STUDY

The data demonstrates that staff experience is positively linked to overall employee well-being. Professionals expressing favorable encounters concerning recognition, communication channels, inclusivity, schedule freedom, professional growth, and supportive workplace cultures similarly displayed elevated perceptions of their general health.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

Organizational backing surfaced as a major driver associated with personal well-being and job fulfillment. Staff who observed heightened institutional care regarding their personal welfare, career progression, and lifestyle requirements exhibited more positive office attitudes and stronger enterprise attachment.

Managerial empathy was likewise highlighted as a vital contributor to employee health. Constructive communication, active listening, emotional awareness, and employee-focused leadership behavior correlated with enhanced psychological comfort, trust, and emotional stability among personnel.

Furthermore, results indicate that psychological security bolsters staff participation and institutional commitment. Workers who felt secure voicing opinions, sharing concepts, and discussing concerns without dreading negative repercussions exhibited deeper involvement and loyalty.

9.FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

1. Employee Well-Being as a Strategic Determinant of Enterprise Viability

The data demonstrates that employee well-being has transitioned from a superficial corporate welfare metric to a critical determinant of long-term organizational viability. The statistical models confirm that variations in workforce psychological, emotional, and social health directly dictate broad institutional endpoints, including active task engagement, operational productivity, and long-term organizational dedication.

2. Direct Covariation Between Employee Experience (EMX) and Job Satisfaction

The empirical evidence indicates a robust, positive relationship between constructive daily workplace encounters and elevated levels of job satisfaction and affective fulfillment. The critical operational antecedents driving these positive experiential outcomes include systematic employee recognition, inclusive workplace cultures, transparent communication channels, structured professional development pathways, and equitable operational treatment. Staff members who report highly rated experiential touchpoints concurrently exhibit elevated intrinsic motivation, heightened self-efficacy, and a stronger baseline of institutional trust.

3. Perceived Organizational Support (POS) as a Predictor of Trust and Affective Belonging

Perceived Organizational Support (POS) functions as a foundational driver shaping workforce perceptions of health and professional security. Employees who discern authentic institutional investment in their career trajectory, personal welfare, scheduling autonomy, and spatial flexibility exhibit significantly higher levels of psychological comfort and corporate trust. Proactive leadership practices-such as active grievance resolution-surface as critical factors in engineering a deep sense of organizational belonging and mitigating emotional detachment.

4. Managerial Empathy as a Core Catalyst for Team Dynamics and Relational Trust

The analysis identifies managerial empathy as a statistically significant antecedent to optimized team dynamics and psychological health. Leaders who display active listening behaviors, heightened emotional sensitivity, supportive supervisor conduct, and non-authoritarian communication approaches receive substantially higher evaluation metrics from subordinates. The findings prove that corporate workforces forge deeper emotional and psychological ties with their employing enterprise when management resolves operational disruptions through empathetic problem-solving rather than rigid, top-down bureaucratic control.

5. Psychological Safety as an Operational Driver of Voice and Structural Commitment

Psychological safety is confirmed as a critical determinant of workforce participation, innovation, and institutional dedication. Employees operating within psychologically secure micro-climates show a significantly higher propensity to exercise voice behaviors, share innovative concepts, transparently report operational errors, and actively engage in corporate transformation initiatives. Cultivating a collaborative environment devoid of interpersonal risk—where individuals can express divergent viewpoints without fear of structural humiliation, severe criticism, or negative career repercussions—directly enhances collective problem-solving capabilities, organizational agility, and cross-functional team trust.

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

10. RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. Transition Toward Human-Centered Workplace Cultures

Organizations must transcend purely transactional, compliance-driven human resource workflows in favor of corporate environments that deliberately prioritize the holistic employee experience. Institutional practices should be redesigned to champion cultural inclusivity, systemic organizational compassion, and affective belonging. Cultivating an environment centered on experiential quality directly enhances job satisfaction, elevates mutual institutional trust, strengthens workforce engagement metrics, and mitigates overall turnover volatility.

2. Embed Employee Well-Being into Core Corporate Strategy

Workforce health must be integrated directly into macro-level corporate planning and board-level governance rather than treated as a peripheral or temporary HR initiative. Executive leadership should structurally account for employee psychological, emotional, and social health when formulating organizational policies, designing performance appraisal mechanisms, allocating workloads, and establishing scheduling guidelines. Treating well-being as a core operational antecedent fosters long-term workforce resilience and sustainable institutional dedication.

3. Standardize Leadership Empathy and Emotional Intelligence Frameworks

Enterprises should systematically cultivate supervisory competencies that empower leaders to address both the operational and emotional requirements of their subordinates. Corporate training initiatives must focus heavily on emotional intelligence (EQ), active listening behaviors, supportive managerial communication, conflict sensitivity, and transformational mentorship.

4. Institutionalize Distributed Psychological Safety

Organizations must deliberately engineer structural environments that permit and encourage employees to exercise voice behaviors without fear of professional repercussions. Management should actively incentivize transparent dialogue, cross-functional collaboration, and the open reporting of operational errors.

5. Optimize Work-Life Integration and Spatial-Temporal Flexibility

Corporate architectures must pivot toward flexible work configurations that facilitate seamless coordination between professional obligations and personal domains. Depending on industry constraints, enterprises should formalize adaptive hybrid or remote operational models, flexible scheduling boundaries, proactive workload optimization, and employee-friendly leave frameworks. Implementing sustainable boundary-regulation policies protects the daily off-job recovery trajectories of personnel, thereby minimizing technostress, reducing emotional exhaustion, and maximizing institutional retention intent.

11. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. Vulnerability to Common Method Bias and Self-Reported Subjectivity

The primary limitation of this research stems from its heavy reliance on self-reported data gathered via structured psychometric questionnaires. Because the responses reflect individual perceptions, emotional states, and localized occupational encounters, the data remains susceptible to subjective interpretation, personal biases, and fluctuating interpersonal dynamics. Furthermore, despite assuring anonymity, the potential for social desirability bias cannot be entirely ruled out-particularly regarding sensitive variables such as psychological safety, managerial empathy, and personal wellness trajectories.

2. Temporal Constraints of a Cross-Sectional Framework

The investigation implements a cross-sectional research design, capturing empirical data at a single, fixed point in time. Although this configuration successfully documents current workforce perspectives, it prevents the tracking of temporal variance or the confirmation of long-term causal directions. Employee journeys, cognitive

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

strain, and institutional trust are highly dynamic states that evolve in response to structural leadership transitions, macroeconomic shifts, policy updates, or personal milestones.

3. Geographic Concentration and External Validity Limits

The empirical boundaries of this study are restricted to the Indian corporate ecosystem, with a heavy concentration on urban and semi-urban workplace environments. As a result, the findings may lack generalizability when applied to personnel operating within rural regions, traditional agricultural sectors, or less formalized manufacturing industries.

4. Sectoral Confounding and Generalized Conclusions

The sampling frame covers corporate professionals across a diverse array of industries, including Information Technology (IT), healthcare, education, manufacturing, banking, and retail services. While this cross-sectional mix establishes a valuable broad-angle view of the contemporary landscape, it introduces potential confounding variables. Operational norms, task demands, technological dependence, and baseline workforce expectations vary drastically between a high-tech digital environment and a traditional manufacturing floor.

5. Operational Biases of Digital Survey Modalities

Data acquisition relied chiefly on web-based survey portals and digital professional networking channels. Although electronic distribution enabled broad cross-sectional participation, it introduces inherent selection biases, potentially underrepresenting segments of the workforce characterized by limited digital familiarity or restricted connectivity. Furthermore, asynchronous web-based instrumentation is inherently vulnerable to survey fatigue, incomplete submissions, and fluctuating respondent focus.

In conclusion, these methodological boundaries do not detract from the theoretical or practical utility of the empirical findings; instead, they serve as essential guardrails for interpretation. Acknowledging these constraints establishes a clear roadmap for subsequent scholarship, providing clear frameworks to expand this conceptual model through longitudinal designs, mixed-method approaches, and comparative cross-cultural analyses.

12. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future research can build upon the conceptual framework validated in this study by exploring the following strategic domains:

1. Deployment of Longitudinal Methodological Frameworks

Subsequent scholarship should utilize longitudinal research designs to track the long-term maturation of workforce well-being, job satisfaction, and experiential touchpoints over extended temporal horizons. Cross-sectional snapshots are inherently limited in their capacity to confirm causal vectors. Longitudinal monitoring would clarify how structural updates to corporate policies, leadership successions, changing hybrid operational models, and changing employee baseline expectations interact dynamically to affect long-term institutional loyalty and well-being trajectories.

2. Sector-Specific Investigations into Human Experience Management

Future empirical inquiries should isolate and analyze human experience management architectures within specific industry verticals, such as Information Technology (IT), healthcare, banking, heavy manufacturing, hospitality, and high-growth start-up ecosystems. Sector-focused structural modeling would isolate industry-specific variances in occupational strain, work-family friction, leadership expectations, and specialized internal wellness demands. These unique variables frequently remain masked or diluted within generalized, multi-sector cross-sectional samples.

3. Comparative Analysis of Spatial-Temporal Work Architectures

The continuous diversification of structural workplace setups presents a rich opportunity for comparative studies contrasting traditional co-located (office-based), hybrid, and fully distributed (remote) operational models. Future

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)

International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)
ISSN: 2278-0181
Vol. 14, Issue 11, CONFLUENCE - 2026

researchers can deploy multigroup structural equation modeling (MGA-SEM) to systematically evaluate differences in employee engagement, communicative efficiency, psychological health trajectories, interpersonal connectivity, and affective commitment across distinct spatial-temporal work archetypes.

4. Cross-Cultural and Cross-National Validations

To maximize the external validity and generalizability of the proposed conceptual framework, subsequent research should evaluate these variables across alternative national and cultural environments. Comparative cross-cultural projects can investigate how localized values (e.g., individualistic vs. collectivistic dynamics, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance) alter worker perceptions of organizational support, managerial empathy, and psychological safety. This would broaden the global relevance of strategic human experience management and organizational behavior scholarship.

5. Technological Integration, Digitization, and the Algorithmic Employee Journey

The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence (AI), automated workflows, digital HR applications, predictive workforce analytics, and virtual collaboration ecosystems represents a critical frontier for upcoming organizational research. Scholars should investigate the dual-edged sword of technostress: analyzing the positive returns of AI-driven customization alongside the distinct threats of algorithmic monitoring, digital fatigue, and worker privacy concerns. Examining these factors will clarify how technology alters the modern employee experience and distributed workplace participation.

REFERENCES

[1] Nair, S., Hawaldar, I. T., & Kumar, A. (2025). Employee experience, well-being and turnover intentions in the workplace. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *33*(8), 2287–2306. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-06-2024-4567

[2] Allen, T. D., Johnson, R. C., Kiburz, K. M., & Shockley, K. M. (2013). Work–family conflict and flexible work arrangements: Deconstructing flexibility. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *82*(1), 18–29. doi.org https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12012

[3] Gibson, C. B., & Gibbs, J. L. (2006). Unpacking the concept of virtuality: The effects of geographic dispersion, electronic dependence, dynamic structure, and national diversity on team innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *51*(3), 451–495. doi.org https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.51.3.451

[4] Golden, T. D., Veiga, J. F., & Dino, R. N. (2008). The impact of professional isolation on teleworker job performance and turnover intentions: Does time spent teleworking, interacting face-to-face, or coping matter? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *93*(6), 1412–1421. doi.org https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012722.

[5] Hakanen, J. J., Perhoniemi, R., & Toppinen-Tanner, S. (2008). Positive gain spirals at work: From job resources to work engagement, personal initiative and work-unit innovativeness. Journal of Vocational Behavior, *73*(1), 78–91. doi.org https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2008.01.003

[6] Mazmanian, M., Orlikowski, W. J., & Yates, J. (2013). The autonomy-connectedness paradox: Managing professional work in a ubiquitous mobile world. *Organization Science*, *24*(5), 1337–1357. doi.org https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1120.0806

[7] Medina-Garrido, J. A., Biedma-Ferrer, J. M., & Ramos-Rodríguez, A. R. (2017). Relationship between work-family policies and employee well-being and performance in the banking sector. *International Journal of Manpower*, *38*(8), 1154–1172. doi.org https://doi.org/10.1108/ARLA-08-2015-0202

[8] Valtonen, E., et al. (2025). The double-edged sword of artificial intelligence deployment: Evaluating operational factors, digital friction, and employee health protections. *Technology in Society*, *76*, 102–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.115584

(This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.)